1	
2	STATE OF LOUISIANA
3	BOARD OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY
4	RULES COMMITTEE MEETING
5	INDUSTRIAL AD VALOREM TAX EXEMPTION PROGRAM RULES
6	BEING HELD ON WEDNESDAY, JULY 10, 2024
7	AT THE LOUISIANA STATE CAPITOL
8	900 North Third Street
9	SENATE COMMITTEE ROOM A/B
10	Baton Rouge, Louisiana
11	
12	
13	REPORTED BY: KELLY S. PERRIN, C.C.R.
14	
15	
16	
17	**********
18	COURT REPORTERS OF LOUISIANA - A VERITEXT COMPANY
19	9522 BROOKLINE AVENUE, SUITE 217
20	BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70809
21	E-MAIL: calendar-louisiana@veritext.com
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 1

1 INDEX	1	PROCEEDINGS
PAGE	2 (	CHAIRMAN JONES:
3 CAPTION 1	3	Good afternoon, everyone. It's good to
4 APPEARANCES 3-4	4 :	see you at the Board of Commerce and Industry
5 PROCEEDINGS 5	5	Rules Subcommittee Meeting for Wednesday, July
6 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 122	6	the 10th, and I call the meeting to order.
7	7	Ms. Simmons, if you would call the roll for
8	8 :	me, please.
9	9 :	MS. SIMMONS:
10	10	Gerry Jones?
11	11 (	CHAIRMAN JONES:
12	12	Present.
13	13	MS. SIMMONS:
14	14	Kyle Polozola?
15	15	MR. POLOZOLA:
16	16	Present.
17	17	MS. SIMMONS:
18	18	Lettie Ardoin?
19	19	MS. ARDOIN:
20	20	Present.
21	21	MS. SIMMONS:
22	22	Robbie Miller?
23	23	MR. MILLER:
24	24	Here.
25	25	MS. SIMMONS:
Page 2		Page 4
1 APPEARANCES:	1	Stuart Moss?
2 BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:		MR. MOSS:
3 CHAIRMAN JERALD JONES	3	Here.
4 STUART MOSS		MS. SIMMONS:
5 LETICIA A. "LETTI" LOWE-ARDOIN	5	Chairman, we have a quorum.
6 CHARLES "ROBBY" MILLER, JR.		CHAIRMAN JONES:
7 KYLE POLOZOLA	7	Thank you very much. Committee Members,
8 STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:		I believe you've been provided a copy of the
9 ROBIN PORTER		minutes. Do we have a motion?
10 ANNE G. VILLA		MR. MOSS:
11 DEBORAH SIMMONS	11	So moved.
12 FRANK FAVALORO		CHAIRMAN JONES:
13 KRISTIN CHENG JOHNSON	13	I have a motion from Mr. Moss to approve,
14 HUD USIE	_	second from Mr. Miller. Any questions or
		comments from the Committee?
15 TEDRA CHEATHAM 16 BRENDA GUESS	16	Hearing none, any comments from the
		public?
17 PETER JOHNSON  18 SDEAKEDS EDOM THE DUBLIC:	18	Hearing none, all in favor, say aye.
18 SPEAKERS FROM THE PUBLIC:		ALL:
19 TOM BARRETT, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, CAMERON PARISH	20	ALL. Aye.
20 SCOTT LAVERGNE, ASSESSOR, CAMERON PARISH		CHAIRMAN JONES:
21	$\begin{vmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{vmatrix}$	Any opposition?
22 DEBORTED BY, WELLY S DEPRING COR	23	Hearing none, the minutes are approved.
23 REPORTED BY: KELLY S. PERRIN, CCR	23	All right. Next on the agenda, just for
24		
Page 3	23	those of you who have not been in our previous Page 5
1 age 3		rage 3

1 couple of meetings, at the last meeting, we 1 MS. VILLA: 2 2 had asked Industry to present its proposed or Good afternoon, Anne Villa, Deputy Secretary for Louisiana Economic Development. 3 its recommended changes to the ITEP program, 3 4 and it was a good meeting, good presentation, 4 Before Robin gets started, our Executive 5 5 a lot of information exchanged. We provided Counsel, I just wanted to sincerely thank all 6 that information to LED staff and then asked 6 of you, all of you behind me, all of you that 7 the LED to review and then come, be prepared 7 are out there watching, thank you all very 8 8 to come today to make a presentation as to the much for your very thoughtful and deliberate 9 9 world, the ITEP world, as it sees it going comments that have been provided to LED and 10 forward. And let me -- and I'm speaking as 10 our ITEP team. There's been lots of work that 11 Chairman, I have not had this conversation 11 we have been doing behind the scenes with our 12 with any of the Committee Members at this 12 State economic competitiveness team, with our 13 point, but as I've told a number of people, we 13 ITEP team, with legal, and with the 14 are still early on in this process. 14 administration. So I just wanted to thank you 15 One of the things that I am going to be 15 all for doing that. 16 16 asking for or asking the Committee to approve I think that as Robin goes through the 17 at the end of this meeting is that -- we've 17 presentation, you'll see that we are really 18 heard from Industry. Today, we'll hear from 18 taking a fresh look at the ITEP administration 19 LED. I know there are a number of 19 of it from LED's perspective, and we really 20 20 stakeholders who have interests in this. I'm feel as though we are going to present a more 21 21 going to ask that you get any comments that streamlined approach to the application 22 22 you have on the ITEP -- proposed ITEP process process and subsequent reporting requirements 23 23 moving forward to LED by probably the next that are going to be filed, and it will be a 24 week or so with the idea that, at the next 24 much easier process for Industry. So we are 25 meeting, I want to hear from the remaining 25 looking forward to receiving your comments on Page 6 Page 8 1 1 stakeholders. the presentation. So with that, I'll turn it 2 2 over to Robin. I'm going -- if you have a wholesale --3 3 if you want to rewrite ITEP, that's fine, but CHAIRMAN JONES: 4 my preference is that you limit your comments 4 Thank you, Ms. Villa. Any questions from 5 5 and the preparation of your comments that will the Committee for Ms. Villa? 6 6 be sent to LED to specific provisions if you Hearing none, Ms. Porter, please, you 7 7 have recommendations on, we want to consider have the conn. 8 8 those, we want to hear those. None of us hold MS. PORTER: 9 the key to truth and wisdom on these issues, 9 Thank you very much. Good afternoon. 10 10 so we believe that the more we hear from those Again, I'm Robin Porter, Executive Counsel 11 who are engaged in this process, the better. 11 with LED here to discuss our proposal for 12 So I will remind you at the end of this 12 changes to the ITEP rules. Again, this is not 13 meeting, but we're going to be looking for 13 a final promulgated rule, so we are just at 14 those comments. 14 the beginning of this process. 15 15 And at the next meeting, we will be Next slide. So in the beginning, I'm 16 16 hearing from the remaining stakeholders. going to talk a little bit about the authority 17 Then, the fun begins. Once we have the table 17 and philosophy, of course. You know, lawyers 18 set with that kind of data, Industry, LED, and 18 like to talk about authority. So, anyway, the 19 19 the remaining stakeholders, then the work of Constitution, Article VII, Section 21 is the 20 this Committee will begin trying to figure out 20 authority for the ITEP program. It provides 21 21 what this is going to look like moving the C&I Board with the approval of the 22 forward. Does that make sense to the 22 Governor to enter into contracts for ad 23 Committee? 23 valorem tax exemptions on terms and conditions, as the Board with the Governor's 24 Great. All right. With that, I'm going 24 25 to turn to Ms. Villa or Ms. Porter. 25 approval deems to be in the best interest of Page 7 Page 9

1 1 the State. And the philosophy behind the And this is just for ITEPS. So imagine 2 2 program is design -- or the framers thought it what's going on if they have QJ, they have to 3 3 remember those deadlines as well. It's being would be to design and encourage manufacturing 4 investment that would have a positive return 4 done, but why force that if you don't have to. 5 5 for its value. There seems to be a disconnect So next, so our proposal is to reduce from a 6 6 though from what the framers may have thought 15-step process to a 9-step process. And 7 and what the program is actually formed into 7 we'll just leave that up as I go through each 8 8 box, okay. First being the project at this point. 9 9 Based on feedback from various application, so that's a combination of the 10 stakeholders, it's perceived that the program 10 advance notification and the application. 11 is cumbersome, bureaucratic, and lacks 11 It's project specific with startup, new or 12 uniformity. So I don't think that welcomes 12 addition. All applicants are to file 13 13 front-end applications, so that's what this and/or encourages manufacturing investment. 14 So what we want to make sure that we do when 14 actually means is front-end applications. And 15 15 it's going to be submitted prior to the we talk about streamlining the process, we 16 beginning of construction. 16 want to streamline and continue to provide 17 significant revenues for the local government. 17 And what the outcome is, is fewer 18 18 So while the ITEP review and approval required forms and attachments. It's 19 process needs refinement, the following 19 resulting in a shortened review time and 20 20 provisions are going to remain and align with process and greater focuses on the estimates 21 the 2024 Executive Order: The 80 percent 21 and attestations. So no advance is a hybrid. 22 22 exemption five-year initial contract with an Project application is the advance and the 23 23 option to renew for the additional five years, application hybrid. 24 24 Next box, LED review followed by LDR and 93 percent exemption of Mega projects, local 25 25 government consideration, and applications for LWC review. So the project applications still 1 1 tax exemptions with the following shall not be will be submitted by the deadline as posted on 2 considered or approved, the MCAs, maintenance 2 the BC&I website. That means if you want to 3 capital, required environmental capital 3 make it to the next agenda, you have to file a 4 upgrades, and replacement parts, unless those 4 project application by that deadline. It's 5 5 required in rehabilitation or restoration of going to be reviewed by the staff first in, 6 an establishment. 6 first out. And you have two weeks 7 7 So here is the current ITEP process, simultaneously, as the staff's reviewing the 8 8 which is a 15-step process, and it involves project application, will have the two-week 9 excessive amounts of forms and various 9 deadline for LDR and LWC for review. And then 10 10 the project applications receiving no deadlines, forms that currently exist and have 11 to be done individually, the advance, the 11 objections from both agencies move forward to 12 application, the AFC, the PCR, the project 12 the Board for presentation. 13 extension request, change in location, change 13 And when you look at the LWC is the new 14 in name, full transfer, partial transfer, 14 piece here, it makes it more definitive about 15 15 contract closure, certificate of compliance, what the manufacturing is. They're going to 16 renewal apps, additional payment request, 16 assign that NAIC code so the administrators 17 which is tied to the AFC if increased in the 17 are not, I would say, subjectively trying to 18 investment, Annual Project Status Reports for 18 make a determination by what manufacturing is. 19 19 It makes it more definitive. So the outcome, the front-end apps, annual report for the 20 pre-executive order, and special requests. 20 again, is more objectivity and eligibility for 21 21 Now if you cobble that with the fact that you the criteria, less subjectivity, more of a 22 22 whole project. We're looking at project have phased applications, these forms for each 23 phase and application are required to be filed 23 focused, okay. 24 24 and the company has to be concerned and Board consideration, LED presents project 25 remember what the deadlines are. 25 applications to the Board for consideration. Page 11 Page 13

So the project application will be submitted 1 1 approval decision made by BC&I. 2 2 to the Board for consideration and approval. Okay. So for the contract portion, this 3 3 The local ITEP Committee Consideration, I is the -- again, this isn't changing. It's a 4 wanted to take time to talk about this and to 4 five-year initial contract, the 80 percent 5 5 reiterate what's in the Executive Order exemption or 93 percent for the Mega project. 6 because of the feedback that we have received 6 Upon completion of the 45-day period, ITEP 7 from the stakeholders, which again, I publicly 7 contract is sent for signatures, all parties. 8 like to say I appreciate and welcome. And but 8 If provided, the local ITEP Committee decision 9 9 most of those comments that came from the via resolution will be transmitted with the 10 local stakeholders was about the local ITEP 10 ITEP contract for the Governor's 11 Committee Consideration. 11 consideration. 12 So LED transmits or will transmit the 12 Now, the next box is the Annual Project 13 Property Report, APPR. This is a combination, approval of the project application to the 13 14 Committee Members via email within three 14 if you recall, I talked about all of the 15 15 business days for the approval. The date different various forms in the beginning that transmitted begins a 45-day notice period to has to be filed. This form is a combination 16 16 17 conduct the meeting. So if you recall, in 17 of the Annual Project Status Report that has Section 6 of the Executive Order, that 18 18 to be filed currently, the front-end, the 19 provides that the 15-day notice period starts 19 phase applications for each phase. You have 20 20 once the 3-day application approval notice is phase A, B through, you have to continue to 21 sent because -- however, the local committee 21 file the applications for those phases along 22 22 has the option to respond to the public with currently with PCRs AFCs for each of 23 23 meeting or not. Therefore, LED will just wait those. This is all rolled into the Annual 24 that 45-day period. And then if we receive 24 Project Property Report, the Affidavit of 25 something, you know, we'll note it. If not, 25 Final Cost, Project Completion Report as well. Page 14 1 1 if we don't receive anything from the locals, And another thing that we indicated would 2 2 then we'll send the approval application to be great is to have one deadline. Everybody 3 3 the Governor and parties to sign. So instead knows when their taxes are due, you know that 4 of having -- we just combined the 45-day 4 date. Our companies know when that LAT 5, if 5 5 period. LAT 5 forms are required to be submitted to 6 6 Okay. And just to go through the process the Assessor. So the date for submission of 7 7 again, the local ITEP Committee shall consists this APPR would be we chose March 31st for a 8 8 of the Parish President or the President of prior calendar year. 9 9 the Police Jury, the President of the School The form shall be submitted as 10 10 attestation to property placed in service for Board or the Superintendent of the School 11 Board as elected by the School Board, the 11 every year in the construction period. Any 12 Sheriff or his designee, and the Mayor if the 12 year property placed in service receives, of 13 project is located within the municipality. 13 course, the five years of 80 percent exemption 14 Ex Officio Nonvoting Members may include the 14 or the 93 percent exemption for Mega projects 15 15 Assessor, the Parish's highest ranking with an option to renew for an additional five 16 16 economic development staff, members of the years. Any years without property being 17 local economic development organization, or if 17 placed in service shall provide -- still needs 18 no economic organization exists, a 18 to be filed by that date and is providing a 19 19 representative from the regional economic status on the project construction. So we'll 20 development district. The local ITEP 20 know, you know, what's going on with the 21 21 Committee may choose to assign coordinating project, if it needs to be filed by March 31st 22 22 duties to the EDO of their choosing. if you have assets in service. And if you do 23 Consistent with the current practice, locals 23 not have assets in service, it still needs to 24 24 still shall have the option to take no action; be filed to keep us appeased of what's going 25 thereby, automatically opting into the 25 on with the project. Page 15 Page 17

1	And the outcome there is reducing and	1	CHAIRMAN JONES:
2	combining existing forms while collecting the	2	Fantastic. Great. I have some
3	same project information, better aligns timing	3	questions, but I'm going to first defer to the
4	of the Annual Project Property Report filing	4	Committee. Do you have any questions for
5	with other taxing authority deadlines. That's	5	Ms. Porter or Ms. Villa or anyone else?
6	that March 31st deadline.	6	Mr. Miller, would you say that loudly,
7	The renewal application, you know,	7	please?
8	basically the same is option to renew for an	8	MR. MILLER:
9	additional five years of 80 percent exemption	9	The form, the project application form,
10	or 93 for the Mega projects. The APPR is	10	it has a lot of information, is it actually
11	required to be filed for all project	11	the same amount of information that the
12	construction years in order to renew. It goes	12	multiple forms were, just consolidated in one,
13	to the Board for consideration. LED presents	13	or is it actually less information collected
14	the renewal application to BC&I for	14	but fills the job?
15	consideration and the renewal contract,	15	MS. PORTER:
16	another five-year renewal, 80 percent, 93 for	16	The project application is a hybrid, so
17	Mega.	17	it's going to be a portion of the description
18	Upon the Board approval, ITEP contract is	18	of the project that's in advance, but it's
19	sent for signatures to all parties. And just	19	more descriptive. That's a part of the
20	as the current ITEP Rule 529 provides that the	20	application. So I guess you're going to have
21	Board shall have the option of submitting a	21	to describe in basically entirely what your
22	Board approved renewal app to the local ITEP	22	project is upfront. That's a part of the
23	Committee for approval, that will be the same	23	project application.
24	option here.	24	So just like with the front-end, you need
25	All right. So in summary, our proposed	25	to know what your project is. Where advance,
	Page 18		Page 20
1	changes requires all exemptions to be applied	1	it's descriptive, but with the and,
2	for preconstruction. So creates more	2	therefore after, it's more of a description on
3	objectivity, eligible criteria, and a less	3	the application, this would be more of
4	cumbersome path from project conception to	4	definitive project description in the
5	contract issuance. It reworks existing	5	application, okay, if I explained that
6	processes to be more easily understood. It's	6	properly.
7	not as cumbersome. You don't have as many	7	MR. MILLER:
8	deadlines that you have to try to remember.	8	And then on the reporting side, you
9	It's that one deadline March 31st, and you	9	mentioned the Annual Project Property Reports
10	have that all of the other forms rolled up	10	
11	into that one form, reduces the total number	11	MS. PORTER:
12	of required forms and attachments, and then	12	Yes.
13	better aligns the timing of the Annual	13	MR. MILLER:
14	Property Project Report filing with other	14	you mentioned similar to the LAT 5
15	taxing authority deadlines.	15	deadline, which sounds pretty appropriate.
16	CHAIRMAN JONES:	16	MS. PORTER:
17	Thank you, Ms. Porter. And for those of	17	Yes.
18	you in the audience or may be listening	18	MR. MILLER:
19	online, I know you're asking, can we get a	19	Would there be a possibility that the LAT
20	copy of that, and this will be supplied on the	20	5 could serve as the just instead of
21	LED website; is that right?	21	creating basically, it's the same
22	MS. PORTER:	22	information.
23	Yes.	23	MS. JOHNSON:
24	MS. JOHNSON:	24	I think we were looking at trying to get
25	It's already there.	25	them to kind of mirror each other.
	Page 19		Page 21

1 MR. MILLER: 1 notification of it	
2 So you don't do it one way on the LAT 5 2 MR. POLOZOL	LA:
3 and another way on our form that the LAT 5 3 Okay.	
4 could actually be attached? Yes 4 MS. PORTER:	
_	t that we have that was a
· · ·	me back, you know, they are
_	hat's in their backyard. And
	ern about stating that there
	vance, they still would want to
-	ould see that they would have at
	f the information that would
	he advance now, because as you
	cation is not visible until
	l agenda. So we would make
	ormation would be extracted
	s could see what they would
17 MS. VILLA: 17 have in their bac	-
18 Yeah. 18 CHAIRMAN JO	
	'm sorry, I'm trying to
	thought about this more than
	'all have developed a good
22 MS. JOHNSON: 22 presentation.	
23 I'm sorry, Kristen Johnson. 23 MS. PORTER:	
24 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 And we're sti	_
25 I'm sorry, Mrs. Johnson actually. I 25 CHAIRMAN JC	
Page 22	Page 24
1 wasn't invited to the wedding, so I'm sorry, 1 And we all a	re.
2 Mrs. Johnson. 2 Ms. PORTER:	
3 MS. JOHNSON: 3 Yes.	
4 ITEP Program Administrator at LED. 4 CHAIRMAN JC	ONES:
5 CHAIRMAN JONES: 5 That's a point	t that shouldn't be passed
6 Thank you very much. All right. Go 6 over too quickly	because we are.
7 right ahead. 7 MS. PORTER:	
8 MR. MILLER: 8 Right.	
9 All right. I think I'm good right now. 9 CHAIRMAN JC	ONES:
10 I may come back later. 10 This is a work	k in progress. But I'm
11 CHAIRMAN JONES: 11 trying to get in n	ny mind, the application is
	ormation would be transmitted
13 MR. POLOZOLA: 13 immediately to t	the locals, is that what you're
	ceipt of the application?
model that goes in here, the locals would 15 MS. PORTER:	
still get advance notice, but just at the same 16 No. No.	
time that the project is applied for, correct?   17 CHAIRMAN JC	ONES:
18 MS. JOHNSON: 18 Okay.	
Yeah. Well, it still has to be prior to 19 MS. PORTER:	
20 any construction. 20 Typically, the	ey go into Fastlane. You
21 MR. POLOZOLA: 21 can go in the Fas	stlane and see it.
22 Right. 22 MS. JOHNSON	í:
23 MS. JOHNSON: 23 Well, right no	ow, we don't I mean
So it would be prior to any of the 24 CHAIRMAN JC	ONES:
	ng about what we're
Page 23	Page 25

1	proposing right now. My question is, what	1	will be able to see before the application is
2	will the locals get and when in the process,	2	complete. That's a form that we'll develop
3	is what I'm trying to clarify in my mind? May		and we'll put those as public information that
4	be the same question Kyle asked, but I just	4	can be seen at the time that it's filed.
5	missed it.	5	MS. PORTER:
6	MS. JOHNSON:	6	Correct, public information.
7	They don't officially get notice until	7	MS. VILLA:
8	after.	8	And so we can trigger those specific
9	CHAIRMAN JONES:	9	fields that are visible to the public and
10	After what?	10	visible to the Assessors until the complete
11	MS. JOHNSON:	11	application is ready to present to the Board;
12	Board approval.	12	at which time, when it's placed on the agenda
13	CHAIRMAN JONES:	13	the whole application all becomes public,
14	Okay.	14	correct.
15	MS. JOHNSON:	15	MS. PORTER:
16	However, it would still be, you know,	16	Yes.
17	viewable	17	MS. VILLA:
18	MS. PORTER:	18	Okay.
19	Yeah.	19	MS. PORTER:
20	MS. JOHNSON:	20	The important point was public
21	like advances are currently via	21	information.
22	Fastlane.	22	MS. VILLA:
23	MS. PORTER:	23	Yeah.
24	Right.	24	CHAIRMAN JONES:
25	CHAIRMAN JONES:	25	Okay. This is what I'm struggling with
	Page 26		Page 28
1	Okay. Is there any reason not to give	1	just a little bit. I understand that it's
2	the locals a view of that application before	2	available and accessible.
3	Board approval?	3	MS. VILLA:
4	MS. VILLA:	4	Uh-huh.
5	Yeah, they will. So I think what Kristen	5	MS. PORTER:
6	is trying to describe, and I'm sure she's	6	Yes.
7	going to correct me if I'm incorrect. But	7	CHAIRMAN JONES:
8	right now, because I had to get over this as	8	But most public officials, and I don't
9	well, honestly	9	think Robbie is sitting at his computer every
10	MS. PORTER:	10	day and going, okay, I'm going to go check
11	Yes, she did.	11	LED's website and see who's coming into my
12	MS. VILLA:	12	Parish today.
13	is the advance, I understand the	13	MS. VILLA:
14	importance of the advance notification, that	14	Right. Right.
15	when an advance is filed, it becomes	15	CHAIRMAN JONES:
16	information that locals can see; that, oh,	16	So my question is, is there any reason
17	there's a project, and it looks like it's	17	why that application upon receipt can't be
18	going to start at this date. Right now,	18	sent to the locals upon receipt? Is there a
19	that's a separate form. That information will	19	reason it can't be or shouldn't be?
20	still be part of the project application, and	20	MS. VILLA:
21	it's going to be accessible by the locals	21	It would just have to be programmed to do
22	prior to it going to the Board.	22	such. Like, who's the is it going to go to
23	That will be part of the public	23	the ITEP Committee? There's going to have to
24	information that you'll be able to see, and	24	be a designee. Those are
25	the public will be able to see, and the locals	25	CHAIRMAN JONES:
	Page 27		Page 29

Yeah.  MS. PORTER: So I think what you're saying, Gerry, is if anything, probably to go back and think about MS. VILLA: The notification. MS. PORTER: MS. PORTER: MS. PORTER: MS. PORTER: MS. PORTER: MS. DOHNSON: MS. PORTOR: MS. PORTOR: MS. PORTER: MS. JOHNSON: MS. PORTOR: MS. PORTER: MS. JOHNSON: MS. PORTER:  MS. JOHNSON: MS. PORTER:  MS. JOHNSON: MS. PORTER:  MS. JOHNSON: MS. PORTER:  MS. JOHNSON: MS. PORTER:  MS. JOHNSON: MS. PORTER:  MS. JOHNSON: MS. PORTER:  MS. JOHNSON: MS. PORTER:  MS. JOHNSON: MS. PORTER:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. PORTER:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. PORTER:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. PORTER:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. P				
Yeah				
4 MS. PORTER: 5 So I think what you're saying, Gerry, is 6 if anything, probably to go back and think 7 about 8 MS. VII.A: 9 The notification. 10 MS. PORTER: 11 Yeah. Proactively, you're saying 12 proactively sending something versus 13 MS. JOHNSON: 14 I'm sorry. My question is, are you 15 wanting it to be sent at that point and have 16 them consider it then before BC&I? 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 That's a fair question, fair question. I 19 don't know the answer. 20 MS. PORTER: 21 Yeah. Posactively wanting it point and have 22 CHAIRMAN JONES: 23 I don't know the answer to the question. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Yeah. 26 Page 30 27 CHAIRMAN JONES: 28 But where I'm getting is, is I'm wary of 30 the public accessibility versus public notice. 40 That's two different things in my world. And 41 I would seem to me, and I'm not married 41 to anything at this point because this is a something being received every day in something bemore around manufacturing projects, is that there's a weekly report that we issue and that's automatically sent out. Because you have a tendency to start getting things in your inbox around manufacturing theirs grow in weekly report that we issue and that's automatically sent out. Because you have a tendency to start getting things in your inbox around automatically sent out. Because you have a tendency to start getting things in your inbox and you're just going to discard it.  MS. JOHNSON:  18 I will any our end you're just going to discard it.  19 Any you're just going to discard it.  10 MS. JOHNSON:  10 L'HAIRMA	1	MS. VILLA:		from a programmatic standpoint, from a
So I think what you're saying, Gerry, is if anything, probably to go back and think about  8 MS. VII.LA:  10 MS. PORTER: 11 Yeah. Proactively, you're saying 12 proactively sending something versus 13 MS. JOHNSON: 14 I'm sorry. My question is, are you 15 wanting it to be sent at that point and have 16 them consider it then before BC&t? 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 That's a fair question, fair question. I 19 don't know the answer. 20 MS. PORTER: 21 Yeah, because we talked about 22 CHAIRMAN JONES: 23 I don't know the answer to the question. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Yeah. 26 CHAIRMAN JONES: 27 CHAIRMAN JONES: 28 But where I'm getting is, is I'm wary of the public accessibility versus public notice. 49 That's two different things in my world. And if - but yet we're basically moving the burden to the locals to police the LED website to be sure to see  9 what's coming their way. 10 It would seem to me, and I'm not married to anything at this point because this is a work in progress, but it seems to me that it oanything at this point because this is a work in progress, but it seems to me that it to anything at this point because this is a work in progress, but it seems to me that it to anything at this point because this is a work in progress, but it seems to me that it to anything at this point because this is a work in progress, but it seems to me that it to anything at this point because this is a work in progress, but it seems to me that it to anything at this point because this is a work in progress, but it seems to me that if the hecals upon received every day in someone's inbox obteme a weekly report that we lave a lot of volume around manufacturing projects, is that there's a weekly report that we ekely it proport just going to discard it.  MS. PORTER:  And you don't see it.  Ms. PORTER:  And you don't see it.  Ms. JOHNSON:  17 Will say, currently, when things are received, the Assessors are copied via any out on box and you'd pust plot plot plot in the dendency to late and pour because the local point is sufficient	3		3	
6 if anything, probably to go back and think about 8 MS. VILLA: 9 The notification. 10 MS. PORTER: 11 Yeah. Proactively, you're saying proactively sending something versus 12 MS. JOHNSON: 13 MS. JOHNSON: 14 Tm sorry. My question is, are you wanting it to be sent at that point and have the the monsider it then before BC&I? 16 don't know the answer. 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 That's a fair question, fair question. 19 don't know the answer. 20 MS. PORTER: 21 Yeah, because we talked about 22 CHAIRMAN JONES: 23 I don't know the answer to the question. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Yeah. 26 CHAIRMAN JONES: 27 CHAIRMAN JONES: 28 But where I'm getting is, is I'm wary of the public accessibility versus public notice. 30 That's two different things in my world. And be website and see what's on there, but yet we're basically moving the burden to the locals to police the LED website to be sure to see what's coming their way. 10 It would seem to me, and I'm not married to anything at this point because this is a work in progress, but it seems to me that it would make sense for if we're trying to serve the purpose of the advance notification, the advance notification, the advance notification, the advance notification, the locals upon receipt. And if but if the locals upon receipt. And if but if there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, is too administratively difficult, then educate me. 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Just brainstorming here since we're having this open dialogue 23 Ms. VILLA: 24 CHAIRMAN JONES: 25 Yeah. 26 MS. PORTER: 27 OHAIRMAN JONES: 28 I think your point, Gerry, is definitely founded. Big reason why I think we're here is because the local notification. We didn't have advance notification, the locals upon receipt. And if but if the locals upon receipt. And if but if the read and the read to the probably not a bad option. 29 Just	4		4	•
The notification	5	So I think what you're saying, Gerry, is	5	
8 MS. VILLA: 9 The notification. 9 MS. PORTER: 10 Yeah. Proactively, you're saying 11 proactively sending something versus - 12 maturomatically sent out. Because you have a tendency to start getting things in your inbox and you're just going to discard it. 11 MS. JOHNSON: 12 Im sorry. My question is, are you 16 them consider it then before BC&t? 13 MS. JOHNSON: 14 Im sorry. My question is, are you 17 thanks a fair question is, are you 18 them consider it then before BC&t? 16 CHAIRMAN JONES: 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 That's a fair question, fair question. I 19 don't know the answer. 19 MS. PORTER: 10 MS. PORTER: 11 CHAIRMAN JONES: 12 Yeah, because we talked about - 12 Im start with the propose of the public accessibility versus public notice. 11 CHAIRMAN JONES: 12 But where I'm getting is, is I'm wary of 18 Im the website and see what's on there, but yet we're basically moving the burden to the locals to police the LED website to be sure to see what's coming their way. 10 If would seem to me, and I'm not married 11 to anything at this point because this is a work in progress, but it seems to me that it would seem to me, and I'm not married 11 to anything at this point because this is a work in progress, but it seems to me that it would seem to me, and I'm not married 12 would make sense for, if we're trying to serve 14 the purpose of the advance notification, with the application, 17 the locals upon receipt. And if but if there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, 18 is too administratively difficult, then 20 educate me. 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Just brainstorming here since we're 23 having this open dialogue 24 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 But the reality of who has to make the very 25 But the reality of who has to make the very 26 But the reality of who has to make the very 27 bear 19 the reality of who has to make the very 28 But the reality of who has to make the very 29 bear 19 the reality of who has to make the very 29 bear 19 the reality of who has to make the very 29 bear 19 the reality of who has to make the very 29	6	if anything, probably to go back and think	6	someone's inbox potentially, especially from
The notification.  MS. PORTER:  MS. JOHNSON:  The mostry. My question is, are you  MS. JOHNSON:  The mostry. My question is, are you  MS. JOHNSON:  The mostry. My question is, are you  MS. JOHNSON:  The mostry. My question is, are you  MS. JOHNSON:  The mostry. My question is, are you  MS. PORTER:  MS. JOHNSON:  That's a fair question, fair question. I don't know the answer.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  That's a fair question, fair question. I don't know the answer.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Tyeah.  Page 30  TCHAIRMAN JONES:  That's two different things in my world. And for basically moving the burden to the locals to anything at this point because this is a work in progress, but it seems to me that it would make sense for, if we're trying to serve the purpose of the advance notification, the advance notification, the advance notification, the that we go ahead and provide that document to the locals upon receipt. And if — but if there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, is too administratively difficult, then educate me.  MS. VILA:  Sweath report that we issue and that's automatically sent out. Because you have a automatically sent out. Because you have a alt tendency to star gut your inbox and you're just going to discard it.  MS. PORTER:  MS. JOHNSON:  I will say, currently, when things are received, the Assessors are copied via Fastlane, but it's not, you know, every single local body.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Right.  MS. JOHNSON:  The motification when want to speak here.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Dokay. I've got folks who want to speak here.  MS. JOHNSON:  The motification who want was a way.  MS. JOHNSON:  The motification who want was a way.  MS. JOHNSON:  The motification who want was a way.  The motification who want was a way.  The motification was pounded that was a way and a local notification who want was a way.  The motification was in your inbox and you're just going to discard it.  MS. JOHNSON:  That's purple was sensor are copied via Fastlane, but it's not, you know, every single local body.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  The motificati		about	7	
10 MS. PORTER: Yeah. Proactively, you're saying   11	8	MS. VILLA:	8	around manufacturing projects, is that there's
11 Yeah. Proactively, you're saying 12 proactively sending something versus 13 MS. JOHNSON: 14 I'm sorry. My question is, are you 15 wanting it to be sent at that point and have 16 them consider it then before BC&I? 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 That's a fair question, fair question. I 19 don't know the answer. 20 MS. PORTER: 21 Yeah. because we talked about 22 CHAIRMAN JONES: 23 I don't know the answer to the question. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Yeah. 26 CHAIRMAN JONES: 27 I don't know the answer to the question. 28 MS. PORTER: 29 Yeah. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 20 I don't know the answer to the question. 21 MS. JOHNSON: 22 CHAIRMAN JONES: 23 I don't know the answer to the question. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Yeah. 26 CHAIRMAN JONES: 27 CHAIRMAN JONES: 28 But where I'm getting is, is I'm wary of 29 the public accessibility versus public notice. 40 That's two different things in my world. And 41 I get it, if anybody can go look at the 42 work in progress, but it seems to me that it 43 would make sense for, if we're trying to serve the purpose of the advance notification, the 44 don't know the answer to the locals to police the LED website to be sure to see 45 what's coming their way. 46 MS. JOHNSON: 47 CHAIRMAN JONES: 48 Page 30 49 CHAIRMAN JONES: 49 What's coming their way. 40 CHAIRMAN JONES: 40 CHAIRMAN JONES: 41 Okay. I've got folks who want to speak here. 41 Okay. I've got folks who want to speak here. 42 Okay. 43 CHAIRMAN JONES: 44 Okay. 45 CHAIRMAN JONES: 46 MR. MILLER: 47 MR. MILLER: 48 I think your point, Gerry, is definitely founded. Big reason why I think we're here is because the local notification has gone away. 49 If you go way back into the '80s, there was always a local notification has gone away. 40 If you go way back into the '80s, there was always a local notification who will the polication, the locals upon receipt. And if — but if the here's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, is too administratively difficult, then 40 I was a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, is too administratively difficult, then 41 Office of t	9		9	a weekly report that we issue and that's
12   moractively sending something versus   13   ms. JOHNSON:   14   Ms. JOHNSON:   15   ms. orty. My question is, are you   14   And you don't see it.   15   Ms. JOHNSON:   16   ms. orty. My question is, are you   16   ms. orty. My question is, are you   17   ms. orty. My question is, are you   18   And you don't see it.   19   Ms. JOHNSON:   1   will say, currently, when things are received, the Assessors are copied via   17   received, the Assessors are copied via   18   Fastlane, but it's not, you know, every single local body.   CHAIRMAN JONES:   20   CHAIRMAN JONES:   21   Yeah, because we talked about   21   Kight.   Ms. JOHNSON:   1   min to -1 think we need clarification on who exactly   CHAIRMAN JONES:   24   ms. JOHNSON:   1   min to -1 think we need clarification on who exactly   CHAIRMAN JONES:   25   ms. the public accessibility versus public notice.   4   That's two different things in my world. And   1   get it, if anybody can go look at the website and see what's on there, but yet we're basically moving the burden to the locals to police the LED website to be sure to see   9   what's coming their way.   10   It would seem to me, and I'm not married to anything at this point because this is a   work in progress, but it seems to me that it   would make sense for, if we're trying to serve   14   the purpose of the advance notification, the advance notification with the application, the advance notification with the application, the locals upon receipt. And if - but if the locals upon receipt. And if - but if the there are areason that can't be, shouldn't be, is too administratively difficult, then   20   cducate me.   20   Ms. VII.A:   21   there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, is too administratively difficult, then   20   cducate me.   20   Ms. VII.A:   21   there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, is too administratively difficult, then   21   there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, is too administratively difficult, then   22   Landa of the county of the locals	10	MS. PORTER:	10	automatically sent out. Because you have a
13 MS. JOHNSON: 14 I'm sorry. My question is, are you 15 wanting it to be sent at that point and have 16 them consider it then before BC&I? 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 That's a fair question, fair question. I 19 don't know the answer. 20 MS. PORTER: 21 Yeah, because we talked about 22 CHAIRMAN JONES: 23 I don't know the answer to the question. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Yeah. 26 Page 30 27 Yeah, because we talked about 28 But where I'm getting is, is I'm wary of 3 the public accessibility versus public notice. 4 That's two different things in my world. And 5 I get it, if anybody can go look at the 6 website and see what's on there, but yet we're 7 basically moving the burden to the locals to 8 police the LED website to be sure to see 9 what's coming their way. 10 It would seem to me, and I'm not married 11 to anything at this point because this is a 2 work in progress, but it seems to me that it 13 would make sense for, if we're trying to serve 14 the purpose of the advance notification, the 15 there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, 16 is too administratively difficult, then 27 deducte me. 28 MS. JOHNSON: 29 Thin to -1 think we need clarification 29 Okay. I've got folks who want to speak 29 here. 20 MS. JOHNSON: 21 Thin to -1 think we need clarification 21 Okay. I've got folks who want to speak 22 Here. 23 MS. JOHNSON: 24 NS. JOHNSON: 25 Thin to -1 think we need clarification 26 Okay. 27 CHAIRMAN JONES: 28 Thin to -1 think we need clarification 29 Okay. 20 Okay. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 31 Okay. I've got folks who want to speak 32 here. 33 MS. JOHNSON: 34 MS. JOHNSON: 35 Thin to -1 think we need clarification 36 Okay. 36 CHAIRMAN JONES: 37 Thin to -1 think we need clarification 38 Okay. 39 Okay. 30 Okay. 31 CHAIRMAN JONES: 40 Okay. 41 Okay. 42 CHAIRMAN JONES: 41 Think your point, Gerry, is definitely 42 founded. Big reason why I think we're here is 43 because the local notification has gone away. 41 If you go way back into the '80s, there was 44 laways a local notification has gone 45 Okay. 46 Okay. 47 CHAIRMAN JONES:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11	tendency to start getting things in your inbox
14 I'm sorry. My question is, are you wanting it to be sent at that point and have to the them consider it then before BC&I? 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 That's a fair question, fair question. I 19 don't know the answer. 29 MS. PORTER: 20 MS. PORTER: 21 Yeah, because we talked about 22 CHAIRMAN JONES: 23 I don't know the answer to the question. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Yeah. 26 Page 30  1 CHAIRMAN JONES: 27 Yeah. 28 But where I'm getting is, is I'm wary of the public accessibility versus public notice. 30 It get it, if anybody can go look at the website and see what's on there, but yet we're basically moving the burden to the locals to to what's coming their way. 31 It would seem to me, and I'm not married to anything at this point because this is a work in progress, but it seems to me that it would make sense for, if we're trying to serve the purpose of the advance notification, the advance notification with the application, that we go ahead and provide that document to the locals upon receipt. And if but if the here's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, educate me. 31 MS. JOHNSON: 32 CHAIRMAN JONES: 33 CHAIRMAN JONES: 44 Dokay. I've got folks who want to speak here. 55 Mr. MILLER: 66 Website and see what's on there, but yet we're basically moving the burden to the locals to what's coming their way. 56 Page 30  19 Okay. I've got folks who want to speak here. 67 Dokay. I've got folks who want to speak here. 78 Dokay. I've got folks who want to speak here. 79 Dokay. I've got folks who want to speak here. 70 MS. JOHNSON: 70 CHAIRMAN JONES: 71 Okay. I've got folks who want to speak here. 72 MS. JOHNSON: 73 That's two different things in my world. And speak here. 74 Dokay. I've got folks who want to speak here. 75 Dokay. I've got folks who want to speak here. 76 MR. MILLER: 77 MR. MILLER: 88 JOHNSON: 89 Okay. 89 CHAIRMAN JONES: 89 I've got folks who want to speak here. 80 JOHNSON: 80 Okay. 81 CHAIRMAN JONES: 81 Think your point, Gerry, is definitely founded. Big reason why I think we're here is because the local notifica	1			
them consider it then before BC&I? CHAIRMAN JONES: That's a fair question, fair question. I foot know the answer. The system of the public accessibility versus public notice. That's two different things in my world. And I get it, if anybody can go look at the website and see what's on there, but yet we're basically moving the burden to the locals to anything at this point because this is a work in progress, but it seems to me that it would make sense for, if we're trying to serve that we go ahead and provide that document to the locals upon receipt. And if but here's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, educate me.  MS. VILLA:  It will say, currently, when things are received, the Assessors are copied via Fastlane, but it's not, you know, every single local body.  I will say, currently, when things are received, the Assessors are copied via Fastlane, but it's not, you know, every single local body.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Right.  MS. JOHNSON:  I'm not I think we need clarification on who exactly CHAIRMAN JONES:  A but where I'm getting is, is I'm wary of the public accessibility versus public notice.  That's two different things in my world. And I get it, if anybody can go look at the website and see what's on there, but yet we're basically moving the burden to the locals to anything at this point because this is a work in progress, but it seems to me that it advance notification, the the purpose of the advance notification, the that we go ahead and provide that document to the locals upon receipt. And if - but if the cells upon receipt. And if - but if there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, educate me.  MS. JOHNSON:  I'm not I think we need clarification  Okay.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  A Okay. T've got folks who want to speak here.  MS. JOHNSON:  A While first.  MR. MILLER:  I think your point, Gerry, is definitely founded. Big reason why I think we're here is because the local notification we didn't have always a local notification. We didn't have always a local notification. We didn't have always a local	1			
them consider it then before BC&I? CHAIRMAN JONES: That's a fair question, fair question. I MS. PORTER: Tyeah, because we talked about CHAIRMAN JONES: I don't know the answer to the question. MS. PORTER: Yeah, because we talked about CHAIRMAN JONES: I don't know the answer to the question. MS. PORTER: Yeah. Page 30  CHAIRMAN JONES:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Tidon't know the answer to the question. MS. PORTER: Yeah. Page 30  CHAIRMAN JONES:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Tim not I think we need clarification on who exactly CHAIRMAN JONES:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Tidon't know the answer to the question. MS. PORTER: Yeah. Page 30  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Tidon't know the answer to the question.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Tidon't know the answer to the question. MS. JOHNSON:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MS. JOHNSON:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MS. JOHNSON:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MS. JOHNSON:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MR. MILLER:  I think your point, Gerry, is definitely founded. Big reason why I think we're here is because the local notification has gone away.  If you go way back into the '80s, there was always a local notification. We didn't have alwade notification, the tady and provide that document to the locals upon receipt. And if but if the here's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, educate me.  MS. VILLA:  It would seem to me, and I'm not married to the locals upon receipt. And if but if the here's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, educate me.  MS. JOHNSON:  I'm not I think we need clarification on who exactly CHAIRMAN JONES:  MS. JOHNSON:  I'm not I think we need clarification on who exactly CHAIRMAN JONES:  MS. JOHNSON:  I'm not I think we need clarification on who exactly CHAIRMAN JONES:  MS. JOHNSON:  I'm not I think we need clarification on who exactly CHAIRMAN JONES:  MS. JOHNSON:  I'm not I think we need clarification on who exactly CHAIRMAN JONES:  MS. JOHNSON:  I'm not I think we need clarification on who exactly CHAIRMAN JONES:  MS. JOHNSON:  I'm not I think we need clarification on who exactly CHAIRMAN JONE				•
That's a fair question, fair question. I don't know the answer. 20 MS. PORTER: 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 Yeah, because we talked about 22 Idon't know the answer to the question. 22 Idon't know the answer to the question. 23 Idon't know the answer to the question. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Yeah. Page 30 CHAIRMAN JONES: 26 CHAIRMAN JONES: 27 CHAIRMAN JONES: 27 CHAIRMAN JONES: 27 CHAIRMAN JONES: 28 Dut where I'm getting is, is I'm wary of 29 the public accessibility versus public notice. 29 That's two different things in my world. And 20 I get it, if anybody can go look at the 20 what's coming their way. 29 what's coming their way. 29 to advance notification with the application, 21 twould seem to me, and I'm not married 21 to anything at this point because this is a 21 work in progress, but it seems to me that it 32 world make sense for, if we're trying to serve 14 the purpose of the advance notification, the 4 advance notification with the application, 16 that we go ahead and provide that document to 17 the locals upon receipt. And if but if 18 there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, 20 is too administratively difficult, then 21 Just brainstorming here since we're 22 Just brainstorming here since we're 22 Just brainstorming here since we're 23 having this open dialogue 24 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 But the reality of who has to make the	1		15	MS. JOHNSON:
18 That's a fair question, fair question. I 19 don't know the answer. 20 MS. PORTER: 21 Yeah, because we talked about 22 CHAIRMAN JONES: 23 I don't know the answer to the question. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Yeah. 26 Page 30  1 CHAIRMAN JONES: 27 But where I'm getting is, is I'm wary of a the public accessibility versus public notice. 28 That's two different things in my world. And because what's on there, but yet we're abasically moving the burden to the locals to anything at this point because this is a work in progress, but it seems to me that it would make sense for, if we're trying to serve the purpose of the advance notification, the advance notification with the application, the the locals upon receipt. And if but if the locals up	1	them consider it then before BC&I?	16	· ·
19   don't know the answer.   19   local body.	17	CHAIRMAN JONES:	17	*
20 MS. PORTER: Yeah, because we talked about CHAIRMAN JONES: 1 don't know the answer to the question. MS. PORTER: Yeah. Page 30				
21	1			•
22 CHAIRMAN JONES: 23 I don't know the answer to the question.  MS. PORTER: 25 Yeah.  26 CHAIRMAN JONES: 2 But where I'm getting is, is I'm wary of 3 the public accessibility versus public notice. 4 That's two different things in my world. And 5 I get it, if anybody can go look at the 6 website and see what's on there, but yet we're 7 basically moving the burden to the locals to 8 police the LED website to be sure to see 9 what's coming their way. 10 It would seem to me, and I'm not married 11 to anything at this point because this is a 12 work in progress, but it seems to me that it 13 would make sense for, if we're trying to serve 14 the purpose of the advance notification, the 15 advance notification with the application, 16 that we go ahead and provide that document to 17 the locals upon receipt. And if but if 18 there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, 19 is too administratively difficult, then 20 educate me. 21 MS. JOHNSON: 22 MS. JOHNSON: 23 MS. JOHNSON: 3 CHAIRMAN JONES: 4 Okay. I've got folks who want to speak 4 here. 3 MS. JOHNSON: 4 CHAIRMAN JONES: 5 CHAIRMAN JONES: 6 Mr. Miller first. 7 MR. MILLER: 8 I think your point, Gerry, is definitely 9 founded. Big reason why I think we're here is 10 because the local notification has gone away. 11 If you go way back into the '80s, there was 12 allways a local notification. We didn't have 13 allways a local notification. We didn't have 14 that don't know what it's like without the 15 internet, and I think that's what caused some 16 the grief that we have had over the last 17 ten pot I think we need clarification 18 on who exactly 24 CHAIRMAN JONES:  10 Okay. I've got folks who want to speak 19 here.  11 Okay. I've got folks who want to speak 10 Page 32  11 Okay. I've got folks who want to speak 12 here. 13 MS. JOHNSON: 14 here. 15 MR. MILLER: 16 I think your point, Gerry, is definitely 17 founded. Big reason why I think we're here is 18 lecause the local notification. We didn't have 19 allways a local notification. We didn't have 11 internet, an	1	MS. PORTER:	20	CHAIRMAN JONES:
Idon't know the answer to the question.  MS. PORTER: Yeah.  Page 30  CHAIRMAN JONES:  But where I'm getting is, is I'm wary of the public accessibility versus public notice. That's two different things in my world. And I get it, if anybody can go look at the website and see what's on there, but yet we're basically moving the burden to the locals to police the LED website to be sure to see what's coming their way.  It would seem to me, and I'm not married to anything at this point because this is a work in progress, but it seems to me that it would make sense for, if we're trying to serve the purpose of the advance notification, the advance notification with the application, the the locals upon receipt. And if - but if there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, is too administratively difficult, then educate me.  MS. PORTER:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MS. JOHNSON:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MR. MILLER:  I think your point, Gerry, is definitely founded. Big reason why I think we're here is because the local notification has gone away. If you go way back into the '80s, there was all the electronics for all you young people that don't know what it's like without the internet, and I think that's what caused some of the grief that we have had over the last ten years roughly. The email weekly is probably not a bad option.  But the is that the back to Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is that the notice that the Committee has to get together or is it just so we kind of know what's coming. And the Assessors, you could ask the Assessors to send it to everybody.  Yeah.		Yeah, because we talked about		
24 MS. PORTER: 25 Yeah.  Page 30  1 CHAIRMAN JONES: 2 But where I'm getting is, is I'm wary of 3 the public accessibility versus public notice. 4 That's two different things in my world. And 5 I get it, if anybody can go look at the 6 website and see what's on there, but yet we're 7 basically moving the burden to the locals to 8 police the LED website to be sure to see 9 what's coming their way. 10 It would seem to me, and I'm not married 11 to anything at this point because this is a 12 work in progress, but it seems to me that it 13 would make sense for, if we're trying to serve 14 the purpose of the advance notification, the 15 advance notification with the application, 16 that we go ahead and provide that document to 17 the locals upon receipt. And if—but if 18 there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, 19 is too administratively difficult, then 20 educate me. 21 MS. JOHNSON: 22 MR. MILLER: 3 MR. MILLER: 4 MR. MILLER: 5 MR. MILLER: 5 MR. MILLER: 6 Website and see what's on there, but yet we're 6 Was. JOHNSON: 6 MR. MILLER: 7 MR. MILLER: 8 I think your point, Gerry, is definitely founded. Big reason why I think we're here is because the local notification has gone away. 11 If you go way back into the '80s, there was always a local notification. We didn't have all the electronics for all you young people that don't know what it's like without the internet, and I think that's what caused some of the grief that we have had over the last ten years roughly. The email weekly is probably not a bad option. 16 But the—is that the—back to 17 MR. JONES: 18 I think your point, Gerry, is definitely founded. Big reason why I think we're here is because the local notification. We didn't have all ways a local notification. We didn't have all the electronics for all you young people that don't know what it's like without the internet, and I think that's what caused some of the grief that we have had over the last ten years roughly. The email weekly is probably not a bad option. 18 Hore is that the—back to white in the pol		CHAIRMAN JONES:		MS. JOHNSON:
25 Yeah.  Page 30  CHAIRMAN JONES:  But where I'm getting is, is I'm wary of 3 the public accessibility versus public notice. 4 That's two different things in my world. And 5 I get it, if anybody can go look at the 6 website and see what's on there, but yet we're 7 basically moving the burden to the locals to 8 police the LED website to be sure to see 9 what's coming their way. 10 It would seem to me, and I'm not married 11 to anything at this point because this is a 12 work in progress, but it seems to me that it 13 would make sense for, if we're trying to serve 14 the purpose of the advance notification, the 15 advance notification with the application, 16 that we go ahead and provide that document to 17 the locals upon receipt. And if but if 18 there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, 19 is too administratively difficult, then 20 educate me. 21 MS. JOHNSON: 22 Just brainstorming here since we're 23 here. 3 MS. JOHNSON: 4 Okay. CHAIRMAN JONES: 4 MR. MILLER: 7 MR. MILLER: 8 I think your point, Gerry, is definitely founded. Big reason why I think we're here is because the local notification. We didn't have all ways a local notification. We didn't have all the electronics for all you young people that don't know what it's like without the internet, and I think that's what caused some of the grief that we have had over the last ten years roughly. The email weekly is probably not a bad option. 19 But the is that the back to 10 Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is that the notice that the Committee has to get together or is it just so we kind of know what it's like without the of the grief that we have had over the last ten years roughly. The email weekly is probably not a bad option.  But the is that the back to 11 Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is that the notice that the Committee has to get together or is it just so we kind of know what it's just brainstorming here since we're 21 Just brainstorming here since we're 22 Just brainstorming here since we're 23 having this open d	23		23	I'm not I think we need clarification
Page 30  CHAIRMAN JONES:  But where I'm getting is, is I'm wary of the public accessibility versus public notice.  That's two different things in my world. And I get it, if anybody can go look at the website and see what's on there, but yet we're basically moving the burden to the locals to Police the LED website to be sure to see what's coming their way.  It would seem to me, and I'm not married to anything at this point because this is a work in progress, but it seems to me that it would make sense for, if we're trying to serve the purpose of the advance notification, the advance notification with the application, the locals upon receipt. And if but if the locals upon receipt. And if b	24	MS. PORTER:	24	on who exactly
1 CHAIRMAN JONES: 2 But where I'm getting is, is I'm wary of 3 the public accessibility versus public notice. 4 That's two different things in my world. And 5 I get it, if anybody can go look at the 6 website and see what's on there, but yet we're 7 basically moving the burden to the locals to 8 police the LED website to be sure to see 9 what's coming their way. 10 It would seem to me, and I'm not married 11 to anything at this point because this is a 12 work in progress, but it seems to me that it 13 would make sense for, if we're trying to serve 14 the purpose of the advance notification, the 15 advance notification with the application, 16 that we go ahead and provide that document to 17 the locals upon receipt. And if but if 18 there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, 19 is too administratively difficult, then 20 educate me. 21 MS. JOHNSON: 22 Just brainstorming here since we're 23 having this open dialogue 24 CHAIRMAN JONES: 25 Yeah. 26 MS. JOHNSON: 27 MS. JOHNSON: 28 here. 3 MS. JOHNSON: 4 Okay. 28 CHAIRMAN JONES: 4 MR. MILLER: 8 I think your point, Gerry, is definitely 9 founded. Big reason why I think we're here is 9 because the local notification has gone away. 11 If you go way back into the '80s, there was 12 always a local notification. We didn't have 13 all the electronics for all you young people 14 that don't know what it's like without the 15 internet, and I think that's what caused some 16 of the grief that we have had over the last 17 ten years roughly. The email weekly is 18 probably not a bad option. 19 But the is that the back to 19 Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is 10 the purpose of the advance notification with the notice that the Committee has to get 17 to anything at this point because this is a 18 If you go way back into the '80s, there was 19 all the electronics for all you young people 10 that don't know what it's like without the 11 think that's what caused some 12 of the grief that we have had over the last 13 ten years roughly. The email weekly is 14 that	25		25	
2 But where I'm getting is, is I'm wary of 3 the public accessibility versus public notice. 4 That's two different things in my world. And 5 I get it, if anybody can go look at the 6 website and see what's on there, but yet we're 7 basically moving the burden to the locals to 8 police the LED website to be sure to see 9 what's coming their way. 10 It would seem to me, and I'm not married 11 to anything at this point because this is a 12 work in progress, but it seems to me that it 13 would make sense for, if we're trying to serve 14 the purpose of the advance notification, the 15 advance notification with the application, 16 that we go ahead and provide that document to 17 the locals upon receipt. And if but if 18 there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, 19 is too administratively difficult, then 20 educate me. 21 MS. JOHNSON: 25 HAIRMAN JONES: 3 MS. JOHNSON: 4 Okay. CHAIRMAN JONES: 5 MR. MILLER: 1 I think your point, Gerry, is definitely founded. Big reason why I think we're here is 10 because the local notification has gone away. 11 If you go way back into the '80s, there was always a local notification. We didn't have always a local notification with the application, the that don't know what it's like without the internet and I think that's what caused some of the grief that we have had over the last ten y		Page 30		Page 32
the public accessibility versus public notice. That's two different things in my world. And I get it, if anybody can go look at the website and see what's on there, but yet we're basically moving the burden to the locals to what's coming their way.  It would seem to me, and I'm not married to anything at this point because this is a work in progress, but it seems to me that it would make sense for, if we're trying to serve the purpose of the advance notification, the davance notification with the application, that we go ahead and provide that document to the locals upon receipt. And if but if there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, is too administratively difficult, then deducate me.  MS. VILLA:  MR. MILLER: I think your point, Gerry, is definitely founded. Big reason why I think we're here is because the local notification has gone away. If you go way back into the '80s, there was always a local notification. We didn't have all the electronics for all you young people that don't know what it's like without the internet, and I think that's what caused some of the grief that we have had over the last ten years roughly. The email weekly is probably not a bad option.  But the is that the back to  Mr. Dones, I guess it's more discussion, is that the notice that the Committee has to get together or is it just so we kind of know what's coming. And the Assessors, you could ask the Assessors to send it to everybody. Yeah.	1	CHAIRMAN JONES:	1	Okay. I've got folks who want to speak
4 That's two different things in my world. And 5 I get it, if anybody can go look at the 6 website and see what's on there, but yet we're 7 basically moving the burden to the locals to 8 police the LED website to be sure to see 9 what's coming their way. 10 It would seem to me, and I'm not married 11 to anything at this point because this is a 12 work in progress, but it seems to me that it 13 would make sense for, if we're trying to serve 14 the purpose of the advance notification, the 15 advance notification with the application, 16 that we go ahead and provide that document to 17 the locals upon receipt. And if but if 18 there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, 19 is too administratively difficult, then 20 educate me. 21 MS. VILLA: 21 Just brainstorming here since we're 23 Just brainstorming here since we're 24 CHAIRMAN JONES:  6 Mr. MilLER: 1 I think your point, Gerry, is definitely 9 founded. Big reason why I think we're here is 10 because the local notification has gone away. 11 If you go way back into the '80s, there was all the electronics for all you young people that don't know what it's like without the internet, and I think that's what caused some of the grief that we have had over the last ten years roughly. The email weekly is probably not a bad option. 19 But the is that the back to 19 But the is that the back to 20 Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is that the notice that the Committee has to get together or is it just so we kind of know what's coming. And the Assessors, you could ask the Assessors to send it to everybody. 24 CHAIRMAN JONES: 25 Yeah.  26 CHAIRMAN JONES: 27 CHAIRMAN JONES: 28 It hink your point, Gerry, is definitely founded. Big reason why I think we're here is 10 because the local notification has gone away. 11 If you go way back into the '80s, there was all ways a local notification. We didn't have all the electronics for all you young people that that don't know what it's like without the internet, and I think that's what caused ower ten years roughl	2	But where I'm getting is, is I'm wary of	2	here.
5 I get it, if anybody can go look at the 6 website and see what's on there, but yet we're 7 basically moving the burden to the locals to 8 police the LED website to be sure to see 9 what's coming their way. 10 It would seem to me, and I'm not married 11 to anything at this point because this is a 12 work in progress, but it seems to me that it 13 would make sense for, if we're trying to serve 14 the purpose of the advance notification, the 15 advance notification with the application, 16 that we go ahead and provide that document to 17 the locals upon receipt. And if but if 18 there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, 19 is too administratively difficult, then 20 educate me. 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Just brainstorming here since we're 23 having this open dialogue 24 CHAIRMAN JONES:  5 CHAIRMAN JONES: 4 Mr. Miller first. 7 MR. MILLER: 1 I think your point, Gerry, is definitely 9 founded. Big reason why I think we're here is 10 because the local notification has gone away. 11 If you go way back into the '80s, there was always a local notification. We didn't have 12 all the electronics for all you young people that don't know what it's like without the 15 internet, and I think that's what caused some 16 of the grief that we have had over the last 17 ten years roughly. The email weekly is 18 probably not a bad option. 19 But the is that the back to 19 MS. VILLA: 20 Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is 21 that the notice that the Committee has to get 22 together or is it just so we kind of know 23 what's coming. And the Assessors, you could 24 CHAIRMAN JONES: 25 Weah. 26 CHAIRMAN JONES: 27 But the reality of who has to make the	3	the public accessibility versus public notice.	3	MS. JOHNSON:
6 website and see what's on there, but yet we're 7 basically moving the burden to the locals to 8 police the LED website to be sure to see 9 what's coming their way. 10 It would seem to me, and I'm not married 11 to anything at this point because this is a 12 work in progress, but it seems to me that it 13 would make sense for, if we're trying to serve 14 the purpose of the advance notification, the 15 advance notification with the application, 16 that we go ahead and provide that document to 17 the locals upon receipt. And if but if 18 there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, 19 is too administratively difficult, then 20 educate me. 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Just brainstorming here since we're 23 having this open dialogue 24 CHAIRMAN JONES: 25 Yeah.  6 Mr. Miller first. 7 MR. MILLER: 8 I think your point, Gerry, is definitely 9 founded. Big reason why I think we're here is 10 because the local notification has gone away. 11 If you go way back into the '80s, there was 12 always a local notification. We didn't have 13 all the electronics for all you young people 14 that don't know what it's like without the 15 internet, and I think that's what caused some 16 of the grief that we have had over the last 17 ten years roughly. The email weekly is 18 probably not a bad option. 19 But the is that the back to 20 Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is 21 that the notice that the Committee has to get 22 together or is it just so we kind of know 23 what's coming. And the Assessors, you could 24 CHAIRMAN JONES: 25 Yeah.  8 Mr. MILLER:  8 I think your point, Gerry, is definitely 10 because the local notification has gone away. 11 If you go way back into the '80s, there was all the electronics for all you young people 14 that don't know what it's like without the 15 internet, and I think that's what caused some 16 of the grief that we have had over the last 17 ten years roughly. The email weekly is 28 probably not a bad option. 29 War. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is 20 that the notice that the Committee	4	That's two different things in my world. And	4	Okay.
basically moving the burden to the locals to police the LED website to be sure to see what's coming their way.  It would seem to me, and I'm not married to anything at this point because this is a work in progress, but it seems to me that it would make sense for, if we're trying to serve the purpose of the advance notification, the advance notification with the application, that we go ahead and provide that document to that we go ahead and provide that document to the locals upon receipt. And if but if the locals upon receipt. And if but if si too administratively difficult, then educate me.  MR. MILLER:  I think your point, Gerry, is definitely because the local notification has gone away.  If you go way back into the '80s, there was all the electronics for all you young people that don't know what it's like without the internet, and I think that's what caused some of the grief that we have had over the last ten years roughly. The email weekly is probably not a bad option.  But the is that the back to  Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is that the notice that the Committee has to get together or is it just so we kind of know what's coming. And the Assessors, you could ask the Assessors to send it to everybody.  Yeah.	5	I get it, if anybody can go look at the	5	CHAIRMAN JONES:
8 police the LED website to be sure to see 9 what's coming their way. 10 It would seem to me, and I'm not married 11 to anything at this point because this is a 12 work in progress, but it seems to me that it 13 would make sense for, if we're trying to serve 14 the purpose of the advance notification, the 15 advance notification with the application, 16 that we go ahead and provide that document to 17 the locals upon receipt. And if but if 18 there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, 19 is too administratively difficult, then 20 educate me. 21 MS. VILLA: 21 Just brainstorming here since we're 23 having this open dialogue 24 CHAIRMAN JONES: 25 Yeah.  8 It think your point, Gerry, is definitely 9 founded. Big reason why I think we're here is 10 because the local notification has gone away. 11 If you go way back into the '80s, there was 12 always a local notification. We didn't have 13 all the electronics for all you young people 14 that don't know what it's like without the 15 internet, and I think that's what caused some 16 of the grief that we have had over the last 17 ten years roughly. The email weekly is 18 probably not a bad option. 19 But the is that the back to 19 Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is 20 Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is 21 that the notice that the Committee has to get 22 together or is it just so we kind of know 23 what's coming. And the Assessors, you could 24 CHAIRMAN JONES: 25 But the reality of who has to make the	6	website and see what's on there, but yet we're	6	Mr. Miller first.
what's coming their way.  It would seem to me, and I'm not married to anything at this point because this is a work in progress, but it seems to me that it would make sense for, if we're trying to serve the purpose of the advance notification, the advance notification with the application, that we go ahead and provide that document to that we go ahead and provide that document to the locals upon receipt. And if but if there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, is too administratively difficult, then educate me.  Ms. VILLA:  Just brainstorming here since we're And I mot married the purpose of the advance notification with the application, the locals upon receipt. And if but if the locals upon receipt. And if but if there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, is too administratively difficult, then the locals upon receipt. And if but if there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, is too administratively difficult, then there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, is too administratively difficult, then there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, the grief that we have had over the last the new have had option.  But the is that the back to Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is that the notice that the Committee has to get together or is it just so we kind of know what's coming. And the Assessors, you could ask the Assessors to send it to everybody. Yeah.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Yeah.  But the reality of who has to make the	7	basically moving the burden to the locals to	7	MR. MILLER:
10 It would seem to me, and I'm not married 11 to anything at this point because this is a 12 work in progress, but it seems to me that it 13 would make sense for, if we're trying to serve 14 the purpose of the advance notification, the 15 advance notification with the application, 16 that we go ahead and provide that document to 17 the locals upon receipt. And if but if 18 there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, 19 is too administratively difficult, then 20 educate me. 21 MS. VILLA: 21 Just brainstorming here since we're 23 having this open dialogue 24 CHAIRMAN JONES: 25 Yeah. 20 Int would seem to me, and I'm not married 20 because the local notification has gone away. 11 If you go way back into the '80s, there was 12 always a local notification. We didn't have 13 all the electronics for all you young people 14 that don't know what it's like without the 15 internet, and I think that's what caused some 16 of the grief that we have had over the last 17 ten years roughly. The email weekly is 18 probably not a bad option. 19 But the is that the back to 20 Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is 21 that the notice that the Committee has to get 22 together or is it just so we kind of know 23 what's coming. And the Assessors, you could 24 CHAIRMAN JONES: 25 But the reality of who has to make the	8	police the LED website to be sure to see	8	I think your point, Gerry, is definitely
to anything at this point because this is a work in progress, but it seems to me that it would make sense for, if we're trying to serve the purpose of the advance notification, the advance notification with the application, that we go ahead and provide that document to the locals upon receipt. And if but if there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, is too administratively difficult, then educate me.  MS. VILLA:  Just brainstorming here since we're  MS. VILLA:  Just brainstorming here since we're  And if but if there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, advance notification, the that don't know what it's like without the internet, and I think that's what caused some of the grief that we have had over the last ten years roughly. The email weekly is probably not a bad option.  But the is that the back to Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is that the notice that the Committee has to get together or is it just so we kind of know what's coming. And the Assessors, you could ask the Assessors to send it to everybody.  Yeah.  But the reality of who has to make the	9	what's coming their way.	9	founded. Big reason why I think we're here is
work in progress, but it seems to me that it would make sense for, if we're trying to serve the purpose of the advance notification, the advance notification with the application, that we go ahead and provide that document to the locals upon receipt. And if but if there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, is too administratively difficult, then educate me.  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  Just brainstorming here since we're  Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is that the notice that the Committee has to get together or is it just so we kind of know what's coming. And the Assessors, you could what's coming. And the Assessors to send it to everybody.  Yeah.  But the electronics for all you young people that don't know what it's like without the internet, and I think that's what caused some of the grief that we have had over the last ten years roughly. The email weekly is probably not a bad option.  But the is that the back to Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is that the notice that the Committee has to get together or is it just so we kind of know what's coming. And the Assessors, you could ask the Assessors to send it to everybody. But the reality of who has to make the	10	It would seem to me, and I'm not married	10	because the local notification has gone away.
would make sense for, if we're trying to serve the purpose of the advance notification, the advance notification with the application, that we go ahead and provide that document to the locals upon receipt. And if but if there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, is too administratively difficult, then deducate me.  MS. VILLA:  Just brainstorming here since we're  MS. VILLA:  Just brainstorming here since we're  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Yeah.  all the electronics for all you young people that don't know what it's like without the that don't know what it's like without the internet, and I think that's what caused some of the grief that we have had over the last ten years roughly. The email weekly is probably not a bad option.  But the is that the back to Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is that the notice that the Committee has to get together or is it just so we kind of know what's coming. And the Assessors, you could ask the Assessors to send it to everybody.  Yeah.	11	to anything at this point because this is a	11	If you go way back into the '80s, there was
the purpose of the advance notification, the advance notification with the application, that we go ahead and provide that document to the locals upon receipt. And if but if the re's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, is too administratively difficult, then educate me.  MS. VILLA:  Just brainstorming here since we're  MS. VILLA:  Just brainstorming here since we're  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Yeah.  14 that don't know what it's like without the internet, and I think that's what caused some of the grief that we have had over the last ten years roughly. The email weekly is probably not a bad option.  But the is that the back to Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is that the notice that the Committee has to get together or is it just so we kind of know what's coming. And the Assessors, you could ask the Assessors to send it to everybody.  But the reality of who has to make the	12	work in progress, but it seems to me that it	12	always a local notification. We didn't have
the purpose of the advance notification, the advance notification with the application, that we go ahead and provide that document to the locals upon receipt. And if but if the re's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, is too administratively difficult, then educate me.  MS. VILLA:  Just brainstorming here since we're  MS. VILLA:  Just brainstorming here since we're  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Yeah.  14 that don't know what it's like without the internet, and I think that's what caused some of the grief that we have had over the last ten years roughly. The email weekly is probably not a bad option.  But the is that the back to Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is that the notice that the Committee has to get together or is it just so we kind of know what's coming. And the Assessors, you could ask the Assessors to send it to everybody.  But the reality of who has to make the	13	would make sense for, if we're trying to serve	13	all the electronics for all you young people
advance notification with the application, that we go ahead and provide that document to the locals upon receipt. And if but if the re's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, is too administratively difficult, then educate me.  MS. VILLA: Just brainstorming here since we're  Just brainstorming here since we're  CHAIRMAN JONES: Yeah.  15 internet, and I think that's what caused some of the grief that we have had over the last ten years roughly. The email weekly is probably not a bad option.  But the is that the back to Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is that the notice that the Committee has to get together or is it just so we kind of know what's coming. And the Assessors, you could ask the Assessors to send it to everybody. But the reality of who has to make the	14		14	that don't know what it's like without the
the locals upon receipt. And if but if there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, is too administratively difficult, then deducate me.  MS. VILLA:  Just brainstorming here since we're having this open dialogue  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Yeah.  17 ten years roughly. The email weekly is probably not a bad option.  18 probably not a bad option.  19 But the is that the back to 20 Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is 21 that the notice that the Committee has to get 22 together or is it just so we kind of know 23 what's coming. And the Assessors, you could 24 ask the Assessors to send it to everybody. 25 But the reality of who has to make the	15		15	internet, and I think that's what caused some
there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, is too administratively difficult, then educate me.  MS. VILLA:  Just brainstorming here since we're having this open dialogue  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Yeah.  18 probably not a bad option.  But the is that the back to  20 Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is  21 that the notice that the Committee has to get  22 together or is it just so we kind of know  23 what's coming. And the Assessors, you could  24 ask the Assessors to send it to everybody.  25 But the reality of who has to make the	16	that we go ahead and provide that document to	16	of the grief that we have had over the last
there's a reason that can't be, shouldn't be, is too administratively difficult, then educate me.  MS. VILLA:  Just brainstorming here since we're having this open dialogue  CHAIRMAN JONES: Yeah.  18 probably not a bad option.  19 But the is that the back to  20 Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is  21 that the notice that the Committee has to get  22 together or is it just so we kind of know  23 what's coming. And the Assessors, you could 24 ask the Assessors to send it to everybody.  25 But the reality of who has to make the	17		17	ten years roughly. The email weekly is
19 is too administratively difficult, then 20 educate me. 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Just brainstorming here since we're 23 having this open dialogue 24 CHAIRMAN JONES: 25 Yeah. 29 But the is that the back to 20 Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is 21 that the notice that the Committee has to get 22 together or is it just so we kind of know 23 what's coming. And the Assessors, you could 24 ask the Assessors to send it to everybody. 25 But the reality of who has to make the	18		18	probably not a bad option.
20 educate me. 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Just brainstorming here since we're 23 having this open dialogue 24 CHAIRMAN JONES: 25 Yeah. 20 Mr. Jones, I guess it's more discussion, is 21 that the notice that the Committee has to get 22 together or is it just so we kind of know 23 what's coming. And the Assessors, you could ask the Assessors to send it to everybody. 24 ask the Assessors to send it to everybody. 25 But the reality of who has to make the	19	is too administratively difficult, then	19	
21 MS. VILLA: 22 Just brainstorming here since we're 23 having this open dialogue 24 CHAIRMAN JONES: 25 Yeah. 21 that the notice that the Committee has to get 22 together or is it just so we kind of know 23 what's coming. And the Assessors, you could ask the Assessors to send it to everybody. 24 But the reality of who has to make the	20		20	
Just brainstorming here since we're having this open dialogue CHAIRMAN JONES: Weah.  22 together or is it just so we kind of know what's coming. And the Assessors, you could ask the Assessors to send it to everybody.  But the reality of who has to make the	21	MS. VILLA:	21	-
23 having this open dialogue 24 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 ask the Assessors to send it to everybody. 25 Yeah. 25 But the reality of who has to make the	22	Just brainstorming here since we're	22	-
24 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 ask the Assessors to send it to everybody. 25 Yeah. 25 But the reality of who has to make the	23		23	what's coming. And the Assessors, you could
25 Yeah. 25 But the reality of who has to make the	24		24	•
Page 31 Page 33	25	Yeah.	25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1	Page 31		Page 33

1	decision, that goes to the Parish President,	1	vet through the application to begin with.
2	their Committee.	2	But having a weekly report that goes out and,
3	MS. VILLA:	3	you know, public information that we can do
4	Right.	4	various ways, we can send it out to those who
5	MR. MILLER:	5	opt in to receive it.
6	In our Parish, I'll tell you, it needs to	6	MR. MOSS:
7	come to the Parish President or the Police	7	Right.
8	Jury, but it ought to be a staff around. Not	8	MS. VILLA:
9	to knock Police Jury governing authority,	9	We can also post it on our website so
10	Police Jury President is usually a part timer,	10	that you can kind of see advance or within the
11	not to knock him, but you need an	11	system, have that information readily
12	administrator sitting in the office to work on	12	available to those in the public that are
13	getting that. And we'll get to that.	13	interested.
14	MS. PORTER:	14	CHAIRMAN JONES:
15	That's something that we certainly can	15	I guess the reason I'm focusing on this
16	take back and work through.	16	specific issue right now is that it
17	MS. VILLA:	17	everybody is trying to figure out how to make
18	Right.	18	this 45-day window work. I get that.
19	CHAIRMAN JONES:	19	MS. PORTER:
20	Mr. Moss, did you have a question?	20	Yes.
21	MR. MOSS:	21	CHAIRMAN JONES:
22	Yeah, I did, just a comment. I think	22	And I know that there's I think
23	over the past five years that I've sat here,	23	there's some relatively easy solutions, but
24	that we've always struggled getting the Parish		that's not my job. That's the each Parish
25	or the Sheriff's Office or someone doesn't Page 34	25	is going to have to make its own
	rage 34		Page 36
1	like to respond or just doesn't respond, maybe	1	determination.
2	they don't see the notice like Robbie is	2	MS. VILLA:
3	talking about also. I would like to see them	3	Right.
4	get this right away because we keep pushing	4	CHAIRMAN JONES:
5	and pushing for, we represent y'all, the	5	But I think one way to ease into that is
6	Parish, municipality, or whatever it is. If	6	rather than wait until Board consideration to
7	we don't have your feedback, then all of a	7	start, to give them the information so that
8	sudden, we're the bad guys in this situation.	8	and then, immediately, the 45 days starts
9	MS. VILLA:	9	running, if they have the application upon our
10	Right.	10	receipt of it or shortly within the receipt,
11	MR. MOSS:	11	the LED's receipt of it, at least they know
12	We want y'all to be part of this	12	what's going on.
13	organization and part of this decision and we	13	MS. VILLA:
14	have to have it immediately; well, not	14	Exactly.
15	immediately, but within that time frame. And	15	CHAIRMAN JONES:
16	I think that I would go with Gerry's comments,	16	And now the 45-day clock doesn't start
17	I would consider getting it out pretty	17	running until Board consideration.
18	quickly, because that way, it has time. Now,	18	MS. VILLA:
19	if they fail to respond, we're back to the	19	Right.
20	same square that we were before this proposal.	20	CHAIRMAN JONES:
20	MS. VILLA:		
/	IVIO. VILLA:	21	But yet, they've got it.
		22	MC VIII A.
22	Right. And I think the notification that	22	MS. VILLA:
22 23	Right. And I think the notification that the application has been received by the	23	Right.
22 23 24	Right. And I think the notification that the application has been received by the Department, because we're going to have to vet	23 24	Right. CHAIRMAN JONES:
22 23	Right. And I think the notification that the application has been received by the	23	Right.

among themselves. They can do whatever they among themselves. They can do whatever they the application and the time that the 45-day clock starts running, but that may give them more flexibility, if you will, to ameliorate the 45 days. That's where I'm going with that concept.  MS. VILLA:  Nokay.  MS. VILLA:  Chairman Jones:  And now whether they choose to do something with it, that's their call, but at least they have the information.  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  Chairman Jones:  Chairman Jones:  And so that's - 1 just ask  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  If there's not where I was going with my question, if there's not a reason to do it, whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we can figure out something like that, that makes sense to me at least.  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  MS. WILLA:  Team, you saw it, it's a 15 at minimum step process that's going through, but behind that is multiple forms that have to be completed and deadlines that have to be met. And when you miss a deadline, you get penalized and, you know.  So trying to come up with it and it's the ITEP team, these are guys who are doing it every day. And they're like, look, we can  Page 38  MS. PORTER:  MS. VILLA:  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORT
3 want to in that interim period of time between the application and the time that the 45-day to clock starts running, but that may give them more flexibility, if you will, to ameliorate the 45 days. That's where I'm going with that concept.
the application and the time that the 45-day clock starts running, but that may give them more flexibility, if you will, to ameliorate the 45 days. That's where I'm going with that concept.  MS. VILLA:  MS. PORTER:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  And now whether they choose to do least they have the information.  MS. VILLA:  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  MS. VILLA:  MS. PORTER:  MS. VILLA:  MS. PORTER:  M
5 clock starts running, but that may give them more flexibility, if you will, to ameliorate to the 45 days. That's where I'm going with that concept.  8 concept.  9 MS. VILLA: 10 Right. 11 MS. PORTER: 11 MS. PORTER: 11 Yeah. 15 CHARMAN JONES: 16 And now whether they choose to do something with it, that's thier call, but at least they have the information. 19 MS. VILLA: 19 Ike it. And that's kind of what it took me a minute to get these two this particular because it's something we have all lived with, right, for a very long period of time. 16 And now whether they choose to do something with it, that's their call, but at least they have the information. 19 MS. VILLA: 20 Okay. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 And so that's I just ask 22 MS. PORTER: 23 MS. PORTER: 24 Okay. 25 CHAIRMAN JONES: 26 CHAIRMAN JONES: 27 Page 38  1 If there's not where I was going with my question, if there's not a reason to do it, whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we cant figure out something like that, that makes sense to me at least. 3 MS. PORTER: 4 MS. VILLA: 5 MS. PORTER: 6 MS. VILLA: 7 Yeah. 8 MS. PORTER: 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Okay. 9 Okay. 11 Yeah, me too. 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 15 In the beginning. But, you knew, I think it's kind of like when you eat something for the first time, you wentually, oh, I think it's mutute to get these two this particular because it's something we have all lived with, right, for a very long period of time. 14 And but, no, I think it's a great improvement to how we're administering today. 15 I think that the process is more streamlined. 16 I think that the process is more streamlined. 17 I mean, you saw it, it's a 15 at minimum step process that's going through, but behind that is multiple forms that have to be met. And when you miss a deadline, you get penalized and, you know. 24 the ITEP team, these are guys who are doing it every day. And they're like, look, we can Page 40  17 Treally streamline this. And so I think what we have presented to you all is a more streamlined approach, less bure
6 more flexibility, it you will, to ameliorate the 45 days. That's where I'm going with that concept. 8 concept. 9 MS. VILLA: 10 Right. 11 MS. PORTER: 11 MS. PORTER: 11 MS. VILLA: 12 Okay. 13 MS. VILLA: 14 Yeah. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 And now whether they choose to do something with it, that's their call, but at least they have the information. 17 MS. VILLA: 18 MS. PORTER: 19 MS. VILLA: 19 like it. And that's kind of what it took me a minute to get these two this particular because it's something we have of time. 16 And but, no, I think it's a great improvement to how we're administering today. 17 If hink that the process is more streamlined. 18 least they have the information. 19 MS. VILLA: 10 Okay. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 And so that's I just ask 23 MS. PORTER: 23 MS. PORTER: 24 Okay. 25 CHAIRMAN JONES: 26 CHAIRMAN JONES: 27 Page 38 28 That's whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we can figure out something like that, that makes sense to me at least. 4 MS. PORTER: 5 MS. PORTER: 6 MS. VILLA: 6 MS. VILLA: 7 Yeah. Yeah. 8 MS. PORTER: 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Okay. 9 Okay. 9 So I think that we were able to consolidate, you know, a lot of processes in the beginning that lead to specific deadlines; one date, not multiple dates. Okay. 9 So I think that we were able to consolidate, you know, a lot of processes in the beginning that lead to specific deadlines; one date, not multiple dates. Okay. 9 So I think hit's a great improvement to how we're administering today. 11 If there's not where I was going with in multiple forms that have to be completed and deadlines that have to be completed and deadlines that have to be met. And when you miss a deadline, you get penalized and, you know. 18 The process that's going through, but behind that is multiple forms that have to be met. And when you miss a deadline, you get penalized and, you know. 16 The processes have to be met. And when you miss a deadline, you get penalized and, you know. 17 The processes in the least the process have an administrators. 18 The proces
7 the 45 days. That's where I'm going with that 8 concept. 8 concept. 9 MS. VILLA: 10 Right. 11 MS. PORTER: 11 MS. PORTER: 12 Okay. 13 MS. VILLA: 14 Yeah. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 And now whether they choose to do 17 something with it, that's their call, but at 18 least they have the information. 18 Process that's going through, but behind that is multiple forms that have to be completed and deadlines that have to be met. And when you miss a deadline, you get penalized and, you way not like it, but you keep eating it and you eventually, oh, I think I like it. And that's kind of what it took me a minute to get these two this ma mainute to get these those in the administrators.  14 If the did it, but you keep eating it and you eventually, oh, I think it's a great improvement to how we're administering today.  15 It hink that the process is more streamlined. 16 It man, you saw it, it's a 15 at minimum step process that's going through, but behind that is multiple forms that have to be completed and deadlines that have to be met. And but, no, I think it's a great improvement to how we're administering today.  16 It hink that's the process that's a
8 concept. 9 MS. VILLA: 9 MS. VILLA: 10 Right. 11 MS. PORTER: 11 MS. PORTER: 11 MS. PORTER: 11 Yeah. 12 Okay. 13 MS. VILLA: 14 Yeah. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 And now whether they choose to do something with it, that's their call, but at least they have the information. 17 MS. VILLA: 19 MS. PORTER: 20 Okay. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 MS. PORTER: 22 MS. PORTER: 23 MS. PORTER: 24 Okay. 25 CHAIRMAN JONES: 26 MS. VILLA: 27 Weah. 28 MS. PORTER: 28 MS. PORTER: 29 MS. VILLA: 29 Worknow. 20 Wring to come up with it and it's the ITEP team, these are guys who are doing it every day. And they're like, look, we can page 40  1 If there's not where I was going with my question, if there's not a reason to do it, whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we can figure out something like that, that makes sense to me at least. 4 MS. PORTER: 5 MS. PORTER: 6 MS. VILLA: 6 MS. VILLA: 7 Yeah. Yeah. 7 Yeah, Yeah. 8 MS. PORTER: 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Okay. 9 Okay. 9 Okay. 9 Okay. 10 MS. VILLA: 10 WS. VILLA: 11 Wish that we were able to consolidate, you know, a lot of processes in the beginning that lead to specific processes in the beginning that lead to specific processes in the beginning that lead to specific deadlines; one date, not multiple dates. So, yeah, I think it's a great approach to it. But it's like every process,
9 MS. VILLA: 10 Right. 11 MS. PORTER: 11 okay. 12 Okay. 13 MS. VILLA: 14 Yeah. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 And now whether they choose to do 17 something with it, that's their call, but at 18 least they have the information. 19 MS. VILLA: 20 Okay. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 It hink that the process is more streamlined. 21 If there's not a reason to do it, whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we car figure out something like that, that makes sense to me at least. 21 If there's not a reason to do it, whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we car figure out something like that, that makes sense to me at least. 3 MS. PORTER: 4 MS. PORTER: 5 Sense to me at least. 6 MS. VILLA: 7 Yeah. 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Okay. 9 So I think that we were able to consolidate, you know, a lot of processes int the beginning that lead to specific deadlines; one date, not multiple dates. So, yeah, I think it's a great improvement to how we're administering today. 16 I mean, you saw it, it's a 15 at minimum step process that's going through, but behind that is multiple forms that have to be completed and deadlines that have to be met. And when you miss a deadline, you get penalized and, you know. 22 So trying to come up with it and it's the ITEP team, these are guys who are doing it every day. And they're like, look, we can Page 40  1 If there's not where I was going with my question, if there's not a reason to do it, whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we can figure out something like that, that makes sense to me at least. 6 MS. VILLA: 7 Yeah. 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Okay. 9 So I think that the process is more streamlined approach, less bureaucratic, less burdensome for Industry 10 WS. PORTER: 11 Yeah, me too. 11 specific processes in the beginning that lead to specific deadlines; one date, not multiple dates. So, yeah, I think it's a great inlike with, right, for a very long period of time. 12 And but, no, I think it's a great ill illed with, right, for a very long period of time. 13 And but, no, I think it's a great illike illed with, right, fo
10 Right.   10 me a minute to get these two this   particular because it's something we have   12 all lived with, right, for a very long period   13 MS, VIILLA:   13 of time.   14 Yeah.   14 And but, no, I think it's a great   15 CHAIRMAN JONES:   15 improvement to how we're administering today.   16 Ithink that the process is more streamlined.   17 Ithink that the process is more streamlined.   18 Ithink that the process is more streamlined.   19 Ithink that the process is more streamlined.   10 Ithink that the process is more streamlined.   11 Ithink that the process is more streamlined.   11 Ithink that the process is more streamlined.   12 Ithink that the process is more streamlined.   13 Ithink that the process is more streamlined.   14 Ithink that the process is more streamlined.   16 Ithink that the process is more streamlined.   17 Ithink that we to be completed and deadlines that have to be completed and the Ithink that we to be completed and deadlines that have to be completed and the Ithink that we have to be completed and the Ithink that we have to be completed and the Ithink that the process is more streamlined.   17 Ithink that the process is deadlines, the Ithink that the process is more streamlined.   18 Ithink that the pr
11 MS. PORTER: 12 Okay. 13 MS. VILLA: 14 Yeah. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 And now whether they choose to do 17 something with it, that's their call, but at 18 least they have the information. 19 MS. VILLA: 20 Okay. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 And so that's I just ask 23 MS. PORTER: 24 Okay. 25 CHAIRMAN JONES: 26 THAIRMAN JONES: 27 If there's not where I was going with my question, if there's not a reason to do it, whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we can figure out something like that, that makes sense to me at least. 4 MS. PORTER: 5 MS. VILLA: 6 MS. VILLA: 7 Yeah. 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Okay. 9 Okay. 9 Okay. 10 Treally streamline this. And so I think what we have presented to you all is a more streamlined approach, less bureaucratic, less burdensome for Industry 5 MS. PORTER: 9 Okay. 10 MS. VILLA: 11 particular because it's something we have all lived with, right, for a very long period of time. 12 all lived with, right, for a very long period of time. 14 And but, no, I think it's a great improvement to how we're administering today. 15 It hink that the process is more streamlined. 16 I think that the process is more streamlined. 17 I mean, you saw it, it's a 15 at minimum step process that's going through, but behind that is is multiple forms that have to be completed and deadlines, you get penalized and, you know. 22 you know. 23 So trying to come up with it and it's the ITEP team, these are guys who are doing it every day. And they're like, look, we can really streamlined approach, less bureaucratic, less burdensome for Industry 16 There's not where I was going with we have presented to you all is a more streamlined approach, less bureaucratic, less burdensome for Industry 17 MS. PORTER: 18 Page 38  1
12 Okay. 13 MS. VILLA: 14 Yeah. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 And now whether they choose to do 17 something with it, that's their call, but at 18 least they have the information. 19 MS. VILLA: 20 Okay. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 And so that's I just ask 23 MS. PORTER: 24 Okay. 25 CHAIRMAN JONES: 26 MS. VILLA: 27 If there's not where I was going with a whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we car figure out something like that, that makes sense to me at least. 4 MS. VILLA: 5 MS. PORTER: 6 MS. VILLA: 6 MS. VILLA: 7 Yeah. 7 Yeah. 7 Yeah. 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Okay. 9 So I think that with, right, for a very long period of time. And but, no, I think it's a great improvement to how we're administering today. I think that the process is more streamlined. I I mean, you saw it, it's a 15 at minimum step process that's going through, but behind that is multiple forms that have to be completed and deadlines that have to be met. And when you miss a deadline, you get penalized and, you know. 22 So trying to come up with it and it's the ITEP team, these are guys who are doing it every day. And they're like, look, we can reason to do it, whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we can figure out something like that, that makes sense to me at least. 5 MS. PORTER: 6 MS. VILLA: 7 Yeah. Yeah. 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Okay. 9 So I think that we were able to consolidate, you know, a lot of processes in the beginning that lead to specific deadlines; one date, not multiple dates. So, yeah, I think it's a great and deadlines. Brown we're administering today. I I think that the process is more streamlined. I I mean, you saw it, it's a 15 at minimum step process that's going through, but behind that is multiple forms that have to be completed and deadlines that have to be completed and eadlines that have to be completed and deadlines that have to be completed and eadlines that have to be compl
13 MS. VILLA: 14 Yeah. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 And now whether they choose to do 17 something with it, that's their call, but at 18 least they have the information. 19 MS. VILLA: 19 In mean, you saw it, it's a 15 at minimum step 18 process that's going through, but behind that 19 is multiple forms that have to be completed 20 Okay. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 And so that's I just ask 23 MS. PORTER: 24 Okay. 25 CHAIRMAN JONES: 26 Okay. 27 MS. PORTER: 28 Page 38 28 Page 38 29 Teally streamline this. And so I think what what we have presented to you all is a more streamlined. 29 Teally streamline this. And so I think what we have presented to you all is a more streamlined approach, less bureaucratic, less burdensome for Industry 29 MS. PORTER: 20 Okay. 21 If there's not where I was going with my question, if there's not a reason to do it, whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we can figure out something like that, that makes sense to me at least. 25 MS. VILLA: 26 MS. VILLA: 27 Yeah. Yeah. 28 MS. PORTER: 29 Okay. 29 So trying to come up with it and it's the ITEP team, these are guys who are doing it every day. And they're like, look, we can Page 40 29 Teally streamline this. And so I think what we have presented to you all is a more streamlined approach, less bureaucratic, less burdensome for Industry 29 MS. PORTER: 20 Okay. 21 If there's not where I was going with my question, if there's not a reason to do it, whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we can Page 40 29 Teally streamline this. And so I think what we have presented to you all is a more streamlined approach, less bureaucratic, less burdensome for Industry 29 MS. PORTER: 20 And the administrators and the forms. 21 MS. PORTER: 22 You know. 23 So trying to come up with it and it's the ITEP team, these are guys who are doing it every day. And they're like, look, we can Page 40 25 Teally streamline this. And so I think what we have presented to you all is a more streamlined. 26 And the administrators. 27 MS. PORTER: 28 Okay. 29 So I thi
14 Yeah. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 And now whether they choose to do 17 something with it, that's their call, but at 18 least they have the information. 19 MS. VILLA: 20 Okay. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 And so that's I just ask 23 MS. PORTER: 24 Okay. 25 CHAIRMAN JONES: 26 THIRMAN JONES: 27 Page 38  1 If there's not where I was going with my question, if there's not a reason to do it, whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we can figure out something like that, that makes sense to me at least. 3 MS. PORTER: 4 MS. VILLA: 5 MS. VILLA: 6 MS. VILLA: 7 Yeah. 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Okay. 9 Okay. 10 Okay. 11 If there's not a reason to do it, whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we can figure out something like that, that makes sense to me at least. 15 In the administrators and the forms. 16 MS. VILLA: 17 I mean, you saw it, it's a 15 at minimum step process that's going through, but behind that is multiple forms that have to be completed and deadlines that have to be completed and deadlines that have to be met. And when you miss a deadline, you get penalized and, you know. 26 So trying to come up with it and it's the ITEP team, these are guys who are doing it every day. And they're like, look, we can really streamline this. And so I think what we have presented to you all is a more streamlined approach, less bureaucratic, less burdensome for Industry 27 MS. PORTER: 28 MS. PORTER: 29 Okay. 29 So I think that we were able to consolidate, you know, a lot of processes into very you know, a lot of processes into very you know, a lot of processes into tery you know, a lot of processes into tent multiple dates. So, yeah, I think it's a great approach to it. But it's like every process,
15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 And now whether they choose to do 17 something with it, that's their call, but at 18 least they have the information. 19 MS. VILLA: 19 Okay. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 And so that's I just ask 23 MS. PORTER: 24 Okay. 25 CHAIRMAN JONES: 26 Tigure out something like that, that makes sense to me at least. 27 Yeah. Yeah. 28 MS. PORTER: 39 Okay. 40 Tigure out something like that, that makes sense to me at least. 40 MS. VILLA: 51 Timean, you saw it, it's a 15 at minimum step process that's going through, but behind that is multiple forms that have to be completed and deadlines that have to be met. And when you miss a deadline, you get penalized and, you know. 22 So trying to come up with it and it's the ITEP team, these are guys who are doing it every day. And they're like, look, we can reason to do it, whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we can figure out something like that, that makes sense to me at least. 50 MS. VILLA: 61 MS. PORTER: 62 MS. VILLA: 63 MS. PORTER: 64 MS. PORTER: 65 MS. VILLA: 66 And the administrators and the forms. 77 Yeah. Yeah. 78 MS. PORTER: 89 Okay. 90 Kow. 90 So I think that we were able to consolidate, you know. 91 So I think that we were able to consolidate, you know. 92 So I will addess. So, yeah, I think it's a great approach to it. But it's like every process,
And now whether they choose to do something with it, that's their call, but at least they have the information.  MS. VILLA: Okay.  CHAIRMAN JONES: And so that's I just ask Okay.  THIRMAN JONES:  And So Mary.  THIRMAN JONES:  THIRMAN JONES:  And So Hary.  THIRMAN JONES:  THIRMAN J
17 something with it, that's their call, but at 18 least they have the information. 19 MS. VILLA: 20 Okay. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 And so that's I just ask 23 MS. PORTER: 24 Okay. 25 CHAIRMAN JONES: 26 TI If there's not where I was going with 27 my question, if there's not a reason to do it, 3 whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we can 4 figure out something like that, that makes 5 sense to me at least. 6 MS. VILLA: 7 Yeah, Yeah. 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Okay. 10 MS. VILLA: 11 I mean, you saw it, it's a 15 at minimum step process that's going through, but behind that is multiple forms that have to be completed and deadlines that have to be met. And when you miss a deadline, you get penalized and, you know. 22 So trying to come up with it and it's the ITEP team, these are guys who are doing it every day. And they're like, look, we can  1 really streamline this. And so I think what we have presented to you all is a more streamlined approach, less bureaucratic, less burdensome for Industry 25 MS. PORTER: 26 MS. VILLA: 27 Yeah, Yeah. 28 MS. PORTER: 29 Okay. 29 So I think that we were able to consolidate, you know, a lot of processes in the beginning that lead to specific deadlines; one date, not multiple dates. So, yeah, I think it's a great approach to it. But it's like every process,
least they have the information.    18
19 MS. VILLA: 20 Okay. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 And so that's I just ask 23 MS. PORTER: 24 Okay. 25 CHAIRMAN JONES: 26 Page 38  1 If there's not where I was going with 27 whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we can 4 figure out something like that, that makes 5 sense to me at least. 26 MS. VILLA: 27 Yeah. 28 MS. PORTER: 38 MS. PORTER: 40 Okay. 50 Ithink what we have presented to you all is a more 3 streamlined approach, less bureaucratic, less burdensome for Industry 38 MS. PORTER: 40 Okay. 51 If there's not where I was going with 2 we have presented to you all is a more 3 streamlined approach, less bureaucratic, less burdensome for Industry 51 MS. VILLA: 52 MS. PORTER: 53 MS. PORTER: 54 Okay. 55 MS. VILLA: 56 MS. VILLA: 57 Yeah. 58 MS. PORTER: 59 Okay. 50 I think that we were able to consolidate, you know. 50 I think what we have presented to you all is a more 3 streamlined approach, less bureaucratic, less burdensome for Industry 52 MS. PORTER: 53 MS. PORTER: 54 Okay. 55 MS. PORTER: 56 MS. VILLA: 57 Yeah. 58 MS. PORTER: 59 Okay. 50 I think that we were able to consolidate, you know, a lot of processes into very 3 specific processes in the beginning that lead 4 to specific deadlines; one date, not multiple 4 dates. So, yeah, I think it's a great 4 approach to it. But it's like every process,
20 Okay. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 And so that's I just ask 23 MS. PORTER: 24 Okay. 25 CHAIRMAN JONES: 26 Page 38  1 If there's not where I was going with 27 whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we can 4 figure out something like that, that makes 5 sense to me at least. 26 MS. VILLA: 27 Yeah. 28 MS. PORTER: 48 MS. PORTER: 59 Okay. 60 MS. VILLA: 70 Yeah. 71 MS. PORTER: 71 MS. PORTER: 71 Okay. 71 MS. PORTER: 72 Okay. 73 MS. PORTER: 74 Okay. 75 Okay. 76 MS. VILLA: 77 Yeah. 78 MS. PORTER: 80 MS. VILLA: 81 MS. PORTER: 91 Okay. 81 MS. PORTER: 91 Okay. 82 and deadlines that have to be met. And when you miss a deadline, you get penalized and, you know. 82 So trying to come up with it and it's the ITEP team, these are guys who are doing it every day. And they're like, look, we can Page 40  10 really streamline this. And so I think what we have presented to you all is a more streamlined approach, less bureaucratic, less burdensome for Industry 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Okay. 9 So I think that we were able to consolidate, you know, a lot of processes into very specific processes in the beginning that lead to specific deadlines; one date, not multiple dates. So, yeah, I think it's a great approach to it. But it's like every process,
21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 And so that's I just ask 23 MS. PORTER: 24 Okay. 25 CHAIRMAN JONES:  Page 38  1 If there's not where I was going with 2 my question, if there's not a reason to do it, 3 whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we can 4 figure out something like that, that makes 5 sense to me at least. 6 MS. VILLA: 6 MS. PORTER: 7 Yeah. Yeah. 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Okay. 10 MS. VILLA: 10 MS. VILLA: 11 Yeah, me too. 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 you miss a deadline, you get penalized and, 22 you know. 23 So trying to come up with it and it's 24 the ITEP team, these are guys who are doing it 25 every day. And they're like, look, we can 26 Page 40  1 really streamline this. And so I think what 2 we have presented to you all is a more 3 streamlined approach, less bureaucratic, less 4 burdensome for Industry 5 MS. PORTER: 6 And the administrators. 7 MS. VILLA: 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Okay. 9 So I think that we were able to consolidate, 10 you know, a lot of processes into very 11 Yeah, me too. 11 specific processes in the beginning that lead 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 13 Okay. 14 MS. PORTER: 14 approach to it. But it's like every process,
And so that's I just ask  MS. PORTER:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  22 you know.  23 So trying to come up with it and it's  the ITEP team, these are guys who are doing it  every day. And they're like, look, we can  Page 40  1 If there's not where I was going with  my question, if there's not a reason to do it,  whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we can  figure out something like that, that makes  sense to me at least.  MS. VILLA:  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  MS. VILLA:  MS. PORTER:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA
23 MS. PORTER: 24 Okay. 25 CHAIRMAN JONES:  Page 38  1 If there's not where I was going with 2 my question, if there's not a reason to do it, 3 whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we can 4 figure out something like that, that makes 5 sense to me at least. 6 MS. VILLA: 6 MS. PORTER: 7 Yeah. Yeah. 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Okay. 10 MS. VILLA: 11 Yeah, me too. 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 23 So trying to come up with it and it's 24 the ITEP team, these are guys who are doing it 25 every day. And they're like, look, we can 26 Page 40  1 really streamline this. And so I think what 2 we have presented to you all is a more 3 streamlined approach, less bureaucratic, less 4 burdensome for Industry 5 MS. PORTER: 6 And the administrators. 7 Yeah Yeah. 8 and the administrators and the forms. 9 So I think that we were able to consolidate, 10 you know, a lot of processes into very 11 Yeah, me too. 11 specific processes in the beginning that lead 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 13 Okay. 14 MS. PORTER: 14 approach to it. But it's like every process,
24 Okay. 25 CHAIRMAN JONES:  Page 38  1 If there's not where I was going with 2 my question, if there's not a reason to do it, 3 whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we can 4 figure out something like that, that makes 5 sense to me at least. 6 MS. VILLA: 7 Yeah. Yeah. 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Okay. 10 MS. VILLA: 11 Yeah, me too. 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 13 Okay. 14 MS. PORTER: 15 the ITEP team, these are guys who are doing it every day. And they're like, look, we can Page 40  1 really streamline this. And so I think what we have presented to you all is a more streamlined approach, less bureaucratic, less burdensome for Industry 5 MS. PORTER: 6 And the administrators. 7 MS. VILLA: 8 and the administrators and the forms. 9 So I think that we were able to consolidate, you know, a lot of processes into very 11 Yeah, me too. 11 specific processes in the beginning that lead 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 13 Okay. 14 MS. PORTER: 14 approach to it. But it's like every process,
25 CHAIRMAN JONES:  Page 38  Page 38  Page 40  1 If there's not where I was going with 2 my question, if there's not a reason to do it, 3 whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we can 4 figure out something like that, that makes 5 sense to me at least.  MS. VILLA:  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  DO you know, a lot of processes into very 3 specific processes in the beginning that lead 4 to specific deadlines; one date, not multiple 4 dates. So, yeah, I think it's a great 4 approach to it. But it's like every process,
Page 38  Page 40  If there's not where I was going with 2 my question, if there's not a reason to do it, 3 whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we can 4 figure out something like that, that makes 5 sense to me at least. 5 MS. PORTER: 6 MS. VILLA: 6 And the administrators. 7 Yeah. Yeah. 7 MS. VILLA: 6 And the administrators and the forms. 8 MS. PORTER: 8 and the administrators and the forms. 9 Okay. 9 So I think that we were able to consolidate, 10 you know, a lot of processes in the beginning that lead 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 12 to specific deadlines; one date, not multiple 13 Okay. 14 MS. PORTER: 14 approach to it. But it's like every process,
If there's not where I was going with my question, if there's not a reason to do it, whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we can figure out something like that, that makes figure out something like that, that makes sense to me at least.  MS. VILLA: MS. PORTER: MS. PORTER: MS. VILLA: MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  MS. VILLA:  A and the administrators and the forms.  D. VILLA:  A conditional vertical
my question, if there's not a reason to do it, whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we can figure out something like that, that makes sense to me at least.  MS. VILLA: MS. PORTER: MS. PORTER: MS. PORTER: MS. VILLA: MS. PORTER:  MS. VILLA: MS. PORTER: MS. VILLA: MS. PORTER: MS. VILLA: MS. PORTER: MS. VILLA: MS. PORTER: MS. VILLA: MS. PORTER: MS. VILLA: MS. PORTER: MS. VILLA: MS. PORTER: MS. VILLA: MS.
whether it be systemic or otherwise, if we can figure out something like that, that makes sense to me at least.  MS. VILLA: Sense to me at least.  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  MS. VILLA:  MS
4 figure out something like that, that makes 5 sense to me at least. 6 MS. VILLA: 7 Yeah. Yeah. 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Okay. 10 MS. VILLA: 10 Yeah, me too. 11 Specific processes in the beginning that lead 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 13 Okay. 14 MS. PORTER: 15 MS. PORTER: 6 And the administrators. 7 MS. VILLA: 8 and the administrators and the forms. 9 So I think that we were able to consolidate, 10 you know, a lot of processes into very 11 specific processes in the beginning that lead 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 13 dates. So, yeah, I think it's a great 14 approach to it. But it's like every process,
5 sense to me at least. 6 MS. VILLA: 6 And the administrators. 7 Yeah. Yeah. 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Okay. 10 MS. VILLA: 10 you know, a lot of processes into very 11 Yeah, me too. 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 13 Okay. 14 MS. PORTER: 15 MS. PORTER: 16 And the administrators. 17 MS. VILLA: 18 and the administrators and the forms. 19 So I think that we were able to consolidate, 10 you know, a lot of processes into very 11 specific processes in the beginning that lead 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 13 dates. So, yeah, I think it's a great 14 approach to it. But it's like every process,
6 MS. VILLA: 7 Yeah. Yeah. 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Okay. 10 MS. VILLA: 11 Yeah, me too. 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 13 Okay. 14 MS. PORTER: 16 And the administrators. 17 MS. VILLA: 18 or and the administrators and the forms. 18 or and the administrators and the forms. 19 So I think that we were able to consolidate, 10 you know, a lot of processes into very 11 specific processes in the beginning that lead 12 to specific deadlines; one date, not multiple 13 okay. 14 approach to it. But it's like every process,
7 Yeah. Yeah. 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Okay. 10 MS. VILLA: 11 Yeah, me too. 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 13 Okay. 14 MS. PORTER: 17 MS. VILLA: 8 and the administrators and the forms. 9 So I think that we were able to consolidate, 10 you know, a lot of processes into very 11 specific processes in the beginning that lead 12 to specific deadlines; one date, not multiple 13 dates. So, yeah, I think it's a great 14 approach to it. But it's like every process,
8 MS. PORTER: 9 Okay. 9 So I think that we were able to consolidate, 10 MS. VILLA: 10 you know, a lot of processes into very 11 Yeah, me too. 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 13 Okay. 14 MS. PORTER: 18 and the administrators and the forms. 9 So I think that we were able to consolidate, 10 you know, a lot of processes into very 11 specific processes in the beginning that lead 12 to specific deadlines; one date, not multiple 13 Adates. So, yeah, I think it's a great 14 approach to it. But it's like every process,
9 Okay. 10 MS. VILLA: 10 you know, a lot of processes into very 11 Yeah, me too. 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 13 Okay. 14 MS. PORTER: 19 So I think that we were able to consolidate, 10 you know, a lot of processes into very 11 specific processes in the beginning that lead 12 to specific deadlines; one date, not multiple 13 dates. So, yeah, I think it's a great 14 approach to it. But it's like every process,
10 MS. VILLA: 11 Yeah, me too. 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 13 Okay. 14 MS. PORTER: 10 you know, a lot of processes into very 11 specific processes in the beginning that lead 12 to specific deadlines; one date, not multiple 13 dates. So, yeah, I think it's a great 14 approach to it. But it's like every process,
11 Yeah, me too. 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 13 Okay. 14 MS. PORTER: 15 specific processes in the beginning that lead to specific deadlines; one date, not multiple dates. So, yeah, I think it's a great approach to it. But it's like every process,
12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 13 Okay. 14 MS. PORTER: 15 to specific deadlines; one date, not multiple dates. So, yeah, I think it's a great approach to it. But it's like every process,
13 Okay. 13 dates. So, yeah, I think it's a great 14 MS. PORTER: 14 approach to it. But it's like every process,
14 MS. PORTER: 14 approach to it. But it's like every process,
Yes, Anne was very, very cold initially. 15 there are improvements that can be made and
She was. She was 16 comments that we welcome and look forward to
17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 17 hearing from everybody.
18 I'm sorry? 18 MS. PORTER:
19 MS. PORTER: 19 Yes.
20 obviously until you guys had a 20 CHAIRMAN JONES:
21 conversation. 21 Well, again, we're pretty early in this
22 MS. VILLA: 22 process. So I mean, that's what the point of
We haven't. We haven't. 23 this is, but and I should have said this
24 MS. PORTER: 24 from the outset, I love the direction you're
She only became she became warm, you 25 going. Whether we're there yet or not, we'll
Page 39 Page 41

1	see. But I think that you're what you are	1	CHAIRMAN JONES:
2	trying to accomplish in this, I think, is	2	Talk to me about the outreach that LED
3	exactly at least from this Committee Member's	3	has done and give me you don't have to name
4	perspective the direction I wanted to see ITEP	4	everybody but an indication of kind of the
5	go	5	folks you've been hearing from.
6	MS. VILLA:	6	MS. PORTER:
7	Yeah.	7	The Assessors. I made personal phone
8	CHAIRMAN JONES:	8	calls to Assessors, LMA, Police Jury
9	is, let's make this at least as	9	President, talked with them basically to let
10	administratively streamlined as possible. And	10	them know that this was not Sheriffs also
11	then we can, you know, we can figure out, as	11	to let them know that we are in the beginning
12	we work through the process, we'll figure out,	12	stages. Their feedback, number one, was,
13	okay, what works and what doesn't work, but I	13	thank you for the call and letting us know
14	think you're on the right track.	14	that this is the beginning stage and we have
15	Mr. Moss?	15	the ability to provide input and this is not
16	MR. MOSS:	16	the absolute;
17	Thank you, sir. Generic open question,	17	Two, how do we work this ITEP Committee?
18	have y'all, the ladies right here, have y'all	18	We're the main concern is making sure that
19	received any pushback yet or any comments	19	they're doing what the State expects them or
20	about having the local ITEP Committee?	20	what the Governor expects them to do;
21	Because I've heard some that are opposed to it	21	Three, I've heard, why do we even need to
22	being forced to have a Committee put in place.	22	do it if the Governor is going to make the
23	And, Kyle, and I just spoke to him, he said	23	decision anyway, you know, why even put it
24	he's heard more about it also.	24	together if he has the final say? So that's
25	MS. PORTER:	25	what I'm hearing, very good feedback, Gerry,
	Page 42		Page 44
1	I the feedback, again, I think	1	definitive, but they do have questions.
2	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't	2	CHAIRMAN JONES:
	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't receive any indication that they didn't really	2 3	CHAIRMAN JONES: So the proposal that y'all have put forth
2 3 4	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't receive any indication that they didn't really want to form one, but they had questions about	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN JONES: So the proposal that y'all have put forth today, are those comments incorporated at
2 3 4 5	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't receive any indication that they didn't really want to form one, but they had questions about administratively how does this work, what if	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN JONES: So the proposal that y'all have put forth today, are those comments incorporated at least conceptually
2 3 4	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't receive any indication that they didn't really want to form one, but they had questions about administratively how does this work, what if we want the Superintendent, but he really	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN JONES: So the proposal that y'all have put forth today, are those comments incorporated at least conceptually MS. PORTER:
2 3 4 5 6 7	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't receive any indication that they didn't really want to form one, but they had questions about administratively how does this work, what if we want the Superintendent, but he really doesn't want to do it? And, you know, those	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN JONES: So the proposal that y'all have put forth today, are those comments incorporated at least conceptually MS. PORTER: Conceptually.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't receive any indication that they didn't really want to form one, but they had questions about administratively how does this work, what if we want the Superintendent, but he really doesn't want to do it? And, you know, those questions, do we have to I heard a question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN JONES: So the proposal that y'all have put forth today, are those comments incorporated at least conceptually MS. PORTER: Conceptually. CHAIRMAN JONES:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't receive any indication that they didn't really want to form one, but they had questions about administratively how does this work, what if we want the Superintendent, but he really doesn't want to do it? And, you know, those questions, do we have to I heard a question about, okay, we have those chosen as part of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN JONES: So the proposal that y'all have put forth today, are those comments incorporated at least conceptually MS. PORTER: Conceptually. CHAIRMAN JONES: into this document?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't receive any indication that they didn't really want to form one, but they had questions about administratively how does this work, what if we want the Superintendent, but he really doesn't want to do it? And, you know, those questions, do we have to I heard a question about, okay, we have those chosen as part of the ITEP Committee, but do we have to get a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN JONES: So the proposal that y'all have put forth today, are those comments incorporated at least conceptually MS. PORTER: Conceptually. CHAIRMAN JONES: into this document? MS. PORTER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't receive any indication that they didn't really want to form one, but they had questions about administratively how does this work, what if we want the Superintendent, but he really doesn't want to do it? And, you know, those questions, do we have to I heard a question about, okay, we have those chosen as part of the ITEP Committee, but do we have to get a resolution from our organization to act at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN JONES: So the proposal that y'all have put forth today, are those comments incorporated at least conceptually MS. PORTER: Conceptually. CHAIRMAN JONES: into this document? MS. PORTER: Conceptually. If you recall, we want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't receive any indication that they didn't really want to form one, but they had questions about administratively how does this work, what if we want the Superintendent, but he really doesn't want to do it? And, you know, those questions, do we have to I heard a question about, okay, we have those chosen as part of the ITEP Committee, but do we have to get a resolution from our organization to act at that Committee?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN JONES: So the proposal that y'all have put forth today, are those comments incorporated at least conceptually MS. PORTER: Conceptually. CHAIRMAN JONES: into this document? MS. PORTER: Conceptually. If you recall, we want more time to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't receive any indication that they didn't really want to form one, but they had questions about administratively how does this work, what if we want the Superintendent, but he really doesn't want to do it? And, you know, those questions, do we have to I heard a question about, okay, we have those chosen as part of the ITEP Committee, but do we have to get a resolution from our organization to act at that Committee?  And that's Robbie, you and I were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN JONES: So the proposal that y'all have put forth today, are those comments incorporated at least conceptually MS. PORTER: Conceptually. CHAIRMAN JONES: into this document? MS. PORTER: Conceptually. If you recall, we want more time to CHAIRMAN JONES:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't receive any indication that they didn't really want to form one, but they had questions about administratively how does this work, what if we want the Superintendent, but he really doesn't want to do it? And, you know, those questions, do we have to I heard a question about, okay, we have those chosen as part of the ITEP Committee, but do we have to get a resolution from our organization to act at that Committee?  And that's Robbie, you and I were talking about the Home Rule Charter, I tried	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN JONES: So the proposal that y'all have put forth today, are those comments incorporated at least conceptually MS. PORTER: Conceptually. CHAIRMAN JONES: into this document? MS. PORTER: Conceptually. If you recall, we want more time to CHAIRMAN JONES: No, I yeah, that's my next question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't receive any indication that they didn't really want to form one, but they had questions about administratively how does this work, what if we want the Superintendent, but he really doesn't want to do it? And, you know, those questions, do we have to I heard a question about, okay, we have those chosen as part of the ITEP Committee, but do we have to get a resolution from our organization to act at that Committee?  And that's Robbie, you and I were talking about the Home Rule Charter, I tried to provide some guidance there, but they are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN JONES: So the proposal that y'all have put forth today, are those comments incorporated at least conceptually MS. PORTER: Conceptually. CHAIRMAN JONES: into this document? MS. PORTER: Conceptually. If you recall, we want more time to CHAIRMAN JONES: No, I yeah, that's my next question. MS. PORTER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't receive any indication that they didn't really want to form one, but they had questions about administratively how does this work, what if we want the Superintendent, but he really doesn't want to do it? And, you know, those questions, do we have to I heard a question about, okay, we have those chosen as part of the ITEP Committee, but do we have to get a resolution from our organization to act at that Committee?  And that's Robbie, you and I were talking about the Home Rule Charter, I tried to provide some guidance there, but they are very those are the 90 percent of questions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN JONES: So the proposal that y'all have put forth today, are those comments incorporated at least conceptually MS. PORTER: Conceptually. CHAIRMAN JONES: into this document? MS. PORTER: Conceptually. If you recall, we want more time to CHAIRMAN JONES: No, I yeah, that's my next question. MS. PORTER: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't receive any indication that they didn't really want to form one, but they had questions about administratively how does this work, what if we want the Superintendent, but he really doesn't want to do it? And, you know, those questions, do we have to I heard a question about, okay, we have those chosen as part of the ITEP Committee, but do we have to get a resolution from our organization to act at that Committee?  And that's Robbie, you and I were talking about the Home Rule Charter, I tried to provide some guidance there, but they are very those are the 90 percent of questions that I received. So it's still kind of how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN JONES: So the proposal that y'all have put forth today, are those comments incorporated at least conceptually MS. PORTER: Conceptually. CHAIRMAN JONES: into this document? MS. PORTER: Conceptually. If you recall, we want more time to CHAIRMAN JONES: No, I yeah, that's my next question. MS. PORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't receive any indication that they didn't really want to form one, but they had questions about administratively how does this work, what if we want the Superintendent, but he really doesn't want to do it? And, you know, those questions, do we have to I heard a question about, okay, we have those chosen as part of the ITEP Committee, but do we have to get a resolution from our organization to act at that Committee?  And that's Robbie, you and I were talking about the Home Rule Charter, I tried to provide some guidance there, but they are very those are the 90 percent of questions that I received. So it's still kind of how they are going to work that out.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN JONES: So the proposal that y'all have put forth today, are those comments incorporated at least conceptually MS. PORTER: Conceptually. CHAIRMAN JONES: into this document? MS. PORTER: Conceptually. If you recall, we want more time to CHAIRMAN JONES: No, I yeah, that's my next question. MS. PORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: That's my next question I want to get to.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't receive any indication that they didn't really want to form one, but they had questions about administratively how does this work, what if we want the Superintendent, but he really doesn't want to do it? And, you know, those questions, do we have to I heard a question about, okay, we have those chosen as part of the ITEP Committee, but do we have to get a resolution from our organization to act at that Committee?  And that's Robbie, you and I were talking about the Home Rule Charter, I tried to provide some guidance there, but they are very those are the 90 percent of questions that I received. So it's still kind of how they are going to work that out.  CHAIRMAN JONES:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN JONES: So the proposal that y'all have put forth today, are those comments incorporated at least conceptually MS. PORTER: Conceptually. CHAIRMAN JONES: into this document? MS. PORTER: Conceptually. If you recall, we want more time to CHAIRMAN JONES: No, I yeah, that's my next question. MS. PORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: That's my next question I want to get to. I know that at the last meeting, Industry gave
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't receive any indication that they didn't really want to form one, but they had questions about administratively how does this work, what if we want the Superintendent, but he really doesn't want to do it? And, you know, those questions, do we have to I heard a question about, okay, we have those chosen as part of the ITEP Committee, but do we have to get a resolution from our organization to act at that Committee?  And that's Robbie, you and I were talking about the Home Rule Charter, I tried to provide some guidance there, but they are very those are the 90 percent of questions that I received. So it's still kind of how they are going to work that out.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Let me back up. I know, in visiting with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN JONES: So the proposal that y'all have put forth today, are those comments incorporated at least conceptually MS. PORTER: Conceptually. CHAIRMAN JONES: into this document? MS. PORTER: Conceptually. If you recall, we want more time to CHAIRMAN JONES: No, I yeah, that's my next question. MS. PORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: That's my next question I want to get to. I know that at the last meeting, Industry gave us a presentation of their concepts. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't receive any indication that they didn't really want to form one, but they had questions about administratively how does this work, what if we want the Superintendent, but he really doesn't want to do it? And, you know, those questions, do we have to I heard a question about, okay, we have those chosen as part of the ITEP Committee, but do we have to get a resolution from our organization to act at that Committee?  And that's Robbie, you and I were talking about the Home Rule Charter, I tried to provide some guidance there, but they are very those are the 90 percent of questions that I received. So it's still kind of how they are going to work that out.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Let me back up. I know, in visiting with you, that you have, and you talked about it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN JONES: So the proposal that y'all have put forth today, are those comments incorporated at least conceptually MS. PORTER: Conceptually. CHAIRMAN JONES: into this document? MS. PORTER: Conceptually. If you recall, we want more time to CHAIRMAN JONES: No, I yeah, that's my next question. MS. PORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: That's my next question I want to get to. I know that at the last meeting, Industry gave us a presentation of their concepts. So question one about this, and I don't know how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't receive any indication that they didn't really want to form one, but they had questions about administratively how does this work, what if we want the Superintendent, but he really doesn't want to do it? And, you know, those questions, do we have to I heard a question about, okay, we have those chosen as part of the ITEP Committee, but do we have to get a resolution from our organization to act at that Committee?  And that's Robbie, you and I were talking about the Home Rule Charter, I tried to provide some guidance there, but they are very those are the 90 percent of questions that I received. So it's still kind of how they are going to work that out.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Let me back up. I know, in visiting with you, that you have, and you talked about it this morning or this afternoon that you had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN JONES: So the proposal that y'all have put forth today, are those comments incorporated at least conceptually MS. PORTER: Conceptually. CHAIRMAN JONES: into this document? MS. PORTER: Conceptually. If you recall, we want more time to CHAIRMAN JONES: No, I yeah, that's my next question. MS. PORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: That's my next question I want to get to. I know that at the last meeting, Industry gave us a presentation of their concepts. So question one about this, and I don't know how to ask the question, so just take it in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't receive any indication that they didn't really want to form one, but they had questions about administratively how does this work, what if we want the Superintendent, but he really doesn't want to do it? And, you know, those questions, do we have to I heard a question about, okay, we have those chosen as part of the ITEP Committee, but do we have to get a resolution from our organization to act at that Committee?  And that's Robbie, you and I were talking about the Home Rule Charter, I tried to provide some guidance there, but they are very those are the 90 percent of questions that I received. So it's still kind of how they are going to work that out.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Let me back up. I know, in visiting with you, that you have, and you talked about it this morning or this afternoon that you had been getting feedback.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN JONES: So the proposal that y'all have put forth today, are those comments incorporated at least conceptually MS. PORTER: Conceptually. CHAIRMAN JONES: into this document? MS. PORTER: Conceptually. If you recall, we want more time to CHAIRMAN JONES: No, I yeah, that's my next question. MS. PORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: That's my next question I want to get to. I know that at the last meeting, Industry gave us a presentation of their concepts. So question one about this, and I don't know how to ask the question, so just take it in the spirit I intend it. To what extent does what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't receive any indication that they didn't really want to form one, but they had questions about administratively how does this work, what if we want the Superintendent, but he really doesn't want to do it? And, you know, those questions, do we have to I heard a question about, okay, we have those chosen as part of the ITEP Committee, but do we have to get a resolution from our organization to act at that Committee?  And that's Robbie, you and I were talking about the Home Rule Charter, I tried to provide some guidance there, but they are very those are the 90 percent of questions that I received. So it's still kind of how they are going to work that out.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Let me back up. I know, in visiting with you, that you have, and you talked about it this morning or this afternoon that you had been getting feedback.  MS. PORTER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN JONES: So the proposal that y'all have put forth today, are those comments incorporated at least conceptually MS. PORTER: Conceptually. CHAIRMAN JONES: into this document? MS. PORTER: Conceptually. If you recall, we want more time to CHAIRMAN JONES: No, I yeah, that's my next question. MS. PORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: That's my next question I want to get to. I know that at the last meeting, Industry gave us a presentation of their concepts. So question one about this, and I don't know how to ask the question, so just take it in the spirit I intend it. To what extent does what you, what LED has proposed today, to what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	everyone who did provide feedback, I didn't receive any indication that they didn't really want to form one, but they had questions about administratively how does this work, what if we want the Superintendent, but he really doesn't want to do it? And, you know, those questions, do we have to I heard a question about, okay, we have those chosen as part of the ITEP Committee, but do we have to get a resolution from our organization to act at that Committee?  And that's Robbie, you and I were talking about the Home Rule Charter, I tried to provide some guidance there, but they are very those are the 90 percent of questions that I received. So it's still kind of how they are going to work that out.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Let me back up. I know, in visiting with you, that you have, and you talked about it this morning or this afternoon that you had been getting feedback.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN JONES: So the proposal that y'all have put forth today, are those comments incorporated at least conceptually MS. PORTER: Conceptually. CHAIRMAN JONES: into this document? MS. PORTER: Conceptually. If you recall, we want more time to CHAIRMAN JONES: No, I yeah, that's my next question. MS. PORTER: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: That's my next question I want to get to. I know that at the last meeting, Industry gave us a presentation of their concepts. So question one about this, and I don't know how to ask the question, so just take it in the spirit I intend it. To what extent does what

1	from what Industry has suggested? You	1	else besides those asks?
2	understand what I'm asking?	2	MS. VILLA:
3	Did you adopt some of the things that	3	I think the other thing was there was a
4	Industry has suggested or did you ignore it?	4	lot of confusion around the Mega projects not
5	Did you	5	being a part of the Executive Order.
6	MS. PORTER:	6	MS. PORTER:
7	No, it wasn't ignored. I think the	7	Yeah.
8	advance, if I'm not mistaken, you guys	8	MS. VILLA:
9	MS. JOHNSON:	9	And so we wanted to ensure, you know,
10	Right. They were saying that was	10	that they were still part of the rules because
11	duplicative because they were filing at the	11	it is still very important. So that was
12	same time as the application. So by	12	another consideration that we had that was a
13	MS. VILLA:	13	lot of feedback, I think, that the team
14	Combining.	14	received.
15	MS. JOHNSON:	15	MS. PORTER:
16	combining them, that gets rid of	16	Uh-huh.
17	the	17	CHAIRMAN JONES:
18	MS. VILLA:	18	So I guess what I'm trying to do in my
19	Duplicate.	19	questioning, and I'm not being very I'm
20	MS. PORTER:	20	being inept at it, I'm afraid. I'm trying to
21	Duplication.	21	understand how far apart LED and the Industry
22	MS. JOHNSON:	22	proposal is. I'm trying to get a feel for
23	duplicate, yeah.	23	that. Because what I'm and this is my
24	MS. PORTER:	24	perception. My perception is, obviously,
25	I think I also heard, we need it more	25	you're on the same page on streamlining.
	Page 46	=0	Page 48
1	project driven, which is why we indicated that	1	MS. PORTER:
2	you have all front end, the forms they talked	2	Yes.
3	about, the different deadlines, which is why	3	CHAIRMAN JONES:
4	we did the APPR, you know, and encumbered all	4	And I think that there may be some
5	the forms into one and had that one deadline.	5	differences, but as a general rule, figure out
6	So, yes, we did take that under consideration.	6	how to streamline. Obviously, the EO
7	CHAIRMAN JONES:	7	directive on MCAs, environmental, et cetera,
8	Was and, again, this may be an unfair	8	et cetera, et cetera, you are observing that.
9	question, but was there anything in the	9	MS. PORTER:
10	Industry proposal that LED disagreed with;	10	And no advance.
11	that you said, that won't work or we don't	11	CHAIRMAN JONES:
12	like that?	12	No advance, but that's part of the
13	MS. JOHNSON:	13	streamlining
14	Well, it was the fact that the Executive	14	MS. PORTER:
15	Order still said that MCAs and environmentally	15	Okay.
16	required upgrades and those items wouldn't be	16	MS. VILLA:
17	eligible, so we and they wanted to include	17	Right.
18	those. So I mean, we're not	18	CHAIRMAN JONES:
19	MS. VILLA:	19	in my world.
20	We're not going to go against the	20	MS. PORTER:
20	Executive Order.	20	Right.
22	MS. PORTER:	22	CHAIRMAN JONES:
23		23	Because that is what this where we are
43	Right.	43	
24	CHAIDMAN IONES.	24	going to be I think is once we been at arm
24	CHAIRMAN JONES:	24	going to be, I think, is once we hear at our
24 25	CHAIRMAN JONES: I understand. I understand. Anything Page 47	24 25	going to be, I think, is once we hear at our next meeting from the remaining stakeholders, Page 49

the reason I want to hear specific suggestions, because we're going to have to then start saying, okay, this is something that we like that Industry has proposed, this is something, LED, that we like.  7 MS. PORTER:  8 Okay.  7 CHAIRMAN JONES:  8 Okay.  8 CHAIRMAN JONES:  10 And we're going to have to figure out how to make that into an amalgam of a set of rules, and it's this Committee's job to pick and choose.  13 and choose.  14 MS. PORTER:  15 Right.  16 MS. VILLA:  16 MS. VILLA:  17 Right.  18 CHAIRMAN JONES:  19 And so I know, Robin, you told me earlier y'all had not prepared any kind of redline against the old rules.  MS. PORTER:  10 And we're going to have to figure out how to make that into an amalgam of a set of rules, and it's this Committee's job to pick and choose.  13 Separation of the wishful for?  14 MS. PORTER:  15 Right.  16 MS. VILLA:  16 MS. VILLA:  17 Right.  18 CHAIRMAN JONES:  19 And so I know, Robin, you told me earlier y'all had not prepared any kind of redline against the old rules.  10 And we're going to have to figure out how to make that into an amalgam of a set of rules, and it's this committee's job to pick and choose.  10 MS. PORTER:  11 MS. VILLA:  12 Okay.  13 CHAIRMAN JONES:  14 MS. PORTER:  15 Okay.  16 Okay.  17 CHAIRMAN JONES:  18 that something that I should not be wishful for?  19 MS. PORTER:  10 Ok. you should be wishful. Yeah, of course.  10 CHAIRMAN JONES:  10 Okay.  11 CHAIRMAN JONES:  11 Okay.  12 Okay.  13 CHAIRMAN JONES:  14 CHAIRMAN JONES:  15 Okay.  16 CHAIRMAN JONES:  18 Okay.  18 CHAIRMAN JONES:  19 Okay.  19 Where the distinctions lie.  10 Okay.  11 I makes sense.  11 It makes sense.  12 Okay.  13 Okay.  14 All PoRTER:  15 Okay.  16 Okay.  17 CHAIRMAN JONES:  18 CHAIRMAN JONES:  18 CHAIRMAN JONES:  19 Okay.  19 Okay.  10 Okay.  11 I makes sense.  10 Okay.  11 I makes sense.  11 I makes sense.  12 Okay.  13 Okay.  14 Okay.  15 Okay.  16 CHAIRMAN JONES:  18 Okay.  18 Okay.  19 Okay.  19 Okay.  10 Okay.  11 Okay.  11 Okay.  12 Okay.  13 Okay.  14 Okay.  15 Okay.				
3 suggestions, because we're going to have to then start saying, okay, this is something that we like that Industry has proposed, this is something, LED, that we like.  6 is something, LED, that we like.  7 MS. PORTER:  8 Okay.  9 CHAIRMAN JONES: 10 And we're going to have to figure out how to make that into an amalgam of a set of rules, and it's this Committee's job to pick and choose.  14 MS. PORTER: 15 Right. 16 MS. VILLA: 17 Right. 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: 19 And so I need to start understanding where the distinctions lie. 20 where the distinctions lie. 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Okay. 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 Does that make sense? 25 MS. PORTER: 26 MS. PORTER: 27 It makes sense. 28 CHAIRMAN JONES: 29 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 It makes sense. 29 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 It makes sense. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 It makes sense. 21 It makes sense. 22 CHAIRMAN JONES: 23 Becuse if I don't understand where the distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult for me to say - because I'm not in the weeds on this stuff, you guys are, and I recognize that. But we're going to need to understand where the distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult for me to say - because I'm not in the weeds on this stuff, you guys are, and I recognize hat. But we're going to need to understand where the distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult for me to say - because I'm not in the weeds on this stuff, you guys are, and I recognize hat. But we're going to need to understand where the distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult for me to say - because I'm not in the weeds on this stuff, you guys are, and I recognize hat. But we're going to need to understand where the distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult for me to say - because I'm not in the weeds on this stuff, you guys are, and I recognize hat. But we're going to need to understand where the distinctions lie, it's going to need to understand that what I foresce happening is that at the meeting where we vot on these for accepting or rejecting these rules, it will be a redline and a clean	1	whomever they may be, having that that's	l	CHAIRMAN JONES:
then start saying, okay, this is something that we like that Industry has proposed, this is something, LED, that we like.  7 MS. PORTER:  8 Okay.  9 CHAIRMAN JONES: 10 And we're going to have to figure out how 10 to make that into an amalgam of a set of rules, and it's this Committee's job to pick and choose.  14 MS. PORTER: 15 Right. 16 MS. PORTER: 17 Right. 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: 19 And so I need to start understanding where the distinctions lie. 20 Where the distinctions lie. 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Okay. 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 Does that make sense? 25 MS. PORTER: 26 MS. PORTER: 27 Okay. 28 CHAIRMAN JONES: 29 Okay. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 20 Okay. 21 It makes sense. 21 It makes sense. 22 CHAIRMAN JONES: 33 Because if I don't understand where the distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult for me to say because Im not in the weeds on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize that. But we're going to need to know where the other spotions, at least as this Committee Member 10 stakeholders may differ from LED and/or poptitions, at least as this Committee Member 21 lindustry because I want to see that panoply of poptitions, at least as this Committee Member 21 lindustry because I want to see that panoply of 10 positions, at least as this Committee Member 22 lis. And only then can we then say, okay, I 13 like this like this 10 KS. PORTER: 11 It musters sense. 22 CHAIRMAN JONES: 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: 34 Does that make sense? 35 Does that make sense? 36 Okay. 37 Does that make sense of the potential improvements. So, yes, we're working the 12 like this like this 10 Stakeholders may differ from LED and/or 12 lindustry because I want to see that panoply of 12 positions, at least as this Committee Member 13 is. And only then can we then say, okay, I 13 like this like this 10 Stakeholders may differ from LED and/or 14 like this, like this 10 Stakeholders may differ from LED and/or 15 like this 10 Stakeholders may differ from LED and/or 16 like the bard of the care the better once, but what I foresec h		the reason I want to hear specific		Okay. All right. And so I know, Robin,
that we like that Industry has proposed, this is something, LED, that we like.  MS. PORTER:  Okay.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  And we're going to have to figure out how to make that into an amalgam of a set of understand that into an amalgam of a set of sand choose.  MS. PORTER:  Right.  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MS. PORTER:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MS. PORTER:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  LI It makes sense.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MS. PORTER:  LI It makes sense.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Because if J don't understand where the distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult for me to say because I'm to in the weeds on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize that. But we're going to need to understand where the form to say because I'm to in the weeds on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize that. But we're going to need to understand where the form to say because I'm to in the weeds on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize positions, at least as this Committee Member liss. And only then can we then say, okay, I like this - MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  Dobviously, to a certain point without consideration by the Rules Committee as to how we want to proceed on these other potential without saw we want to proceed on these other potential without saw multiple saw go, no, no, and I get that. I get that the meeting where we vote on these for accepting or rejecting these rules, it will be a redline and a clean copy.  MS	1			
6 is something, LED, that we like. 7 MS. PORTER: 8 Okay. 9 CHAIRMAN JONES: 10 And we're going to have to figure out how in make that into an amalgam of a set of rules, and it's this Committee's job to pick and doose. 14 MS. PORTER: 15 Right. 16 MS. PORTER: 17 Right. 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 MS. PORTER: 19 And so I need to start understanding where the distinctions lie. 20 Ms. PORTER: 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Okay. 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 Does that make sense? 25 MS. PORTER: 26 MS. PORTER: 27 MS. PORTER: 38 MS. PORTER: 39 MS. PORTER: 40 MS. PORTER: 41 MS. PORTER: 42 Does that make sense? 43 Because if I don't understand where the distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult for that. But we're going to need to understand where findustry and LED differ. Similarly, Tmg going to need to know where the other stakeholders may differ from LED and/or lindustry because I want to see that panoply of positions, at least as this Committee Member 15 is, And only then can we then say, okay, I like this.	4		4	
7 CHAÎRMAN JONES: 8 Okay. 9 CHAIRMAN JONES: 10 And we're going to have to figure out how to make that into an amalgam of a set of rules, and it's this Committee's job to pick and choose. 13 and choose. 14 MS. PORTER: 15 Right. 16 MS. VILLA: 16 MS. PORTER: 17 Right. 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: 19 And so I need to start understanding where the distinctions lie. 20 where the distinctions lie. 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Okay. 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 Does that make sense? 25 MS. PORTER: 26 CHAIRMAN JONES: 27 MS. PORTER: 28 MS. PORTER: 29 MS. PORTER: 30 CHAIRMAN JONES: 40 CHAIRMAN JONES: 41 It makes sense. 42 This makes sense. 43 Because if I don't understand where the distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult on its stuff; you guys are, and I recognize that. But we're going to need to understand where the flustry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other stakeholders may differ from LED and/or lindustry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other stakeholders may differ from LED and/or lindustry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other stakeholders may differ from LED and/or lindustry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other stakeholders may differ from LED and/or lindustry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other stakeholders may differ from LED and/or lindustry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other stakeholders may differ from LED and/or lindustry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other stakeholders may differ from LED and/or lindustry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other stakeholders may differ from LED and/or lindustry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other stakeholders may differ from LED and/or lindustry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other stakeholders may differ from LED and/or lindustry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm goi	5		5	MS. PORTER:
8 Is that something you're working on or is that something that I should not be wishful for? 11 to make that into an amalgam of a set of rules, and it's this Committee's job to pick and choose. 14 MS. PORTER: 15 Right. 16 MS. VILLA: 17 Right. 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: 19 And so I need to start understanding where the distinctions lie. 20 Where the distinctions lie. 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Okay. 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 Does that make sense? 25 MS. PORTER: 26 MS. PORTER: 27 Does that make sense? 28 CHAIRMAN JONES: 29 Does that make sense? 20 LT It makes sense. 21 It makes sense. 22 CHAIRMAN JONES: 3 Because if I don't understand where the distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult of me to say because I'm not in the weeds on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize that. But we're going to need to understand where hudustry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other stackholders may differ from LED and/or lindustry because I want to see that panoply of positions, at least as this Committee Member 1 going to need to know where the other 1 going to need to know where the other 1 stackholders may differ from LED and/or 1 lindustry because I want to see that panoply of 1 positions, at least as this Committee Member 1 si. And only then can we then say, okay, I like this. I li	6		6	<u>c</u>
Halk MAN JONES: And we're going to have to figure out how 10 to make that into an amalgam of a set of 12 rules, and it's this Committee's job to pick 13 and choose. 13 MS. PORTER: 14 MS. PORTER: 15 Right. 16 MS. VILLA: 16 MS. VILLA: 17 Right. 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: 19 And so I need to start understanding 19 where the distinctions lie. 20 where the distinctions lie. 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Okay. 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 Does that make sense? 25 MS. PORTER: 26 MS. PORTER: 27 Does that make sense. 28 CHAIRMAN JONES: 29 MS. PORTER: 20 Lit makes sense. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 It makes sense. 21 It makes sense. 22 CHAIRMAN JONES: 23 Because if I don't understand where the distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult 6 for me to say - because I'm not in the weeds 6 on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize 6 that. But we're going to need to understand 8 where Industry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm 9 going to need to know where the other 10 stakcholders may differ from LED and/or 11 Industry because I want to see that panoply of positions, at least as this Committee Member 12 is. And only then can we then say, okay, I like this, I like this - 1 MS. PORTER: 15 ones, but what I foresce happening at least, and 11 like this, I like this - 1 MS. PORTER: 15 ones, but what I foresce happening is that at the meeting where we vote on these for accepting or rejecting these rules, it will be a redline and a clean copy.  MS. JOHNSON: 20 MS. VILLA: 21 we're going to mant we're changing. I want everybody to wnderstand what we're proposing to change. I'm going to want the record to be clear what we're changing. I want everybody to wnderstand what the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be the Changing. I want everybody to want to be the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be the Changing. I want everybody to want the manuel of Changing. I want everybody to want the manuel of Changing. I want everybody to want the manuel of Changing. I want everybody to want the manuel of	1			
10 And we're going to have to figure out how to make that into an amalgam of a set of 12 rules, and it's this Committee's job to pick and choose. 13 and choose. 14 MS. PORTER: 14 CHAIRMAN JONES: 15 Kight. 15 Okay. 16 MS. VILLA: 16 MS. VILLA: 16 MS. PORTER: 17 Of course. 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: 19 MS. PORTER: 19 CHAIRMAN JONES: 10 CHAIRMAN JONES: 11 CHAIRMAN	1	•	l	
to make that into an amalgam of a set of rules, and it's this Committee's job to pick and and choose.  MS. PORTER:  Right.  Kight.  Kight.  Kight.  KIGHARMAN JONES:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  And so I need to start understanding where the distinctions lie.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  ANS. PORTER:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  ANS. PORTER:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  ANS. PORTER:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Absolutely.  MS. PORTER:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  To obviously, to a certain point without consideration by the Rules Committee as to how warn to proceed on these other potential improvements. So, yes, we're working the rules, at the same time, redlining as we go, that. But we're going to need to understand where lindustry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other opening to need to know where the other of that. But we're going to need to make staff; volume to the other opening to need to know where the other opening to need to know where the other opening to need to know where the other ones, but what I foresee happening is that at that what I foresee happening is that at I'm open to suggestions if there are better ones, but what I foresee happening is that at I'm open to suggestions if there are better ones, but what I foresee happening is that at I'm open to suggestions if there are better ones, but what I foresee happening is that at I'm open to suggestions if there are better ones, but what I foresee happening is that at I'm open to suggestions if there are better ones, but what I forese	1			-
rules, and it's this Committee's job to pick and choose.  MS. PORTER:  Right.  MS. VILLA:  Right.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  And so I need to start understanding where the distinctions lie.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MS. PORTER:  CHAIRMAN JONES:			l	
13 and choose. 14 MS. PORTER: 15 Right. 16 MS. VILLA: 17 Right. 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: 19 And so I need to start understanding where the distinctions lie. 20 Where the distinctions lie. 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Okay. 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 Does that make sense? 25 MS. PORTER: 26 MS. PORTER: 27 Does that make sense? 28 CHAIRMAN JONES: 29 MS. PORTER: 20 Does that make sense? 20 LI It makes sense. 21 It makes sense. 22 CHAIRMAN JONES: 33 Because if I don't understand where the distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult where Industry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other stakeholders may differ from LED and/or landstry because I want to see that panoply of positions, at least as this Committee Member lass And only then can we then say, okay, I like this. I like this. I like this - like this	1			MS. PORTER:
14 MS. PORTER: 15 Right. 16 MS. VILLA: 16 MS. PORTER: 17 Right. 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: 19 And so I need to start understanding 20 where the distinctions lie. 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Okay. 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 Does that make sense? 25 MS. PORTER: 26 MS. PORTER: 27 Does that make sense? 28 CHAIRMAN JONES: 29 MS. PORTER: 20 Li It makes sense. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 30 Because if I don't understand where the distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult for me to say because I'm not in the weeds on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize that. But we're going to need to understand where lindustry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other stakeholders may differ from LED and/or In Industry because I want to see that panoply of positions, at least as this Committee Member is. And only then can we then say, okay, I like this like this. I like this like this. I like this look do we meld it together into a workable system? Does that communicate? 20 MS. PORTER: 21 Obviously, to a certain point without consideration by the Rules Committee as to how we want to proceed on these other potential improvements. So, yes, we're working the rules, at the same time, redlining as we go, but we're not ready at all to present those. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 Okay. 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 Does that make sense? 25 MS. PORTER: 26 Okay. 27 Obviously, to a certain point without consideration by the Rules Committee as to how we want to proceed on these other potential improvements. So, yes, we're working the rules, at the same time, redlining as we go, but we're not ready at all to present those. 28 Okay. 29 Okay. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 30 Doviously, to a certain point without consideration by the Rules Committee as to how we want to proceed on these other potential improvements. So, yes, we're working the rules, at the same time, redlining as we go, but we're not ready at all to present those. 29 Okay. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 31 Unit we're going to be dead of the very positions, at least as this Committee th	1		l	Oh, you should be wishful. Yeah, of
15 Right. 16 MS. VII.LA: 17 Right. 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: 19 And so I need to start understanding 20 where the distinctions lie. 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Okay. 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 Does that make sense? 25 MS. PORTER: 26 CHAIRMAN JONES: 27 Absolutely. 28 MS. PORTER: 29 Absolutely. 29 MS. PORTER: 20 TI makes sense. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Okay. 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 Does that make sense? 26 CHAIRMAN JONES: 27 Absolutely. 28 MS. VILLA: 29 Right. And we could only take it, 29 Page 50 20 Oviously, to a certain point without 20 consideration by the Rules Committee as to how we want to proceed on these other potential improvements. So, yes, we're working the rules, at the same time, redlining as we go, but we're not ready at all to present those. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 30 Because if I don't understand where the distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult distinctions lie, it's going to need to understand where Industry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other stakeholders may differ from LED and/or 10 tstakeholders may di	1			
16 MS. VILLA: 17 Right. 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: 19 And so I need to start understanding 20 where the distinctions lie. 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Okay. 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 Does that make sense? 25 MS. PORTER: 26 MS. PORTER: 27 Does that make sense? 28 CHAIRMAN JONES: 29 MS. PORTER: 20 Lit makes sense. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 It makes sense. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 MS. PORTER: 23 Absolutely. 24 MS. VILLA: 25 Right. And we could only take it, 26 Page 50 27 Page 50 28 Page 50 29 Page 50 29 Page 50 20 Volviously, to a certain point without consideration by the Rules Committee as to how we want to proceed on these other potential improvements. So, yes, we're working the rules, at the same time, redlining as we go, but we're not ready at all to present those. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 31 Because if I don't understand where the distinctions lie, it's going to need to understand where Industry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to understand where Industry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other stakeholders may differ from LED and/or 11 Industry because I want to see that panoply of positions, at least as this Committee Member is . And only then can we then say, okay, I 11 like this, I like this — 14 like this, I like this — 14 like this, I like this — 14 like this, I like this — 15 MS. PORTER: 15 ones, but what I foresee happening is that at the meeting where we vote on these for accepting or rejecting these rules, it will be a redline and a clean copy.  MS. VILLA: 17 OKAIRMAN JONES: 18 a redline and a clean copy.  MS. PORTER: 19 MS. VILLA: 19 Right. 19 William of Committee to understand what we're proposing to change. I'm going to want the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be under the meeting bear to be clear what we're changing. I want everybody to understand what we're proposing to change. I'm going to want the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be				
17 Right. 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: 19 And so I need to start understanding 20 where the distinctions lie. 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Okay. 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 Does that make sense? 25 MS. PORTER: 26 MS. PORTER: 27 MS. PORTER: 28 MS. PORTER: 29 MS. PORTER: 20 LI makes sense. 21 It makes sense. 22 CHAIRMAN JONES: 23 Because if I don't understand where the distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult distinctions lie, it's going to need to understand where Industry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other stakeholders may differ from LED and/or lindustry because I want to see that panoply of positions, at least as this Committee Member is is. And only then can we then say, okay, I like this, I like this 4 MS. PORTER: 4 MS. PORTER: 5 MS. PORTER: 6 Okay. 6 CHAIRMAN JONES: 7 Absolutely. 8 MS. VILLA: 8 MS. VILLA: 8 MS. VILLA: 9				•
18 CHAIRMAN JONES: 19 And so I need to start understanding 20 where the distinctions lie. 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Okay. 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 Does that make sense? 25 MS. PORTER: 26 MS. PORTER: 27 Absolutely. 28 MS. VILLA: 29 Right. And we could only take it, 29 Page 50 29 Page 50 20 Page 50 20 Page 50 21 It makes sense. 21 Obviously, to a certain point without consideration by the Rules Committee as to how we want to proceed on these other potential improvements. So, yes, we're working the rules, at the same time, redlining as we go, but we're not ready at all to present those. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 Okay. 23 MS. PORTER: 24 Absolutely. 25 MS. PORTER: 26 Absolutely. 27 Right. And we could only take it, 28 Page 52 29 Obviously, to a certain point without consideration by the Rules Committee as to how we want to proceed on these other potential improvements. So, yes, we're working the rules, at the same time, redlining as we go, but we're not ready at all to present those. 29 CHAIRMAN JONES: 30 Does that make sense? 31 It makes sense. 32 Obviously, to a certain point without consideration by the Rules Committee as to how we want to proceed on these tother on the weds on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize on this stuff we we want to proceed on these to the we want to proceed on these to how we want to proceed on these to h				
19				
20 where the distinctions lie. 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Okay. 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 Does that make sense? 25 MS. PORTER: 26 MS. PORTER: 27 Page 50 28 Absolutely. 29 MS. VILLA: 20 Right. And we could only take it, 20 Page 52 21 It makes sense. 22 CHAIRMAN JONES: 23 Absolutely. 24 MS. VILLA: 25 Right. And we could only take it, 26 Page 52 27 Page 52 28 Page 50 29 Page 50 20 Page 50 21 It makes sense. 29 Obviously, to a certain point without consideration by the Rules Committee as to how we want to proceed on these other potential improvements. So, yes, we're working the rules, at the same time, redlining as we go, but we're not ready at all to present those. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 30 Because if I don't understand where the distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult distinctions lie, it's going to heed to understand the we're not ready at all to present those. CHAIRMAN JONES:  8 Where Industry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other stakeholders may differ from LED and/or lindustry because I want to see that panoply of positions, at least as this Committee Member lie. And only then can we then say, okay, I like this, I like this				
21 MS. PORTER: 22 Okay. 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 Does that make sense? 25 MS. PORTER: 26 Page 50  1 It makes sense. 26 CHAIRMAN JONES: 27 Decays if I don't understand where the distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult for me to say because I'm not in the weeds on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize that. But we're going to need to understand where the going to need to know where the other stakeholders may differ from LED and/or lindustry because I want to see that panoply of positions, at least as this Committee Member lis. And only then can we then say, okay, I like this, I like this 15 MS. PORTER: 16 Okay. 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 how do we meld it together into a lisw workable system? Does that communicate? 29 MS. PORTER: 20 MS. PORTER: 21 Uh-huh. 21 Uh-huh. 22 MS. PORTER: 23 Absolutely. 24 MS. VILLA: 25 Right. And we could only take it, 26 Page 52  MS. PORTER: 27 Absolutely. 28 MS. VILLA: 29 MS. VILLA: 29 MS. VILLA: 20 MS. JOHNSON: 20 Right. 21 Uh-huh. 22 MS. PORTER: 23 Yes. 24 MS. VILLA: 25 Right. And we could only take it, 26 MS. VILLA: 27 Right. And we could only take it, 28 Right. And we could only take it, 29 MS. VILLA: 29 MS. VILLA: 20 MS. JOHNSON: 20 Right. 21 Uh-huh. 22 Livant the Committee to understand that what I foresee happening is that at the meeting where we vote on these for accepting or rejecting these rules, it will be a redline and a clean copy. 29 MS. VILLA: 20 MS. JOHNSON: 20 Right. 21 Uh-huh. 22 Livant the record to be clear what we're changing. I want everybody to understand what we're proposing to change. I'm going to want we're proposing to change. I'm going to want we're proposing to change. I'm going to want the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be	1	<del>-</del>		• •
22 Okay. 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 Does that make sense? 25 MS. PORTER: 26 Page 50  1 It makes sense. 2 CHAIRMAN JONES: 2 CHAIRMAN JONES: 3 Because if I don't understand where the distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult for me to say because I'm not in the weeds on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize that. But we're going to need to understand where lindustry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other six kacholders may differ from LED and/or lost stakeholders may differ from LED and/or lost six. And only then can we then say, okay, I like this, I like this 15 MS. PORTER: 16 Okay. 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 how do we meld it together into a workable system? Does that communicate? 29 MS. PORTER: 20 MS. PORTER: 21 MS. VILLA: 22 MS. VILLA: 23 Absolutely. 24 MS. VILLA: 25 Right. And we could only take it, 26 Page 52  26 Absolutely. 27 MS. VILLA: 28 we want to proceed on these other potential improvements. So, yes, we're working the rules, at the same time, redlining as we go, but we're not ready at all to present those. 26 CHAIRMAN JONES: 27 CHAIRMAN JONES: 28 MS. VILLA: 29 MS. PORTER: 30 Okay. 30 CHAIRMAN JONES: 31 Page 52  32 MS. VILLA: 33 Absolutely. 34 MS. VILLA: 34 MS. VILLA: 35 MS. PORTER: 36 Okay. 36 CHAIRMAN JONES: 37 Associate and a clean copy. 38 MS. VILLA: 39 MS. VILLA: 30 MS. PORTER: 31 CHAIRMAN JONES: 31 Page 52 31 Page 52 32 CHAIRMAN JONES: 39 Associate and a clean copy. 30 Associate and a clean copy. 31 MS. VILLA: 32 MS. VILLA: 33 Associate and a clean copy. 34 MS. VILLA: 35 CHAIRMAN JONES: 36 Associated in point without ocnided it, page 52 31 Page 52 32 Associate and a clear want we're proposing to change. I'm going to want the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be	1			
23 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 Does that make sense? 25 MS. PORTER: 26 Page 50  1 It makes sense. 2 CHAIRMAN JONES: 3 Because if I don't understand where the 4 distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult 5 for me to say because I'm not in the weeds 6 on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize 7 that. But we're going to need to understand 8 where Industry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm 9 going to need to know where the other 10 stakeholders may differ from LED and/or 11 Industry because I want to see that panoply of 12 positions, at least as this Committee Member 13 is. And only then can we then say, okay, I 14 like this, I like this 14 like this, I like this 15 MS. PORTER: 15 Okay. 16 Okay. 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 17 caccepting or rejecting these rules, it will be 18 a redline and a clean copy. 18 MS. VILLA: 19 MS. VIL	1			-
Does that make sense?  MS. PORTER:  Page 50    The makes sense.   1	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
25 MS. PORTER:  Page 50  Right. And we could only take it, Page 52  Right. ChalrMan Jones: Page 52  Right. ChalrMan Jones: Right. ChalrMan Jones	1			•
Page 50  It makes sense.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Because if I don't understand where the distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult for me to say because I'm not in the weeds on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize that. But we're going to need to understand where the going to need to know where the other stakeholders may differ from LED and/or Industry because I want to see that panoply of like this, I like this If MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  10 obviously, to a certain point without consideration by the Rules Committee as to how we want to proceed on these other potential improvements. So, yes, we're working the rules, at the same time, redlining as we go, but we're not ready at all to present those.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  No, no, and I get that. I get that.  MS. VILLA:  But I want to see that I get that.  Okay.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  But I want the Committee to understand that what I foresee happening at least, and I'm open to suggestions if there are better ones, but what I foresee happening is that at the meeting where we vote on these for accepting or rejecting these rules, it will be a redline and a clean copy.  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. PORTER:  So, yes, we're working the improvements. So, yes, we're working the rules, at the same time, redlining as we go, but we're not ready at all to present those.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  No, no, and I get that. I get that.  So, vo, no, and I get that. I get that.  So, vo, no, and I get that. I get that.  So, vo, no, and I get that I get that.  So, vol. L.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  But I want the Committee to understand that what I foresee happening at least, and the what I foresee happening is that at the meeting where we vote on these for accepting or rejecting these rules, it will be a redline and a clean copy.  MS. VILLA:  MS. PORTER:  So, PORTE				
1 It makes sense. 2 CHAIRMAN JONES: 3 Because if I don't understand where the 4 distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult 5 for me to say because I'm not in the weeds 6 on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize 7 that. But we're going to need to understand 8 where Industry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm 9 going to need to know where the other 10 stakeholders may differ from LED and/or 11 Industry because I want to see that panoply of 12 positions, at least as this Committee Member 13 is. And only then can we then say, okay, I 14 like this, I like this 15 MS. PORTER: 16 Okay. 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 If want the Committee to understand 19 workable system? Does that communicate? 19 MS. VILLA: 20 MS. JOHNSON: 21 Uh-huh. 22 Ws. 23 Yes. 24 MS. VILLA: 24 We're proposing to certain point without 25 consideration by the Rules Committee as to how we want to proceed on these other potential 3 we want to proceed on these other potential 4 improvements. So, yes, we're working the 1 improvements. So, yes, w're working the 1 improvements. So, yes, w're working the 1 improvements. So, yes, ve're verking the 1 improvements. So, yes,	25		25	
2 CHAIRMAN JONES: 3 Because if I don't understand where the 4 distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult 5 for me to say because I'm not in the weeds 6 on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize 7 that. But we're going to need to understand 8 where Industry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm 9 going to need to know where the other 10 stakeholders may differ from LED and/or 11 Industry because I want to see that panoply of 12 positions, at least as this Committee Member 13 is. And only then can we then say, okay, I 14 like this, I like this 15 MS. PORTER: 16 Okay. 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 Where Industry and LED differ from LED and/or 19 workable system? Does that communicate? 19 Workable system? Does that communicate? 20 MS. JOHNSON: 21 Uh-huh. 22 MS. PORTER: 23 Yes. 24 WS. VILLA: 25 Uh-huh. 26 CHAIRMAN JONES: 27 CHAIRMAN JONES: 28 CHAIRMAN JONES: 29 Right. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 30 consideration by the Rules Committee as to how we want to proceed on these other potential improvements. So, yes, we're working the rules, at the same time, redlining as we go, but we're not ready at all to present those. 29 CHAIRMAN JONES: 30 No, no, and I get that. I get that. 40 Okay. 41 Okay. 42 CHAIRMAN JONES: 43 Okay. 44 I'm open to suggestions if there are better ones, but what I foresee happening is that at the meeting where we vote on these for accepting or rejecting these rules, it will be a redline and a clean copy. 48 WS. VILLA: 49 WS. VILLA: 40 Okay. 41 I'm open to suggestions if there are better ones, but what I foresee happening is that at the meeting where we vote on these for accepting or rejecting these rules, it will be a redline and a clean copy. 49 WS. VILLA: 40 CHAIRMAN JONES: 41 Uh-huh. 41 I'm open to suggestions if there are better ones, but what I foresee happening is that at the meeting where we vote on these for accepting or rejecting these rules, at he same time, redlining as we go, but we're proposing to change. I'm going to want the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be		Page 50		Page 52
Because if I don't understand where the distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult for me to say because I'm not in the weeds on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize that. But we're going to need to understand where Industry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other lindustry because I want to see that panoply of positions, at least as this Committee Member sis. And only then can we then say, okay, I like this, I like this MS. PORTER: CHAIRMAN JONES: But I want the Committee to understand that what I foresee happening at least, and like this, I like this MS. PORTER: CHAIRMAN JONES: But I want the Committee to understand that what I foresee happening is that at the meeting where we vote on these for accepting or rejecting these rules, it will be a redline and a clean copy.  MS. VILLA:  We're not ready at all to present those. CHAIRMAN JONES: No, no, and I get that. I get that.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  But I want the Committee to understand that what I foresee happening at least, and I'm open to suggestions if there are better ones, but what I foresee happening is that at the meeting where we vote on these for accepting or rejecting these rules, it will be a redline and a clean copy.  MS. VILLA:  MS. JOHNSON:  Uh-huh.  MS. PORTER:  Yes.  22  Wh. PORTER:  Yes.  23  CHAIRMAN JONES:  I want the record to be clear what we're changing. I want everybody to understand what we're proposing to change. I'm going to want the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be	1	It makes sense.	1	obviously, to a certain point without
distinctions lie, it's going to be difficult for me to say because I'm not in the weeds on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize that. But we're going to need to understand where Industry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other Industry because I want to see that panoply of positions, at least as this Committee Member is. And only then can we then say, okay, I ke this, I like this MS. PORTER: Okay. CHAIRMAN JONES: But I want the Committee to understand that what I foresee happening at least, and I'm open to suggestions if there are better Okay. CHAIRMAN JONES: But I want the Committee to understand that what I foresee happening is that at the meeting where we vote on these for accepting or rejecting these rules, it will be a redline and a clean copy.  MS. VILLA: WS. JOHNSON: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: I want the record to be clear what we're changing. I want everybody to understand what we're proposing to change. I'm going to want the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be	2	CHAIRMAN JONES:	2	consideration by the Rules Committee as to how
for me to say because I'm not in the weeds on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize that. But we're going to need to understand where Industry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other Industry because I want to see that panoply of positions, at least as this Committee Member is. And only then can we then say, okay, I MS. PORTER: Okay. CHAIRMAN JONES: But I want the Committee to understand that what I foresee happening at least, and I'm open to suggestions if there are better ones, but what I foresee happening is that at the meeting where we vote on these for accepting or rejecting these rules, it will be a redline and a clean copy.  MS. JOHNSON: Uh-huh. CHAIRMAN JONES: I want the record to be clear what we're changing. I want everybody to understand what we're proposing to change. I'm going to want the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be	3	Because if I don't understand where the	3	we want to proceed on these other potential
on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize that. But we're going to need to understand where Industry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other Industry because I want to see that panoply of sis. And only then can we then say, okay, I like this, I like this MS. PORTER: Okay. CHAIRMAN JONES: But I want the Committee to understand If ones, but what I foresee happening at least, and If ones, but what I foresee happening is that at Okay. CHAIRMAN JONES: Industry because I want to see that panoply of Industry because I want to see that panoply of Industry because I want to see that panoply of Industry because I want to see that panoply of Industry because I want to see that panoply of Industry because I want to see that panoply of Industry because I want to see that panoply of Industry because I want to see that panoply of Industry because I want to see that panoply of Industry because I want to see that panoply of Industry because I want to see that I get that. I own. Industry because I want the Committee to understand I that what I foresee happening is that at the meeting where we vote on	4		4	
that. But we're going to need to understand where Industry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other 10 stakeholders may differ from LED and/or 11 Industry because I want to see that panoply of 12 positions, at least as this Committee Member 13 is. And only then can we then say, okay, I 14 like this, I like this 15 MS. PORTER: 16 Okay. 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 No, no, and I get that. I get that. 19 MS. VILLA: 10 Okay. 11 CHAIRMAN JONES: 12 But I want the Committee to understand 13 that what I foresee happening at least, and 14 I'm open to suggestions if there are better 15 ones, but what I foresee happening is that at 16 Okay. 16 the meeting where we vote on these for 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 a redline and a clean copy. 19 workable system? Does that communicate? 19 MS. VILLA: 20 MS. JOHNSON: 21 Uh-huh. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 I want the record to be clear what we're 23 Yes. 24 WS. VILLA: 25 Uh-huh. 26 CHAIRMAN JONES: 27 I want everybody to understand what 28 We're proposing to change. I'm going to want 29 the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be		•	5	
where Industry and LED differ. Similarly, I'm going to need to know where the other stakeholders may differ from LED and/or Industry because I want to see that panoply of positions, at least as this Committee Member is. And only then can we then say, okay, I like this, I like this  MS. PORTER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  The meeting where we vote on these for CHAIRMAN JONES:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  The meeting where we vote on these for accepting or rejecting these rules, it will be a redline and a clean copy.  MS. VILLA:  MS. JOHNSON:  Uh-huh.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Twant the record to be clear what we're Yes.  MS. PORTER:  Twant the Committee to understand that what I foresee happening at least, and I'm open to suggestions if there are better ones, but what I foresee happening is that at the meeting where we vote on these for accepting or rejecting these rules, it will be a redline and a clean copy.  MS. VILLA:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  I want the record to be clear what we're changing. I want everybody to understand what we're proposing to change. I'm going to want the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be	6	on this stuff; you guys are, and I recognize	6	
going to need to know where the other stakeholders may differ from LED and/or Industry because I want to see that panoply of positions, at least as this Committee Member is. And only then can we then say, okay, I like this, I like this  MS. PORTER: Okay.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  It mopen to suggestions if there are better ones, but what I foresee happening is that at okay.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  The meeting where we vote on these for accepting or rejecting these rules, it will be a redline and a clean copy.  MS. VILLA:  MS. JOHNSON:  Uh-huh.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  It want the Committee to understand that what I foresee happening at least, and It mopen to suggestions if there are better ones, but what I foresee happening is that at the meeting where we vote on these for accepting or rejecting these rules, it will be a redline and a clean copy.  MS. VILLA:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  I want the record to be clear what we're changing. I want everybody to understand what we're proposing to change. I'm going to want the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be	7		7	CHAIRMAN JONES:
10 stakeholders may differ from LED and/or 11 Industry because I want to see that panoply of 12 positions, at least as this Committee Member 13 is. And only then can we then say, okay, I 14 like this, I like this 15 MS. PORTER: 16 Okay. 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 how do we meld it together into a 19 workable system? Does that communicate? 20 MS. JOHNSON: 21 Uh-huh. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 MS. PORTER: 23 Yes. 24 MS. VILLA: 25 Uh-huh. 26 Okay. 27 CHAIRMAN JONES: 28 Twant the Committee to understand 29 In that what I foresee happening at least, and 29 In that what I foresee happening is that at 29 the meeting where we vote on these for 29 a redline and a clean copy. 20 Right. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 Uh-huh. 22 In want the record to be clear what we're 23 Yes. 24 MS. VILLA: 25 Uh-huh. 26 CHAIRMAN JONES: 27 I want the record to be clear what we're 28 Yes. 29 Changing. I want everybody to understand what 29 We're proposing to change. I'm going to want 29 Uh-huh. 20 Uh-huh. 21 Uh-huh. 22 Uh-huh. 23 Yes. 24 We're proposing to change. I'm going to want 25 Uh-huh. 26 Uh-huh. 27 Uh-huh. 28 We're proposing to Commerce, the entire Board, to be	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Industry because I want to see that panoply of positions, at least as this Committee Member is. And only then can we then say, okay, I is. And only then can we then say, okay, I is. And only then can we then say, okay, I is. And only then can we then say, okay, I is. And only then can we then say, okay, I is. And only then can we then say, okay, I is. And only then can we then say, okay, I is. And only then can we then say, okay, I is. And only then can we then say, okay, I is. And only then can we then say, okay, I is. And only then can we then say, okay, I is. And only then can we then say, okay, I is. And only then can we then say, okay, I is. And only then can we then say, okay, I is. And only then can we then say, okay, I is. And only I want the Committee to understand it. It want I foresee happening at least, and I is. It want I foresee happening at least, and I is. It want I foresee happening at least, and I is. And only I want I foresee happening at least, and I is that what I foresee happening at least, and I is what I foresee happening at least, and I is what I foresee happening at least, and I is that what I foresee happening at least, and I is what I foresee happening at least, and I is what I foresee happening at least, and I is that what I foresee happening at least, and I is what I foresee happening at least, and I is that what I foresee happening at least, and I is made in the tax what I foresee happening at least, and I is made and I is made and I is meeting where we vote on these for accepting or rejecting these rules, it will be a redline and a clean copy.  If when the Tommittee to understand that that what I foresee happening at least, and I is made accepting or rejecting these rules, it will be a redline and a clean copy.  If when the Tommittee to understand that that what I foresee happening it least, and I is made accepting or rejecting these rules, it will be a redline and a clean copy.  If when the Tommittee to understand that I'm ones, but I'm ones, but I'm ones, but I'm ones, but I'm			9	MS. VILLA:
positions, at least as this Committee Member is. And only then can we then say, okay, I like this, I like this  MS. PORTER:  Okay.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  how do we meld it together into a workable system? Does that communicate?  MS. JOHNSON:  Uh-huh.  MS. PORTER:  12 But I want the Committee to understand that what I foresee happening at least, and I'm open to suggestions if there are better ones, but what I foresee happening is that at the meeting where we vote on these for accepting or rejecting these rules, it will be a redline and a clean copy.  MS. VILLA:  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  20 MS. JOHNSON:  21 Uh-huh.  22 MS. PORTER:  23 Yes.  24 MS. VILLA:  25 Li want the record to be clear what we're changing. I want everybody to understand what we're proposing to change. I'm going to want the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be		•	10	Okay.
is. And only then can we then say, okay, I  like this, I like this  MS. PORTER:  Okay.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  how do we meld it together into a  workable system? Does that communicate?  MS. PORTER:  MS. JOHNSON:  Uh-huh.  MS. PORTER:  Is that what I foresee happening at least, and  I'm open to suggestions if there are better  ones, but what I foresee happening is that at  the meeting where we vote on these for  accepting or rejecting these rules, it will be  a redline and a clean copy.  MS. VILLA:  Right.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Wes.  MS. PORTER:  Yes.  I want the record to be clear what we're  changing. I want everybody to understand what  we're proposing to change. I'm going to want  the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be		*		CHAIRMAN JONES:
14 like this, I like this 15 MS. PORTER: 16 Okay. 16 the meeting where we vote on these for 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 how do we meld it together into a 19 workable system? Does that communicate? 20 MS. JOHNSON: 21 Uh-huh. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 MS. PORTER: 23 Yes. 24 MS. VILLA: 25 Uh-huh. 26 Like this 27 Uh-huh. 28 Like this 28 Like this 29 Like this 29 Like this 20 In work all it foresee happening is that at 20 the meeting where we vote on these for 21 accepting or rejecting these rules, it will be 22 a redline and a clean copy. 23 Right. 24 CHAIRMAN JONES: 25 Like the record to be clear what we're 26 changing. I want everybody to understand what 27 we're proposing to change. I'm going to want 28 the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be		=		
15 MS. PORTER: 16 Okay. 16 the meeting where we vote on these for 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 how do we meld it together into a 19 workable system? Does that communicate? 19 MS. VILLA: 20 MS. JOHNSON: 21 Uh-huh. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 MS. PORTER: 23 Yes. 24 MS. VILLA: 25 Uh-huh. 26 Chairman JONES: 27 I want the record to be clear what we're 28 changing. I want everybody to understand what 29 We're proposing to change. I'm going to want 20 Uh-huh. 21 Uh-huh. 22 Li we're proposing to change. I'm going to want 23 Uh-huh. 24 We're proposing to Commerce, the entire Board, to be				
16 Okay. 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 how do we meld it together into a 19 workable system? Does that communicate? 20 MS. JOHNSON: 21 Uh-huh. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 MS. PORTER: 23 Yes. 24 MS. VILLA: 25 Uh-huh. 26 Chairman Jones: 27 I want the record to be clear what we're 28 Changing. I want everybody to understand what 29 Will Want of Commerce, the entire Board, to be				
17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 how do we meld it together into a 19 workable system? Does that communicate? 20 MS. JOHNSON: 21 Uh-huh. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 MS. PORTER: 23 Yes. 24 MS. VILLA: 25 Uh-huh. 26 Chairman Jones: 27 I want the record to be clear what we're 28 changing. I want everybody to understand what 29 WS. VILLA: 20 Right. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 I want the record to be clear what we're 23 changing. I want everybody to understand what 24 We're proposing to change. I'm going to want 25 Uh-huh. 26 Uh-huh. 27 Uh-huh. 28 Dordon Tommunicate? 29 Right. 20 Right. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 I want the record to be clear what we're 23 changing. I want everybody to understand what 24 We're proposing to change. I'm going to want 25 Uh-huh. 26 Uh-huh.				** •
how do we meld it together into a 18 a redline and a clean copy. 19 workable system? Does that communicate? 19 MS. VILLA: 20 MS. JOHNSON: 20 Right. 21 Uh-huh. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 MS. PORTER: 23 Yes. 24 MS. VILLA: 25 Uh-huh. 26 we're proposing to change. I'm going to want 27 Uh-huh. 28 the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be				
19workable system? Does that communicate?19MS. VILLA:20MS. JOHNSON:20Right.21Uh-huh.21CHAIRMAN JONES:22MS. PORTER:22I want the record to be clear what we're23Yes.23changing. I want everybody to understand what24MS. VILLA:24we're proposing to change. I'm going to want25Uh-huh.25the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be				
20 MS. JOHNSON: 21 Uh-huh. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 MS. PORTER: 23 Yes. 24 MS. VILLA: 25 Uh-huh. 20 Right. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 I want the record to be clear what we're 23 changing. I want everybody to understand what 24 we're proposing to change. I'm going to want 25 Uh-huh. 26 Uh-huh. 27 The Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be				**
21Uh-huh.21CHAIRMAN JONES:22MS. PORTER:22I want the record to be clear what we're23Yes.23changing. I want everybody to understand what24MS. VILLA:24we're proposing to change. I'm going to want25Uh-huh.25the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be				
22MS. PORTER:22I want the record to be clear what we're23Yes.23changing. I want everybody to understand what24MS. VILLA:24we're proposing to change. I'm going to want25Uh-huh.25the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be				-
23Yes.23changing. I want everybody to understand what24MS. VILLA:24we're proposing to change. I'm going to want25Uh-huh.25the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be				
24 MS. VILLA: 24 we're proposing to change. I'm going to want 25 Uh-huh. 25 the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be				
25 Uh-huh. 25 the Board of Commerce, the entire Board, to be				
Page 51 Page 53	25		25	
		Page 51		Page 53

21 know. Stakeholder means people that bother to 21 Parishes. We can't do a one size fits all,				
then they're going to want a clean copy to see how it reads, you know, without all the distractions.  MS. VILLA:  Salay MS. VILLA:  Raiks Committee Members is, now that we've obviously gone through the presentation, it's now public information, is I want to hear from lindustry, I would want to hear from lindustry, I would want to hear from specifically who take and who use this incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear from them what they think.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MS. VILLA:  So I very much encourage everyone who is out there listening, whether here in the room, list to really give us that feedback of what you think. Because I really feel from an Industry's perspective, if I were sitting as the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically process because it gives me defined forms that need to be filled out at specific deadlines, so I know when and what I need to do before my project starts. And then every year, I need to fille a form. So it's a very easy process to follow.  MS. VILLA:  MSA UTLLA:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  They seed and tho with is load group works.  We're going to have to ago - you don't have to give us your ideas, give us your concepts.  MS. VILLA:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  And if you've taken the time or effort to look at specific provisions of the rules and you want to provide a redline, that's fine, but don't feel like you have to do the whole rules. Just give me what you're interested in.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  They sed for it have to give we what you're interested in.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  And on of things, guys, we're going to have to address because I think we heard it at the last meeting from Mr. Waguespack and others, that how this local group works.  We're going to have to get some thought to help, because I don't want to be patronizing, but some Parishes deal with this all the time.  MS. PORTER:  Yeah.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly. Some see it once every ten years, unfortunately. They would love to see mo	1	crystal clear on what we're changing so they	1	CHAIRMAN JONES:
4 how it reads, you know, without all the 5 distractions. 6 MS. VILLA: 7 Yeah. And one thing that I'd like to 8 add, Gery, as well and for, you know, the 9 Rules Committee Members is, now that we've 10 obviously gone through the presentation, it's 11 now public information, is I want to hear from 12 Industry, I would want to hear from 13 businesses, specifically manufacturers, 14 specifically who take and who use this 15 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear 16 from them what they think. 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 Absolutely. 19 MS. VILLA: 20 So I very much encourage everyone who is 21 out there listening, whether here in the room, 22 is to really give us that feedback of what you 23 think. Because I really feel from an 24 Industry's perspective, if I were sitting as 25 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically 26 project starts. And then every year, I need 27 to file a form. So it's a very easy process 28 to follow. 29 So that's what got me from cold to 20 putting my seat back where I was previously at 21 LED. You don't have to go — you don't have 25 to provisions of the rules and 26 you want to provise a rules and 27 you want to provisions of the rules and 28 you want to provisions of the rules and 29 you want to provisions of the rules and 29 you want to provisions of the rules and 21 you want to provisions of the rules and 21 you want to provision of the rules and 22 you want to provision of the rules and 23 you want to provision of the rules and 24 you want to provision of the rules and 25 very much encourage everyone who is 26 in. 27 MS. VILLA: 28 Were going to ave to get some thought to 29 have to address because I think we heard it at 29 the LFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically 29 And on of things, guys, we're going to 21 have to address because I think we heard it at 22 the late of the feel well of the provision of the rules and 23 provess because it gives me defined forms that 24 need to be filled out at specific deadlines, 25 or I know when and what I need to do before my 26 project starts.	2	can look at a document and say that's and	2	And, again, I want what I'm going to
5 distractions. 6 MS. VILLA: 7 Yeah. And one thing that I'd like to 8 add. Gerry, as well and for, you know, the 9 Rules Committee Members is, now that we've 10 obviously gone through the presentation, it's 11 now public information, is I want to hear from 12 Industry, I would want to hear from 13 businesses, specifically manufacturers, 14 specifically who take and who use this 15 incentive, this abstement, I'd like to hear 16 from them what they think. 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 Absolutely. 19 MS. VILLA: 19 CHAIRMAN JONES: 20 So I very much encourage everyone who is 21 out there listening, whether here in the room, 22 is to really give us that feedback of what you 23 think. Because I really feel from an 24 Industry's perspective, if I were sitting as 25 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically 26 project starts. And then every year, I need 27 to file a form. So it's a very easy process 28 to follow. 29 So that's what got me from cold to 20 putting my seat back where I was previously at 21 LED and saying, this is, just — should be 22 welcomed by the businesses that are 23 participating in the program. 24 CHAIRMAN JONES: 25 And it goes to my earlier comments before 26 we really started this discussion is what I'm 27 going to be looking for and what the 28 welcomed by the businesses that are 29 participating in the program. 20 And it goes to my earlier comments before 20 whether you're a stakcholder, you are, you 21 know. Stakcholder means people that bother to listen to these Committee hearings and are 23 concerned about how this program works. 24 MS. VILLA: 25 Right. 26 LED. You don't have to gour ideas, give us your concepts. 27 MS. VILLA: 28 MS. VILLA: 29 Yeah. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 20 And one of things, give us your concepts. 29 And it povive taken the time or effort to look at specific provisions of the rules and you want to provide a redline, that's fine. 29 We'n but don't feel like you have to do the whole rules and you want to provide a redline, that's fine. 21 HAIRMAN JONES: 22 And one of things, guys, we'	3	then they're going to want a clean copy to see	3	be asking for is those comments probably
6 MS. VILLA: Yeah. And one hing that I'd like to add. Gerry, as well and for, you know, the Rules Committee Members is, now that we've obviously gone through the presentation, it's now public information, is I want to hear from 12 Industry, I would want to hear from 13 businesses, specifically manufacturers, 14 specifically who take and who use this 15 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear 16 from them what they think. 16 from them what they think. 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 17 MS. VILLA: 18 Right. 18 MS. VILLA: 18 Right. 18 MS. VILLA: 18 Right. 19 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 Absolutely. 18 MS. VILLA: 19 CHAIRMAN JONES: 19 And one of things, guys, we're going to have to address because I think we heard it at the last meeting from Mr. Waguespack and 19 think. Because I really feel from an 19 think. Because I really feel from an 24 Industry's perspective, if I were slitting as 19 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically 29 sector, I would greatly appreciate this 29 project starts. And then every year, I need 20 to file a form. So it's a very casp process to follow. 20 So that's what got me from cold to 21 putting my seat back where I was previously at 11 LED and saying, this is just should be welcomed by the businesses that are 20 welcomed by the businesses that are 21 participating in the program. 21 know. Stakeholders. And fyou don't know 20 whether you're a stakeholders. And if you down know 20 whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you 21 know. Stakeholder means people that bother to 16 liste to these Committee hearings and are 23 concerned about how this program works. 24 MS. VILLA: 25 Right. 25 Right. 25 MS. VILLA: 25 MS. VILLA: 26 We're going to be looking for and what the 26 to file a form. So it's a very easy process to to follow. 27 Participating in the program. 28 MS. VILLA: 29 We're going to be looking for and what the 29 We're and to the file of th	4	how it reads, you know, without all the	4	within the next week or ten days presented to
Yeah. And one thing that I'd like to  add, Gerry, as well and for, you know, the Rules Committee Members is, now that we've obviously gone through the presentation, it's now public information, is I want to hear from lousinesses, specifically manufacturers, specifically who take and who use this incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear from them what they think.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Absolutely.  MS. VILLA:  Absolutely.  MS. VILLA:  So I very much encourage everyone who is out there listening, whether here in the room, out there listening, whether here in the room, Industry's perspective, if I were sitting as the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically project starts. And then every year, I need to file a form. So it's a very easy process to follow.  Manufacturers, So I very much encourage everyone who is out there listening, whether here in the room, Industry's perspective, if I were sitting as the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically project starts. And then every year, I need to file a form. So it's a very easy process to to follow.  So that's what got me from cold to putting my seat back where I was previously at I LED and saying, this igust — should be welcomed by the businesses that are project starts. And then every year, I need to file a form. So it's a very easy process to to follow.  So that's what got me from cold to putting my seat back where I was previously at I LED and saying, this igust — should be welcomed by the businesses that are going to be looking for and what the CMAIRMAN JONES:  And if goes to my earlier comments before we really started this discussion is what I'm going to be looking for and what the Committee, I think, is going to want is any other stakeholders. And if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you know. Stakeholder means people that bother to listen to these Committee hearings and are concerned about how this program works.  The chall state the time in the time or effort to look at specific provisions of the rules and you want to provise a redific pro	5	distractions.	5	LED. You don't have to go you don't have
add, Gerry, as well and for, you know, the Rules Committee Members is, now that we've obviously gone through the presentation, it's now public information, is I want to hear from 12 Industry, I would want to hear from 23 businesses, specifically who take and who use this 24 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear 25 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear 26 from them what they think. 27 CHAIRMAN JONES: 28 MS, VILLA: 29 MS, VILLA: 20 So I very much encourage everyone who is 22 out there listening, whether here in the room, 21 industry, berspective, if I were stitling as 25 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically 26 sector, I would greatly appreciate this 27 to file a form. So it's a very casy process 28 to follow. 30 that's what got me from cold to 29 partishes deal with this all the time. 31 participating in the program. 32 concerned about how this program works. 34 move the adsholders, And if you don't know 30 whether you're a stakeholders. And if you don't know 30 whether you're a stakeholders. And if you don't know 30 whether you're a stakeholders. And if you don't know 30 whether you're a stakeholders. And if you don't know 30 whether you're a stakeholders. And if you don't know 30 whether you're a stakeholders. And if you don't know 30 whether you're a stakeholders. And if you don't know 30 whether you're a stakeholders. And if you don't know 30 whether you're a stakeholders and are 32 concerned about how this program works. 34 MS, VILLA: 35 MS, VILLA: 36 MS, VILLA: 36 MS, VILLA: 36 MS, VILLA: 37 MS, VILLA: 37 MS, VILLA: 38 MS, VILLA: 38 MS, VILLA: 39 MS, VILLA: 39 MS, VILLA: 30	6	MS. VILLA:	6	to prepare this kind of presentation. Just
9 Rules Committee Members is, now that we've obviously gone through the presentation, it's now public information, is I want to hear from 12 Industry, I would want to hear from 13 businesses, specifically manufacturers, 13 specifically who take and who use this 14 specifically who take and who use this 15 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear 16 from them what they think. 16 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear 17 from them what they think. 16 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear 18 from them what they think. 16 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear 18 from them what they think. 16 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear 18 from them what they think. 16 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear 18 from them what they think. 16 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear 18 from them what they think. 16 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear 18 from them what they think. 16 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear 18 from them what they think. 16 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear 18 from them what they think. 16 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear 18 from them what they think. 16 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear 18 from them what they think. 16 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear 18 from the whole 19 to with them what I hear 19 from them what I hear	7	Yeah. And one thing that I'd like to	7	give us your ideas, give us your concepts.
obviously gone through the presentation, it's now public information, is I want to hear from 12 Industry. I would want to hear from 13 businesses, specifically manufacturers, 14 specifically who take and who use this 15 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear 16 from them what they think. 16 from them what they think. 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 17 MS. VILLA: 18 Absolutely. 18 Right. 19 MS. VILLA: 19 MS. VILLA: 19 CHAIRMAN JONES: 17 MS. VILLA: 19 CHAIRMAN JONES: 19 And one of things, guys, we're going to 21 have to address because I think we heard it at the last meeting from Mr. Waguespack and 22 out there listening, whether here in the room, 21 is to really give us that feedback of what you 22 think. Because I really feel from an 12 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically Page 54 11 what I told my team, if I was back in private 2 sector, I would greatly appreciate this 2 so I know when and what I need to do before my 2 for joet starts. And then every year, I need 2 to folle a form. So it's a very easy process 8 to follow. 20 So that's what got me from cold to 21 putting my seat back where I was previously at 11 LED and saying, this is just – should be 22 welcomed by the businesses that are 23 participating in the program. 15 participating in the program. 16 CHAIRMAN JONES: 17 pool the stakeholders. And if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you 20 know. Stakeholder means people that bother to 21 listen to these Committee, I think, is going to want is any 20 whether you're a stakeholder, and if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, and if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, and if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, and if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, and if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, and if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, and if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, and if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, and if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, and if you don't know whether you're a stakeho	8	add, Gerry, as well and for, you know, the	8	MS. VILLA:
11 now public information, is I want to hear from 12 Industry, I would want to hear from 23 businesses, specifically manufacturers, 24 specifically who take and who use this 25 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear 26 from them what they think. 27 CHAIRMAN JONES: 28 Absolutely. 38 Absolutely. 39 MS, VII.A: 39 MS, VII.A: 30 So I very much encourage everyone who is 30 out there listening, whether here in the room, 21 industry's perspective, if I were sitting as 25 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically 26 project starts. And then every year, I need 27 to file a form. So it's a very easy process 30 or both of the businesses that are 32 participating in the program. 31 to file a form. So it's a very easy process 40 to file a form. So it's a very easy process 51 And it goes to my earlier comments before 30 the really started this discussion is what I'm 20 going to be looking for and what the 30 concerned about how this program works. 32 MS. VII.LA: 32 MS. VII.LA: 33 vork in other stakeholders. And if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you 40 MS. VII.LA: 34 MS. VII.LA: 35 MS. VII.LA: 36 We're going to have to get some thought to 16 help, because I don't want to be patronizing, but some 25 Parishes deal with this all the time. 36 MS. VII.A: 36 MS. VII.A: 37 MS. VII.A: 38 MS. VII.A: 38 MS. VII.A: 38 MS. VII.A: 38 MS. VII.A: 39 MS. VIII.A: 30 MS. VIII.A	9	Rules Committee Members is, now that we've	9	Yeah.
look at specific provisions of the rules and you want to provide a redline, that's fine, businesses, specifically manufacturers, 13 specifically who take and who use this incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear from them what they think. 16 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear from them what they think. 16 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear from them what they think. 16 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear from them what they think. 16 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear from them what they think. 16 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear from them what they think. 16 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear from them what they think. 16 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear from them what they think. 16 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear from them what they think. 16 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear from them what they think. 16 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear from them what they think. 16 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear from them what I head to hear from them what I ned to do follow. 19 incentive, I'd like to hear from them what I ned to do before my 10 incentive, I'd like to hear from them what I ned to do before my 10 incentive, I'd like to hear from them what I ned to do before my 10 incentive, I'd like to hear from them what I ned to do before my 10 incentive, I'd like to hear from them what I ned to do before my 10 incentive think, I'd like to hear from them what I ned to do before my 10 incentive, I'd like to hear from them what I ned to do before my 10 incentive, I'd like to hear from them what I ned to do hefore my 10 incentive, I'd like to hear from manual to help the hear from them what I ned to do hefore my 10 incentive think, I near like I have to get some thought to help, because I don't want to be patronizing, but some 10 incentive from my	10	obviously gone through the presentation, it's	10	CHAIRMAN JONES:
businesses, specifically manufacturers, specifically who take and who use this incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear from them what they think.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MS. VILLA:  So I very much encourage everyone who is out there listening, whether here in the room, to really give us that feedback of what you think. Because I really feel from an thinks. Because I think we heard it at the last meeting from Mr. Waguespack and others, that how this local group works.  We're going to have to get some thought to help, because I don't want to be persumptuous.  Page 54  They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly. Some see it on follow.  They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly. Some see it on floor us to expect everybody to be able to respond on a dime - that's the reason for the velomed by the businesses that are participating in the program.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly. Some see it once every ten years, unfortunately. They would love to see more, but they don't. And for us to expect everybody to be able to respond on a dime - that's the reason for the velomed by the businesses that are participating in the program.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fair	11	now public information, is I want to hear from	11	And if you've taken the time or effort to
14 specifically who take and who use this incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear inference in them what they think. 16 from them what they think. 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 Absolutely. 19 MS. VILLA: 20 So I very much encourage everyone who is out there listening, whether here in the room, 22 is to really give us that feedback of what you 23 think. Because I really feel from an 24 Industry's perspective, if I were sitting as 25 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically 26 sector, I would greatly appreciate this 37 process because it gives me defined forms that 4 need to be filled out at specific deadlines, 38 to follow. 29 So that's what got me from cold to 10 putting my seat back where I was previously at 11 LED and saying, this is just - should be 20 were ally started this discussion is what I'm 20 going to be looking for and what the 21 going to be looking for and what the 22 thouse 18 to form the filled out at specific deadlines, 30 that's what got me from cold to 30 putting my seat back where I was previously at 12 LED and saying, this is just - should be 30 thers takeholders. And if you don't know 30 whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you 40 whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you 40 whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you 40 know. Stakeholder means people that bother to 20 listen to these Committee hearings and are concerned about how this program works. 20 MS. VILLA: 21 Sight. 22 but don't feel like you have to do the whote incles. I	12	Industry, I would want to hear from	12	look at specific provisions of the rules and
15 incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear from them what they think. 16 from them what they think. 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 Absolutely. 19 MS. VILLA: 20 So I very much encourage everyone who is 20 and there listening, whether here in the room, 21 is to really give us that feedback of what you 22 think. Because I really feel from an 23 think. Because I really feel from an 24 Industry's perspective, if I were sitting as 25 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically 26 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically 27 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically 28 sector, I would greatly appreciate this 29 project starts. And then every year, I need 29 to file a form. So it's a very easy process 29 to follow. 20 So that's what got me from cold to 20 putting my seat back where I was previously at 11 LED and saying, this is just should be welcomed by the businesses that are 21 participating in the program. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 45 And it goes to my earlier comments before we really started this discussion is what I'm 20 other stakeholders. And if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you 20 know. Stakeholder means people that bother to 20 listen to these Committee hearings and are concerned about how this program works. 25 Right. 25 Right. 26 Industry the program is the program works. 26 Industry in place I winking about how to do this made and suggestions about how to do this mechanically 20 MS. VILLA: 25 MS. VILL	13	businesses, specifically manufacturers,	13	you want to provide a redline, that's fine,
16 from them what they think. 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 Absolutely. 19 MS. VILLA: 20 So I very much encourage everyone who is 21 out there listening, whether here in the room, 22 is to really give us that feedback of what you 23 think. Because I really feel from an 24 Industry's perspective, if I were sitting as 25 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically 26 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically 27 rocess because it gives me defined forms that 28 need to be filled out at specific deadlines, 29 so I know when and what I need to be follow. 20 So that's what got me from cold to 21 putting my seat back where I was previously at 22 the LED and saying, this is just—should be 23 welcomed by the businesses that are 24 certaily and I don't want to be patronizing, but some 25 project starts. And then every year, I need 26 to follow. 27 They see manufacturing projects in their 28 jurisdiction fairly regularly. Some see it 29 once every ten years, unfortunately. They 20 welcomed by the businesses that re 21 going to be looking for and what the 21 committee, I think, is going to want is any 22 whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you 23 know. Stakeholder means people that bother to 24 know. Stakeholder means people that bother to 25 concerned about how this program works. 26 might. 27 MS. VILLA: 28 Right. 29 MS. VILLA: 20 MS. VILLA: 21 mad I don't want to be patronizing, but some 22 parishes, we anifacturing projects in their 23 jurisdiction fairly regularly. Some see it 24 once every ten years, unfortunately. They 25 would love to see more, but they don't. And 26 for us to expect everybody to be able to 27 respond on a dime that's the reason for the 28 scero, I would see the program. 29 well that the last meeting from Mr. Waguespack and 29 others, that how this program works. 20 work in some Parishes, may not work in other 29 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically 20 whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you 21 know. Stakeholder means people that bother to 22 listen to these Committee hearings and are	14	specifically who take and who use this	14	but don't feel like you have to do the whole
17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 Absolutely. 19 MS. VILLA: 20 So I very much encourage everyone who is 21 out there listening, whether here in the room, 22 is to really give us that feedback of what you 23 think. Because I really feel from an 24 Industry's perspective, if I were sitting as 25 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically 26 sector, I would greatly appreciate this 3 process because it gives me defined forms that 4 need to be filled out at specific deadlines, 5 so I know when and what I need to do before my 6 project starts. And then every year, I need 7 to file a form. So it's a very easy process 8 to follow. 9 So that's what got me from cold to 10 putting my seat back where I was previously at 11 LED and saying, this is just should be 12 welcomed by the businesses that are 13 participating in the program. 14 CHAIRMAN JONES: 15 And it goes to my earlier comments before 16 we really started this discussion is what I'm 17 going to be looking for and what the 18 Committee, I think, is going to want is any 19 other stakeholders. And if you don't know 20 whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you 21 know. Stakeholder means people that bother to 22 listen to these Committee hearings and are 23 concerned about how this program works. 21 Ms. VILLA: 22 Palis Many JONES: 23 And one of things, guys, we're going to have to address because I think we heard it at the last meeting from Mr. Waguespack and others, that how this local group works. 24 We're going to have to get some thought to help, because I don't want to be patronizing, but some Parished alwith this all the time. 25 MS. PORTER: 26 Yeah. 27 Yeah. 28 CHAIRMAN JONES: 38 They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly. Some see it once every ten years, unfortunately. They would love to see more, but they don't. And for us to expect everybody to be able to respond on a dime is, I think, unrealistic. 39 And one of things, guys, we're going to have to address because I dhink we heard it at the last meeting from Mr. Waguespack and others,	15	incentive, this abatement, I'd like to hear	15	rules. Just give me what you're interested
Absolutely.  MS. VILLA:  So I very much encourage everyone who is  out there listening, whether here in the room,  is to really give us that feedback of what you  think. Because I really feel from an  Industry's perspective, if I were sitting as  the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically  page 54  what I told my team, if I was back in private  sector, I would greatly appreciate this  process because it gives me defined forms that  need to be filled out at specific deadlines,  so I know when and what I need to do before my  for project starts. And then every year, I need  to file a form. So it's a very easy process  to follow.  So that's what got me from cold to  putting my seat back where I was previously at  LED and saying, this is just should be  welcomed by the businesses that are  the CHAIRMAN JONES:  And it goes to my earlier comments before  we really started this discussion is what I'm  going to be looking for and what the  CHAIRMAN JONES:  And it goes to my earlier comments before  we really started this discussion is what I'm  going to be looking for and what the  Committee, I think, is going to want is any  other stakeholders. And if you don't know  whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you  concerned about how this program works.  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MS. Park.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  And one of things, guys, we're going to have to address because I think we heard it at  the last meeting from Mr. Waguespack and others, that how this local group works.  We're going to have to get some thought to thelp, because I don't want to be patronizing, but some Parishes deal with this all the time.  MS. PORTER:  Yeah.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly. Some see it once every ten years, unfortunately. They would love to see more, but they	16	from them what they think.	16	in.
19 MS. VILLA: 20 So I very much encourage everyone who is 21 out there listening, whether here in the room, 22 is to really give us that feedback of what you 23 think. Because I really feel from an 24 Industry's perspective, if I were sitting as 25 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically 26 sector, I would greatly appreciate this 3 process because it gives me defined forms that 4 need to be filled out at specific deadlines, 5 so I know when and what I need to do before my 6 project starts. And then every year, I need 10 putting my seat back where I was previously at 11 LED and saying, this is just — should be 11 welcomed by the businesses that are 12 welcomed by the businesses that are 13 participating in the program. 14 CHAIRMAN JONES: 15 And it goes to my earlier comments before we really started this discussion is what I'm 19 other stakeholders. And if you don't know 21 know. Stakeholder, you are, you 22 whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you 23 think Because I think we heard it at 24 the last meeting from Mr. Waguespack and 25 others, that how this local group works. 26 We're going to have to get some thought to 27 the last meeting from Mr. Waguespack and 28 others, that how this local group works. 29 We're going to have to get some thought to 29 help, because I don't want to be patronizing, but some 20 Parishes deal with this all the time. 21 And I don't want to be patronizing, but some 22 Parishes deal with this all the time. 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: 34 They see manufacturing projects in their 35 jurisdiction fairly regularly. Some see it 36 once every ten years, unfortunately. They 36 would love to see more, but they don't. And 37 for us to expect everybody to be able to 38 respond on a dime that's the reason for the 39 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to 45-day thing is troublin	17	CHAIRMAN JONES:	17	MS. VILLA:
So I very much encourage everyone who is out there listening, whether here in the room, is to really give us that feedback of what you think. Because I really feel from an 23 think. Because I really feel from an 24 Industry's perspective, if I were sitting as 25 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically 26 Page 54	18	Absolutely.	18	Right.
21 out there listening, whether here in the room, is to really give us that feedback of what you 23 think. Because I really feel from an 24 Industry's perspective, if I were sitting as 24 Industry's perspective, if I were sitting as 25 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically Page 54 25 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically Page 54 26 27 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically Page 54 27 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically Page 54 27 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically Page 54 28 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically Page 54 29 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically Page 54 29 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically Page 54 29 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically Page 54 29 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically Page 54 29 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically Page 54 20 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically Page 54 20 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically Page 54 20 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically Page 54 20 the last meeting from Mr. Waguespack and others, that how this local group works. 21 20 the last meeting from Mr. Waguespack and others, that how this local group works. 21 20 the last meeting from Mr. Waguespack and others, that how this local group works. 21 20 the last meeting from Mr. Waguespack and others, that how this local group works. 21 20 the last meeting from Mr. Waguespack and others, that how this local group works. 24 the last meeting from Mr. Waguespack and others, that how this local group works. 24 the last meeting from Mr. Waguespack and others, that how this local group works. 24 the last meeting from Mr. Waguespack and others, that how this local group works. 24 the last meeting from Mr. Waguespack and others, that how this local group works. 24 the last meeting from Mr. Waguespack and others, that how this local group works. 24 the last meeting from Mr. Waguespack and others, that how this local group works. 24 the last meeting from Mr. Waguespack and other, that hought it	19	MS. VILLA:	19	CHAIRMAN JONES:
think. Because I really feel from an Industry's perspective, if I were sitting as the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically Page 54  what I told my team, if I was back in private sector, I would greatly appreciate this process because it gives me defined forms that need to be filled out at specific deadlines, so I know when and what I need to do before my project starts. And then every year, I need to follow.  So that's what got me from cold to putting my seat back where I was previously at LED and saying, this is just — should be welcomed by the businesses that are participating in the program.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  And it goes to my earlier comments before we really started this discussion is what I'm going to be looking for and what the Committee, I think, is going to want is any whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you whether you're a stakeholder means people that bother to listen to these Committee hearings and are concerned about how this local group works.  We're going to have to get some thought to others, that how this local group works.  We're going to have to get some thought to others, that how this local group works.  We're going to have to get some thought to others, that how this local group works.  We're going to have to get some thought to others, that how this local group works.  We're going to have to get some thought to others, that how this local group works.  We're going to have to get some thought to be presumptuous  Page 54  1 what I told my team, if I was back in private sector, I would greatly appreciate this  And I don't want to be patronizing, but some Parishes deal with this all the time.  MS. PORTER:  Yeah.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly. Some see it once every ten years, unfortunately. They would love to see more, but they don't. And for us to expect everybody to be able to respond on a dime that's the reason for the 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to expect them to be able to respond on a dime that's the r	20	So I very much encourage everyone who is	20	And one of things, guys, we're going to
think. Because I really feel from an Industry's perspective, if I were sitting as the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically Page 54  what I told my team, if I was back in private sector, I would greatly appreciate this need to be filled out at specific deadlines, so I know when and what I need to do before my project starts. And then every year, I need to file a form. So it's a very easy process to follow.  So that's what got me from cold to putting my seat back where I was previously at LED and saying, this is just should be welcomed by the businesses that are welcomed by the businesses that are 4 CHAIRMAN JONES:  And it goes to my earlier comments before we really started this discussion is what I'm going to be looking for and what the Committee, I think, is going to want is any whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you know. Stakeholder means people that bother to listen to these Committee hearings and are concerned about how this program works.  23 others, that how this local group works. We're going to have to get some thought to help, because I don't want to be patronizing, but some help, because I don't want to be presumptuous help, because I don't want to be persumptuous help, becaus	21	out there listening, whether here in the room,	21	have to address because I think we heard it at
24 Industry's perspective, if I were sitting as 25 the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically 26 Page 54  1 what I told my team, if I was back in private 2 sector, I would greatly appreciate this 3 process because it gives me defined forms that 4 need to be filled out at specific deadlines, 5 so I know when and what I need to do before my 6 project starts. And then every year, I need 7 to file a form. So it's a very easy process 8 to follow. 9 So that's what got me from cold to 10 putting my seat back where I was previously at 11 LED and saying, this is just should be 12 welcomed by the businesses that are 13 participating in the program. 14 CHAIRMAN JONES: 15 And it goes to my earlier comments before 16 we really started this discussion is what I'm 17 going to be looking for and what the 18 Committee, I think, is going to want is any 20 whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you 21 know. Stakeholder means people that bother to 22 listen to these Committee hearings and are 23 concerned about how this program works. 24 We're going to have to get some thought to help, because I don't want to be patronizing, but some Parishes deal with this all the time. 3 MS. PORTER:  Yeah.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly. Some see it once every ten years, unfortunately. They would love to see more, but they don't. And for us to expect everybody to be able to respond on a dime that's the reason for the 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to expect them to be able to respond on a dime is, I think, unrealistic.  And so I want us to, as a Committee and as LED as well, to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help them help themselves, get that community in place. I think there are some paths forward that may work in some Parishes, may not work in other Parishes. We can't do a one size fits all, but I think we can get some recommendations and suggestions about how to do this mechanically MS. VILLA:	22	is to really give us that feedback of what you	22	the last meeting from Mr. Waguespack and
the CFO and in the CFO seat, that's basically Page 54  what I told my team, if I was back in private sector, I would greatly appreciate this process because it gives me defined forms that need to be filled out at specific deadlines, for I know when and what I need to do before my for project starts. And then every year, I need for to file a form. So it's a very easy process for follow.  So that's what got me from cold to putting my seat back where I was previously at LED and saying, this is just should be welcomed by the businesses that are for participating in the program.  CHAIRMAN JONES: And it goes to my earlier comments before we really started this discussion is what I'm going to be looking for and what the Committee, I think, is going to want is any other stakeholders. And if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you know. Stakeholder means people that bother to listen to these Committee hearings and are concerned about how this program works.  Right.  belp, because I don't want to be persumptuous Page 56  and I don't want to be patronizing, but some Parishes deal with this all the time.  MS. PORTER:  Yeah.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly. Some see it once every ten years, unfortunately. They would love to see more, but they don't. And for us to expect everybody to be able to respond on a dime that's the reason for the expect them to be able to respond on a dime is, I think, unrealistic.  And so I want us to, as a Committee and as LED as well, to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help them help themselves, get that community in place. I think there are some paths forward that may work in some Parishes, may not work in other Parishes. We can't do a one size fits all, but I think we can get some recommendations and suggestions about how to do this mechanically MS. VILLA:	23	think. Because I really feel from an	23	others, that how this local group works.
what I told my team, if I was back in private sector, I would greatly appreciate this process because it gives me defined forms that need to be filled out at specific deadlines, so I know when and what I need to do before my for project starts. And then every year, I need to file a form. So it's a very easy process to follow.  So that's what got me from cold to putting my seat back where I was previously at LED and saying, this is just should be welcomed by the businesses that are participating in the program.  LED and saying in the program.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  And it goes to my earlier comments before we really started this discussion is what I'm going to be looking for and what the Committee, I think, is going to want is any other stakeholders. And if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you thou for the stakeholder means people that bother to listen to these Committee hearings and are concerned about how this program works.  Right.  and I don't want to be patronizing, but some Parishes deal with this all the time.  MS. PORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN JONES: They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly. Some see it once every ten years, unfortunately. They would love to see more, but they don't. And for us to expect everybody to be able to respond on a dime that's the reason for the 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to expect them to be able to respond on a dime is, I think, unrealistic.  And so I want us to, as a Committee and as LED as well, to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help them help themselves, get that community in place. I think there are some paths forward that may work in some Parishes, may not work in other Parishes. We can't do a one size fits all, but I think we can get some recommendations and suggestions about how to do this mechanically  MS. VILLA:	24	Industry's perspective, if I were sitting as	24	We're going to have to get some thought to
what I told my team, if I was back in private sector, I would greatly appreciate this process because it gives me defined forms that need to be filled out at specific deadlines, so I know when and what I need to do before my for project starts. And then every year, I need to file a form. So it's a very easy process to follow.  So that's what got me from cold to putting my seat back where I was previously at LED and saying, this is just should be welcomed by the businesses that are participating in the program.  LED and saying in the program.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  And it goes to my earlier comments before we really started this discussion is what I'm going to be looking for and what the Committee, I think, is going to want is any other stakeholders. And if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you thou for the stakeholder means people that bother to listen to these Committee hearings and are concerned about how this program works.  Right.  and I don't want to be patronizing, but some Parishes deal with this all the time.  MS. PORTER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN JONES: They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly. Some see it once every ten years, unfortunately. They would love to see more, but they don't. And for us to expect everybody to be able to respond on a dime that's the reason for the 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to expect them to be able to respond on a dime is, I think, unrealistic.  And so I want us to, as a Committee and as LED as well, to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help them help themselves, get that community in place. I think there are some paths forward that may work in some Parishes, may not work in other Parishes. We can't do a one size fits all, but I think we can get some recommendations and suggestions about how to do this mechanically  MS. VILLA:	25		25	
2 sector, I would greatly appreciate this 3 process because it gives me defined forms that 4 need to be filled out at specific deadlines, 5 so I know when and what I need to do before my 6 project starts. And then every year, I need 7 to file a form. So it's a very easy process 8 to follow. 9 So that's what got me from cold to 10 putting my seat back where I was previously at 11 LED and saying, this is just should be 12 welcomed by the businesses that are 13 participating in the program. 14 CHAIRMAN JONES: 15 And it goes to my earlier comments before 16 we really started this discussion is what I'm 17 going to be looking for and what the 18 Committee, I think, is going to want is any 20 whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you 21 know. Stakeholder means people that bother to 22 concerned about how this program works. 24 MS. VILLA: 25 Right.  2 Parishes deal with this all the time. 3 MS. PORTER: 4 Yeah. CHAIRMAN JONES: 5 They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly. Some see it 6 once every ten years, unfortunately. They would love to see more, but they don't. And for us to expect everybody to be able to respond on a dime that's the reason for the 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to expect them to be able to respond on a dime is, I think, unrealistic. 15 And so I want us to, as a Committee and as LED as well, to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help them help themselves, get that community in place. I think there are some paths forward that may work in some Parishes, may not work in other parishes. We can't do a one size fits all, 22 but I think we can get some recommendations and suggestions about how to do this 23 mechanically 24 MS. VILLA: 25 MS. VILLA: 26 MS. VILLA: 27 Parishes deal with this all the time. 38 MS. PORTER: 4 Yeah. CHAIRMAN JONES:  They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly. Some see it once every ten years, unfortunately. They would love to see ment, bear once every ten years, unfortunately. They once every t				Page 56
3 process because it gives me defined forms that 4 need to be filled out at specific deadlines, 5 so I know when and what I need to do before my 6 project starts. And then every year, I need 7 to file a form. So it's a very easy process 8 to follow. 9 So that's what got me from cold to 10 putting my seat back where I was previously at 11 LED and saying, this is just should be 12 welcomed by the businesses that are 13 participating in the program. 14 CHAIRMAN JONES: 15 And it goes to my earlier comments before 16 we really started this discussion is what I'm 17 going to be looking for and what the 18 Committee, I think, is going to want is any 19 other stakeholders. And if you don't know 20 whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you 21 know. Stakeholder means people that bother to 22 concerned about how this program works. 23 Right. 3 MS. PORTER: 4 Yeah. 5 CHAIRMAN JONES: 6 They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly. Some see it 7 once every ten years, unfortunately. They 9 would love to see more, but they don't. And 10 for us to expect everybody to be able to 10 respond on a dime that's the reason for the 11 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to 12 expect them to be able to respond on a dime 13 is, I think, unrealistic. 14 And so I want us to, as a Committee and 15 as LED as well, to help, we've got to start 16 thinking about how to help them help 17 themselves, get that community in place. I 18 think there are some paths forward that may 19 work in some Parishes, may not work in other 20 Parishes. We can't do a one size fits all, 21 but I think we can get some recommendations 22 and suggestions about how to do this 23 mechanically 24 MS. VILLA: 25 Right. 26 MS. VILLA: 27 MS. VILLA:	1	what I told my team, if I was back in private	1	and I don't want to be patronizing, but some
4 need to be filled out at specific deadlines, 5 so I know when and what I need to do before my 6 project starts. And then every year, I need 7 to file a form. So it's a very easy process 8 to follow. 9 So that's what got me from cold to 10 putting my seat back where I was previously at 11 LED and saying, this is just should be 12 welcomed by the businesses that are 13 participating in the program. 14 CHAIRMAN JONES: 15 And it goes to my earlier comments before 16 we really started this discussion is what I'm 17 going to be looking for and what the 18 Committee, I think, is going to want is any 19 other stakeholders. And if you don't know 20 whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you 21 know. Stakeholder means people that bother to 22 listen to these Committee hearings and are 23 concerned about how this program works. 24 MS. VILLA: 25 Right.  4 Yeah. CHAIRMAN JONES:  5 They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly. Some see it 6 CHAIRMAN JONES:  10 They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly.  6 They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly.  6 They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly.  6 They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly.  6 They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly.  6 They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly.  6 They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly.  6 OHAIRMAN JONES:  10 for us to expect everybody to be able to respond on a dime that's the reason for the 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to expect them to be able to respond on a dime that's the reason for the 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to expect them to be able to respond on a dime that's the reason for the 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to expect everybody to be able to respond on a dime that's the reason for the 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to expect everybody to be ab	2	sector, I would greatly appreciate this	2	Parishes deal with this all the time.
so I know when and what I need to do before my project starts. And then every year, I need to file a form. So it's a very easy process to follow.  So that's what got me from cold to putting my seat back where I was previously at LED and saying, this is just should be welcomed by the businesses that are participating in the program.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  And it goes to my earlier comments before we really started this discussion is what I'm going to be looking for and what the Committee, I think, is going to want is any whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you then welcomed about how this program works.  MS. VILLA:  So CHAIRMAN JONES:  They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly. Some see it once every ten years, unfortunately. They would love to see more, but they don't. And for us to expect everybody to be able to respond on a dime that's the reason for the 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to expect them to be able to respond on a dime is, I think, unrealistic.  And so I want us to, as a Committee and as LED as well, to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help them help themselves, get that community in place. I think there are some paths forward that may work in some Parishes, may not work in other parishes. We can't do a one size fits all, but I think we can get some recommendations and suggestions about how to do this  MS. VILLA:	3	process because it gives me defined forms that	3	MS. PORTER:
for project starts. And then every year, I need to file a form. So it's a very easy process to follow.  So that's what got me from cold to putting my seat back where I was previously at LED and saying, this is just should be welcomed by the businesses that are participating in the program.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  And it goes to my earlier comments before we really started this discussion is what I'm going to be looking for and what the Committee, I think, is going to want is any whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you work in some Parishes, may not work in other to listen to these Committee hearings and are concerned about how this program works.  Right.  6 They see manufacturing projects in their jurisdiction fairly regularly. Some see it once every ten years, unfortunately. They would love to see more, but they don't. And 10 for us to expect everybody to be able to respond on a dime that's the reason for the 12 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to expect them to be able to respond on a dime is, I think, unrealistic.  And so I want us to, as a Committee and as LED as well, to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help them help them selves, get that community in place. I think there are some paths forward that may whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you work in some Parishes, may not work in other 21 Parishes. We can't do a one size fits all, but I think we can get some recommendations and suggestions about how to do this mechanically 25 MS. VILLA:	4	need to be filled out at specific deadlines,	4	Yeah.
to file a form. So it's a very easy process to follow.  So that's what got me from cold to putting my seat back where I was previously at LED and saying, this is just should be welcomed by the businesses that are CHAIRMAN JONES: And it goes to my earlier comments before we really started this discussion is what I'm going to be looking for and what the Committee, I think, is going to want is any other stakeholders. And if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you whether you're a stakeholder means people that bother to listen to these Committee hearings and are concerned about how this program works.  To follow.  So that's what got me from cold to putting my seat back where I was previously at nonce every ten years, unfortunately. They would love to see more, but they don't. And for us to expect everybody to be able to respond on a dime that's the reason for the 12 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to expect them to be able to respond on a dime is, I think, unrealistic.  And so I want us to, as a Committee and as LED as well, to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help them help themselves, get that community in place. I think there are some paths forward that may work in some Parishes, may not work in other 21 Parishes. We can't do a one size fits all, 22 but I think we can get some recommendations and suggestions about how to do this mechanically 25 MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:	5	so I know when and what I need to do before my	5	CHAIRMAN JONES:
to follow.  So that's what got me from cold to  putting my seat back where I was previously at  LED and saying, this is just should be  welcomed by the businesses that are  CHAIRMAN JONES:  And it goes to my earlier comments before  we really started this discussion is what I'm  committee, I think, is going to want is any  other stakeholders. And if you don't know  other stakeholder means people that bother to  listen to these Committee hearings and are  concerned about how this program works.  Kind a concerned about how this program works.  Right.  So that's what got me from cold to  9 would love to see more, but they don't. And  for us to expect everybody to be able to  respond on a dime that's the reason for the  12 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to  expect them to be able to respond on a dime  13 expect them to be able to respond on a dime  14 5-day thing is troubling to me. For us to  15 And so I want us to, as a Committee and  as LED as well, to help, we've got to start  thinking about how to help them help  themselves, get that community in place. I  think there are some paths forward that may  work in some Parishes, may not work in other  21 Parishes. We can't do a one size fits all,  but I think we can get some recommendations  and suggestions about how to do this  mechanically  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:	6	project starts. And then every year, I need	6	They see manufacturing projects in their
9 So that's what got me from cold to 10 putting my seat back where I was previously at 11 LED and saying, this is just should be 12 welcomed by the businesses that are 13 participating in the program. 14 CHAIRMAN JONES: 15 And it goes to my earlier comments before 16 we really started this discussion is what I'm 17 going to be looking for and what the 18 Committee, I think, is going to want is any 19 other stakeholders. And if you don't know 20 whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you 21 know. Stakeholder means people that bother to 22 listen to these Committee hearings and are 23 concerned about how this program works. 24 MS. VILLA: 25 Right.  9 would love to see more, but they don't. And 10 for us to expect everybody to be able to 11 respond on a dime that's the reason for the 12 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to 13 expect them to be able to respond on a dime 14 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to 15 And so I want us to, as a Committee and 16 as LED as well, to help, we've got to start 17 thinking about how to help them help 18 themselves, get that community in place. I 19 other stakeholder, you are, you 20 work in some Parishes, may not work in other 21 Parishes. We can't do a one size fits all, 22 but I think we can get some recommendations 23 and suggestions about how to do this 24 mechanically 25 MS. VILLA: 26 MS. VILLA: 27 MS. VILLA:	7	to file a form. So it's a very easy process	7	jurisdiction fairly regularly. Some see it
putting my seat back where I was previously at LED and saying, this is just should be welcomed by the businesses that are the description of the program.  LED and saying, this is just should be welcomed by the businesses that are the description of the program.  CHAIRMAN JONES: the description of the program.  And it goes to my earlier comments before we really started this discussion is what I'm going to be looking for and what the Committee, I think, is going to want is any other stakeholders. And if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you listen to these Committee hearings and are concerned about how this program works.  Right.  To rus to expect everybody to be able to respond on a dime that's the reason for the at think is compact the reason for the table of the very body to be able to respond on a dime that's the reason for the at think, is troubling to me. For us to expect them to be able to respond on a dime that's the reason for the at think, is troubling to me. For us to at Super them to be able to respond on a dime that's the reason for the at package that reason for the at Super description and imperior to a super think, unrealistic.  And so I want us to, as a Committee and as LED as well, to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help them help themselves, get that community in place. I think there are some paths forward that may work in some Parishes, may not work in other and a super description and suggestions about how to do this and suggestions about how to do this mechanically  Right.	8	to follow.	8	once every ten years, unfortunately. They
LED and saying, this is just should be welcomed by the businesses that are participating in the program.  CHAIRMAN JONES: And it goes to my earlier comments before we really started this discussion is what I'm going to be looking for and what the Committee, I think, is going to want is any other stakeholders. And if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you listen to these Committee hearings and are concerned about how this program works.  MS. VILLA: Right.  respond on a dime that's the reason for the 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to expect them to be able to respond on a dime 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to expect them to be able to respond on a dime 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to expect them to be able to respond on a dime that's the reason for the 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to expect them to be able to respond on a dime that's the reason for the 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to expect them to be able to respond on a dime that's the reason for the 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to expect them to be able to respond on a dime 14 LED and suph is troubling to me. For us to expect them to be able to respond on a dime 15 And so I want us to, as a Committee and as LED as well, to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help them help 18 themselves, get that community in place. I 19 work in some Parishes, may not work in other 20 work in some Parishes. We can't do a one size fits all, 21 but I think we can get some recommendations 22 and suggestions about how to do this 23 mechanically 24 mechanically 25 MS. VILLA:	9	So that's what got me from cold to	9	would love to see more, but they don't. And
welcomed by the businesses that are participating in the program.  CHAIRMAN JONES: And it goes to my earlier comments before we really started this discussion is what I'm going to be looking for and what the Committee, I think, is going to want is any other stakeholders. And if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you know. Stakeholder means people that bother to listen to these Committee hearings and are concerned about how this program works.  MS. VILLA:  Right.  12 45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to expect them to be able to respond on a dime is, I think, unrealistic. And so I want us to, as a Committee and as LED as well, to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help them help themselves, get that community in place. I think there are some paths forward that may work in some Parishes, may not work in other late of them to be able to respond on a dime as LED as well, to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help them help themselves, get that community in place. I listen to these Committee hearings and are late of them to be able to respond on a dime as LED as well, to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help them help themselves, get that community in place. I listen to these Committee hearings and are late of them to be able to respond on a dime as LED as well, to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help them help themselves, get that community in place. I listen to these Committee hearings and are late of them to be able to respond on a dime as LED as well, to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help them help late of themselves, get that community in place. I listen to these Committee hearings and are late of themselves, get that community in place. I listen to these Committee hearings and are late of themselves, get that community in place. I listen to the same of the mans people that bother to late of themselves, get that community in place. I listen to the same of the mans people that bother to late of the mans people that bot	10	putting my seat back where I was previously at	10	for us to expect everybody to be able to
participating in the program.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  And it goes to my earlier comments before  we really started this discussion is what I'm  going to be looking for and what the  Committee, I think, is going to want is any  other stakeholders. And if you don't know  whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you  know. Stakeholder means people that bother to  listen to these Committee hearings and are  concerned about how this program works.  MS. VILLA:  Right.  Right.  13 expect them to be able to respond on a dime  is, I think, unrealistic.  And so I want us to, as a Committee and  as LED as well, to help, we've got to start  thinking about how to help them help  themselves, get that community in place. I  think there are some paths forward that may  work in some Parishes, may not work in other  21 Parishes. We can't do a one size fits all,  but I think we can get some recommendations  and suggestions about how to do this  mechanically  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:	11	LED and saying, this is just should be	11	respond on a dime that's the reason for the
CHAIRMAN JONES:  And it goes to my earlier comments before we really started this discussion is what I'm going to be looking for and what the Committee, I think, is going to want is any other stakeholders. And if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you know. Stakeholder means people that bother to listen to these Committee hearings and are concerned about how this program works.  MS. VILLA:  Right.  14 is, I think, unrealistic.  And so I want us to, as a Committee and as LED as well, to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help them help themselves, get that community in place. I think there are some paths forward that may work in some Parishes, may not work in other 21 Parishes. We can't do a one size fits all, but I think we can get some recommendations and suggestions about how to do this mechanically 25 Right.  26 MS. VILLA:	12	welcomed by the businesses that are	12	45-day thing is troubling to me. For us to
And it goes to my earlier comments before we really started this discussion is what I'm going to be looking for and what the Committee, I think, is going to want is any other stakeholders. And if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you listen to these Committee hearings and are concerned about how this program works.  MS. VILLA: Right.  And so I want us to, as a Committee and as LED as well, to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help them help themselves, get that community in place. I think there are some paths forward that may work in some Parishes, may not work in other Parishes. We can't do a one size fits all, but I think we can get some recommendations and suggestions about how to do this mechanically  Right.  And so I want us to, as a Committee and as LED as well, to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help them help themselves, get that community in place. I Parishes. We can't do a one size fits all, but I think we can get some recommendations and suggestions about how to do this MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:	13	participating in the program.	13	expect them to be able to respond on a dime
we really started this discussion is what I'm going to be looking for and what the Committee, I think, is going to want is any other stakeholders. And if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you know. Stakeholder means people that bother to listen to these Committee hearings and are concerned about how this program works.  MS. VILLA: Right.  16 as LED as well, to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help them help themselves, get that community in place. I think there are some paths forward that may work in some Parishes, may not work in other Parishes. We can't do a one size fits all, but I think we can get some recommendations and suggestions about how to do this mechanically The program works are thinking about how to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help, we've got to start thinking about how to help them help themselves, get that community in place. I  Parishes. We can't do a one size fits all, but I think we can get some recommendations and suggestions about how to do this mechanically The program works are thinking about how to help them help themselves, get that community in place. I  Thinking about how to help them help themselves, get that community in place. I  Thinking about how to help them help themselves, get that community in place. I  Thinking about how to help themselves, get that community in place. I  Thinking about how to help themselves, get that community in place. I	14	CHAIRMAN JONES:	14	is, I think, unrealistic.
going to be looking for and what the Committee, I think, is going to want is any other stakeholders. And if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you know. Stakeholder means people that bother to listen to these Committee hearings and are concerned about how this program works.  MS. VILLA:  Right.  17 thinking about how to help them help themselves, get that community in place. I think there are some paths forward that may work in some Parishes, may not work in other Parishes. We can't do a one size fits all, but I think we can get some recommendations and suggestions about how to do this mechanically 25 MS. VILLA:	15	And it goes to my earlier comments before	15	And so I want us to, as a Committee and
Committee, I think, is going to want is any other stakeholders. And if you don't know whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you know. Stakeholder means people that bother to listen to these Committee hearings and are concerned about how this program works.  MS. VILLA:  Right.  18 themselves, get that community in place. I think there are some paths forward that may work in some Parishes, may not work in other Parishes. We can't do a one size fits all, but I think we can get some recommendations and suggestions about how to do this mechanically  Right.  28 themselves, get that community in place. I think there are some paths forward that may work in some Parishes, may not work in other and a suggestion and a suggestion and suggestions about how to do this mechanically  Right.	16	we really started this discussion is what I'm	16	as LED as well, to help, we've got to start
18 Committee, I think, is going to want is any 19 other stakeholders. And if you don't know 20 whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you 21 know. Stakeholder means people that bother to 22 listen to these Committee hearings and are 23 concerned about how this program works. 24 MS. VILLA: 25 Right.  18 themselves, get that community in place. I 19 work in some Parishes, may not work in other 20 work in some Parishes. We can't do a one size fits all, 21 but I think we can get some recommendations 23 and suggestions about how to do this 24 mechanically 25 MS. VILLA: 26 MS. VILLA: 27 MS. VILLA:	17	going to be looking for and what the	17	thinking about how to help them help
whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you know. Stakeholder means people that bother to listen to these Committee hearings and are concerned about how this program works.  MS. VILLA:  Right.  Work in some Parishes, may not work in other parishes. We can't do a one size fits all, but I think we can get some recommendations and suggestions about how to do this mechanically  MS. VILLA:	18	Committee, I think, is going to want is any	18	themselves, get that community in place. I
whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you know. Stakeholder means people that bother to listen to these Committee hearings and are concerned about how this program works.  MS. VILLA:  Right.  20 work in some Parishes, may not work in other 21 Parishes. We can't do a one size fits all, but I think we can get some recommendations and suggestions about how to do this mechanically  25 Right.  20 work in some Parishes, may not work in other 21 Parishes. We can't do a one size fits all, but I think we can get some recommendations and suggestions about how to do this mechanically  25 MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:	19	other stakeholders. And if you don't know	19	think there are some paths forward that may
22 listen to these Committee hearings and are 23 concerned about how this program works. 24 MS. VILLA: 25 Right. 20 but I think we can get some recommendations 2and suggestions about how to do this 2but I think we can get some recommendations 2concerned about how to do this 2concerned	20	whether you're a stakeholder, you are, you	20	work in some Parishes, may not work in other
23 concerned about how this program works. 24 MS. VILLA: 25 Right. 23 and suggestions about how to do this 24 mechanically 25 MS. VILLA:	21	know. Stakeholder means people that bother to	21	Parishes. We can't do a one size fits all,
24 MS. VILLA: 24 mechanically 25 Right. 25 MS. VILLA:	22		22	but I think we can get some recommendations
24 MS. VILLA: 24 mechanically 25 Right. 25 MS. VILLA:	23		23	-
	24		24	mechanically
Page 55 Page 57	25		25	MS. VILLA:
		Page 55		Page 57

1	Okay.	1	but allows for
2	CHAIRMAN JONES:	2	MS. VILLA:
3	so that they can respond in a timely	3	More variations.
4	manner because the Executive Order says, we	4	MS. JOHNSON:
5	still want to hear from you.	5	Yeah.
6	MS. VILLA:	6	MS. PORTER:
7	Right.	7	It kind of opens the scope.
8	CHAIRMAN JONES:	8	MS. VILLA:
9	Now, we've got to figure out how they can	9	Right.
10	do that within the confines of the Executive	10	MR. POLOZOLA:
11	Order.	11	Do y'all have procedures, if you will,
12	Okay. Any questions from the Committee?	12	kind of guidelines whether they're in the
13	Mr. Polozola?	13	written rules or in sort of internal I know
14	MR. POLOZOLA:	14	I do a lot of work in the front of the Office
15	I'm going to so I'm a pretty new Board	15	of Conservation, and there's a lot of
16	Member, so some of this stuff (inaudible).	16	unwritten well, they're written, but
17	This my question though, you know, in your new	17	they're internal policy memorandum and
18	hybrid project application module, you're	18	guide their decision making
19	talking about fewer forms, shortened review	19	MS. VILLA:
20	process with a greater focus on estimates and	20	Yeah.
21	attestations.	21	MR. POLOZOLA:
22	MS. VILLA:	22	for (inaudible) or something like that
23	Yeah.	23	
24	MR. POLOZOLA:	24	MS. VILLA:
25	And so my question is, how so if you	25	Right.
	Page 58		Page 60
1	have a big project, how do you handle now and	1	MR. POLOZOLA:
2	how do you envision handling changes? You	2	in this process. But their internal
3	know, changes to the estimates, project gets a	3	procedures, I guess, that manufacturer would
4	little bit bigger, gets more expensive, how is	4	be nice it would be nice to know so that
5	that handled now and how do you envision that	5	when they're formulating the application, they
6	changing during this new streamlined process?	6	can make a good application, you know, so
7	You know, is there some grace or fluidity to	7	that I mean, I think that's part of the
8	the process with a manufacturer that's	8	efficiency, because if something changes down
9	applying for this?	9	the line, they're hand strung, you know.
10	MS. JOHNSON:	10	MS. VILLA:
11	So, currently, we already understand that	11	Right.
12	the costs are estimated. And as long as the	12	MR. POLOZOLA:
13	project scope doesn't change, we allow it.	13	That's exactly what I think the Governor
14	You know, we understand that dollar values,	14	doesn't want to see as much of.
15	you know, project costs run over.	15	MS. VILLA:
16	I think the problem right now is that	16	Right.
17	people really box themselves into a project	17	MR. POLOZOLA:
18	description. And when they add something that	18	I think he wants to see the Department
19	wasn't originally, you know, part of the	19	cooperating with this and the process moving
20	planned project, that's where we've kind of	20	according to the spirit of what it's intended
21	had issues. I think the project application	21	for, you know. So I guess my question is,
22	is more of a is going to be a little more	22	what guides you and is that something that's
23	broad, I would say, and allow for proper I	23	shared with people who apply?
24	don't I don't know exactly how to say	24	MS. PORTER:
25	I'm not saying that it just allows everything,	25	There's currently, and correct me if I'm
23	Page 59	23	Page 61

1	wrong, Kristen, the attestation clause whereby	1	MS. VILLA:
2	the company attests to or affirms what the	2	So the amount of the exemption, the
3	specific project is, so that because we	3	estimate, you know, the capital expenditure
4	they know better what it is than we do. So,	4	goes into the amount that's going to be
5	you know, they are required to attest to the	5	abated. And that's what I was referring to
6	fact that it's manufacturing, attest to the	6	when I said, it lets the locals know what is
7	fact of how is it manufacturing, and, you	7	potentially coming and what the potential
8	know, what's a part of the project itself, so	8	abatement could be.
9	that helps and guides us through that process.	9	CHAIRMAN JONES:
10	They know better than, you know, clearly, that	10	Okay. So let's excellent point.
11	we do. So is there anything you want to	11	That's really where I want to go. The
12	add to attestation?	12	estimate gives you the ballpark.
13	CHAIRMAN JONES:	13	MS. VILLA:
14	Let me ask I'm sorry. Did I cut you	14	That's right.
15	off?	15	CHAIRMAN JONES:
16	MS. PORTER:	16	The abatement is based on
17	Well, no. No, you didn't, Gerry.	17	MS. VILLA:
18	CHAIRMAN JONES:	18	The actual
19	Actually, I did, so I apologize. But let	19	CHAIRMAN JONES:
20	me go to Mr. Polozola. And I think this is	20	the assessment; not the estimate.
21	something that I've had people ask me these	21	MS. VILLA:
22	questions and I just don't know the answer to,	22	That's correct.
23	what is the purpose of the estimate, putting	23	CHAIRMAN JONES:
24	the estimate in the application of the	24	So the estimate doesn't determine the
25	project? I'm going to go build a	25	abatement. It just tells the locals, you've
	Page 62		Page 64
1	manufacturing facility that's going to make	1	got a project of this size or this size, big
2	widgets, and I estimate it's going to cost	2	project or little project, that's all it
3	\$500 million, what is that number used for?	3	really does.
4	MS. VILLA:	4	MS. VILLA:
5	Well, we have application fees, first	5	Right.
6	off. And the application fee is based upon	6	CHAIRMAN JONES:
7	the benefit to be received by the applicant.	7	My impression right now is that somehow
8	So an estimated dollar amount is used for that	8	these estimates are being presently used and
9	purpose, as well as it puts on notice to the	9	it's somehow limiting the ability of the
10	community of what type of project is going to	10	project.
11	be coming to your community.	11	MS. JOHNSON:
12	CHAIRMAN JONES:	12	That's incorrect. No one is tied to a
13	That's legitimate.	13	value. It's the project scope that's provided
14	MS. VILLA:	14	in the project description.
15	So I think that's two main purposes	15	CHAIRMAN JONES:
16	around there.	16	So it's the
17	CHAIRMAN JONES:	17	MS. JOHNSON:
18	What else do they use it for today?	18	Yes.
19	MS. JOHNSON:	19	CHAIRMAN JONES:
20	The amount of exemptions.	20	To Mr. Polozola's point, where we need to
21	MS. VILLA:	21	perhaps assist Industry is with how to develop
22	Yeah, that's what I was talking about.	22	a scope of your project.
23	Yeah.	23	MS. JOHNSON:
24	CHAIRMAN JONES:	24	Correct.
25	I'm sorry?	25	CHAIRMAN JONES:
	Page 63	-	Page 65

1	The estimate is an estimate.	1	Estimates.
2	MS. VILLA:	2	CHAIRMAN JONES:
3	That's right.	3	They don't serve any purpose other than
4	CHAIRMAN JONES:	4	to tell us what ballpark we're playing in.
5	And recognizing all the things that can	5	MS. JOHNSON:
6	happen, that estimate is worth nothing more or	6	Right. And so then when something actual
7	less than just to give everybody a concept.	7	is filed, which would be on the Annual Project
8	MS. PORTER:	8	Property Report, I think it's a kind of a tool
9	Right, and which is a part of that	9	we use to compare almost. Again, not tied to
10	attestation.	10	a dollar value but, you know, if the dollar
11	CHAIRMAN JONES:	11	value if the cap X, you know, doubles, it's
12	Right, I agree and	12	kind of a red flag to say, hey, why did this
13	MS. PORTER:	13	double? Did your project remain the same? Is
14	When you attest to it	14	it just because
15	CHAIRMAN JONES:	15	MS. VILLA:
16	And I'm not going to swear under I	16	Costs.
17	will swear under penalty of perjury that I	17	MS. JOHNSON:
18	estimate this is going to cost \$500 million.	18	your costs ran over?
19	MS. PORTER:	19	CHAIRMAN JONES:
20	No, I'm talking in the scope.	20	Okay. But that's an important point,
21	CHAIRMAN JONES:	21	because the now, maybe the process that
22	But my scope, okay, my scope	22	you're suggesting now will change this, but I
23	MS. PORTER:	23	know that projects begin and they come to LED
24	Yes.	24	before they begin permitting.
25	CHAIRMAN JONES:	25	MS. JOHNSON:
	Page 66		Page 68
1	I understand. You should not as	1	Right.
2	Scripture says, you should not set out on a	2	MS. PORTER:
3	trip unless you know where you're going, you	3	Yes.
4	know.	4	CHAIRMAN JONES:
5	MS. PORTER:	5	Before they begin raising money, perhaps.
6	Yes.	6	They want to they need to know, am I going
7	CHAIRMAN JONES:	7	to be welcomed in the State of Louisiana, yes
8	So, yeah, your project ought to be your	8	or no; is the government going to consider me
9	project.	9	for an incentive, yes or no; and that's
10	MS. PORTER:	10	usually one of the first questions they want
11	Correct.	11	answered rather than one of the last ones.
12	CHAIRMAN JONES:	12	Okay.
13	And it's not going to turn into making	13	So to your point, Ms. Johnson, I need
14	widgets to making wadgets and we're not going	14	affirmation as well, to your point, I may
15	to do that.	15	start this project with the idea that I'm
16	MS. PORTER:	16	going to build a \$500 million building. But
17	Right.	17	by that time I get through my permitting and I
18	CHAIRMAN JONES:	18	realize, oh, my gosh, I've got 500 acres of
19	So I get all that.	19	wetlands I've got to mitigate at a cost of
20	MS. PORTER:	20	10,000 an acre, you know; and now, all of a
21	Right.	21	sudden, my cost is it could it's not
22	CHAIRMAN JONES:	22	unusual for a project
23	So the estimates at the end of the day	23	MS. JOHNSON:
24	are really just	24	Right.
1 1	J J	1 .	•
25	MS. JOHNSON:	25	CHAIRMAN JONES:
25	MS. JOHNSON: Page 67	25	CHAIRMAN JONES: Page 69

1 I worked on one project that the 2 estimate, the initial estimate was 3 \$750 million and it ended up being \$1.85 4 billion.  MS. VIILLA: 5 Yeah. 6 Yeah. 7 CHAIRMAN JONES: 8 Now either they were really bad at estimating or things changed in the project. 9 MS. VIILLA: 10 Yeah. 11 Yeah. 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 13 And what I don't want to see happen, and I get it that we need to know what the scope is, but I don't want bad estimating to be a problem because an estimate is only an estimate. 16 problem because an estimate is only an estimate. 17 MS. VIILLA: 18 MS. VIILLA: 19 Exactly right. 10 CHAIRMAN JONES: 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 OKay. 22 MR. POLOZOLA: 23 So in that scenario where you had the project costs estimated tremendously well beyond, how is that handled? 24 project costs estimated tremendously well beyond, how is that handled? 25 MS. JOHNSON: 26 As long as the project sope remains the same, it is still cligible because that's what—the project is what applicat for the application fee is based and the application fee is shaed that project costs now is more than what you roriginally anticipated and the application fee is based and the day, the applic				
3 S750 million and it ended up being \$1.85 billion.  4 billion.  4 billion.  5 MS. VILLA: 5 Yeah. 6 CHAIRMAN JONES: 7 CHAIRMAN JONES: 8 Now either they were really bad at estimating or things changed in the project. 6 MS. VILLA: 9 estimating or things changed in the project. 10 MS. VILLA: 11 Yeah. 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 13 And what I don't want to see happen, and 14 I get it that we need to know what the scope 15 is, but I don't want bad estimating to be a problem because an estimate is only an estimate. 16 Exactly right. 17 Exactly right. 18 MS. VILLA: 19 Exactly right. 19 Exactly right. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 10 Okay. 21 Okay. 21 Okay. 22 MR. POLOZOLA: 23 So in that scenario where you had the project costs estimated tremendously well beyond, how is that handled? 24 beyond, how is that handled? 25 beyond, how is that handled? 26 MS. VILLA: 27 Project based. 28 MS. PORTER: 38 MS. PORTER: 49 You're not — 40 MS. VILLA: 41 Project driven. 41 MR. MILLER: 42 Ms. Porject driven. 43 MS. VILLA: 44 Math et project is what applied for the exemption. 45 MS. VILLA: 46 MS. PORTER: 47 Project tased. 48 MS. PORTER: 49 You're not — 40 MR. MILLER: 41 MR. MILLER: 42 MS. VILLA: 43 MS. PORTER: 44 Math et project is what applied for the exemption. 45 MS. VILLA: 46 MS. VILLA: 47 Project tased. 48 MS. PORTER: 49 You're not — 40 MS. VILLA: 41 Math good accountant in me would also say that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't amaxed out your application fee, should have been the max. versus the min, then lead of the day, the application fee is based on the henefit to be received by the early find the early fire not — 41 MS. JOHNSON: 42 MS. VILLA: 43 MS. VILLA: 44 Math good accountant in me would also say that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't and the good accountant in me would also say that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't and the good accountant in me would also say that, for whatever reason if you hadn't and the good accountant in me would also as the end of the day, the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then lead			1	MS. VILLA:
4 billion.   4 billion.   5			2	=
5 MS. VILLA: 6 Yeah. 6 CHAIRMAN JONES: 8 Now either they were really bad at 9 estimating or things changed in the project. 10 MS. VILLA: 11 Yeah. 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 13 And what I don't want to see happen, and 1 get it that we need to know what the scope 1 is, but I don't want bad estimating to be a problem because an estimate is only an estimate. 16 problem because an estimate is only an estimate. 17 exitmate. 18 MS. VILLA: 19 Exactly right. 19 Okay. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 Okay. 22 MR. POLOZOILA: 23 So in that scenario where you had the project costs estimated tremendously well beyond, how is that handled? 24 project costs estimated tremendously well beyond, how is that handled? 25 beyond, how is that handled? 26 MS. VILLA: 27 Project based. 28 MS. PORTER: 39 Yeah, project driven. 40 MS. PORTER: 41 MS. POPTER: 42 Yeah, project driven. 43 MS. PORTER: 44 Mat — the project is what applied for the cxemption. 45 MS. PORTER: 46 MS. PORTER: 47 Project based. 48 MS. PORTER: 49 Yeah, project driven. 40 MS. VILLA: 41 And the good accountant in me would also asy that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't maxed out your application fee, and your project costs now is more than what you originally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max. Because at the end of the day, the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the case of the case of the case of the case of equipment. 44 Sight. 45 WH. LLER: 46 MS. VILLA: 47 Project based. 48 MS. OHNONON: 49 Page 70  40 MR. MILLER: 41 MR. MILLER: 41 MR. MILLER: 42 MS. VILLA: 43 MS. VILLA: 44 Mat — the project driven. 45 MS. VILLA: 46 MS. VILLA: 47 Project based. 48 MS. VILLA: 49 MR. MILLER: 40 MS. VILLA: 41 Mat maxed out your application fee in min, then the different piece of equipment. 41 Mr. MILLER: 42 MS. OHNSON: 43 And white we're working on our prototype, we rata has become a little bit to the project dostrom is to say that for what we thought was trash has become a sufferent project. 45 MS. VILLA: 46 MR. MILLER: 47 MS. VILLA: 48 MS. VILLA: 49 MS. VI			3	
6 Yeah. 7 CHAIRMAN JONES: 8 Now either they were really bad at estimating or things changed in the project. 9 So to switch focus a little bit to the project description, so were going — we put in our, whatever form it is to say that were going to build widgets and spend \$100 million. And while we're working on our prototype, we realize that one of the, what we thought was trash has become a gidget instead. So if we add some manufacturing to get this, we can make it at \$150 million. Now, I've changed my scope because I'm manufacturing to get this, we can make it at \$150 million. Now, I've changed my scope because I'm manufacturing to get this, we can make it at \$150 million. Now, I've changed my scope because I'm manufacturing to get this, we can make it at \$150 million. Now, I've changed my scope because I'm manufacturing to get this, we can make it at \$150 million. Now, I've changed my scope because I'm manufacturing to get this, we can make it at \$150 million. Now, I've changed my scope because I'm manufacturing a powed and gigdet, how do we handle it in the new form?  1 MS. JOHNSON: 1 MS. JOHNSON: 2 As long as the project scope remains the same, it's still eligible because that's what — the project is what applied for the exemption. 4 MS. VILLA: 5 MS. PORTER: 6 MS. PORTER: 7 Project diriven. 7 Project description, so we're going — we put in our, whatever form it is to say that we're going to build widgets and spend \$100 million. And while we're working on our prototype, we realize that one of the, what we thought was trash has become a gidget instead. So if we add some manufacturing to get this, we can make it at \$150 million. Now, I've changed my scope because I'm and the manufacturing to get this, we day giget this that the of the new form?  1 MS. JULLA: 1 MR. MILLER: 1 MR. MILLER: 1 MR. MILLER: 2 I'm going to make them both because of the — MR. MILLER: 3 No, you probably have to add some machinery. 3 MS. VILLA: 4 And the good accountant in me would also asy that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't machine			4	
7 CHAIRMAN JONES: Now either they were really bad at 9 estimating or things changed in the project. 10 MS. VII.LA: 11 Yeah. 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: And what I don't want to see happen, and I get if that we need to know what the scope is, but I don't want bad estimating to be a problem because an estimate is only an estimate. 18 MS. VII.LA: 19 Exactly right. 10 CHAIRMAN JONES: 11 OKAY. 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 13 And what I don't want to see happen, and I get if that we need to know what the scope is, but I don't want bad estimating to be a problem because an estimate is only an estimate. 18 MS. VII.LA: 19 Exactly right. 10 CHAIRMAN JONES: 11 OKAY. 22 MR. POLOZOLA: 23 So in that scenario where you had the project costs estimated tremendously well beyond, how is that handled? 24 beyond, how is that handled? 25 MS. VIII.A: 26 As long as the project scope remains the same, it's still eligible because that's 4 what — the project is what applied for the exemption. 26 MS. VIILA: 27 Project based. 28 MS. PORTER: 39 Yeah, project driven. 29 MR. MILLER: 30 MS. VIILA: 4 And the good accountant in me would also say that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't maxed out your application fee, should have been the max versus the min, then and of the day, the application fee is based on the end of the day, the application fee is based on the end of the day, the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the sapplicant, and we do that today, right? 20 MS. JULA: 31 MS. JOHNSON: 32 MS. VIILA: 33 MS. VIILA: 34 MS. VIILA: 35 Lord MR. MILLER: 36 OK and while we're working on our prototype, we thin we're working on our prototype, we add some manufacturing to get this, we can make it at \$150 million. Now, I've changed my scope because I'm annufacturing to get this, we can make it at \$150 million. Now, I've changed my scope because I'm manufacturing to get this, we can make it at \$150 million. Now, I've changed my scope because I'm manufacturing to get this, we can make it otody, and how do we handle it in the new form?  36 I MS. JULA:	1		5	
8 Now either they were really bad at 9 estimating or things changed in the project. 10 MS. VILLA: 11 Yeah. 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 13 And what I don't want to see happen, and 14 I get it that we need to know what the scope 15 is, but I don't want bad estimating to be a 16 problem because an estimate is only an 17 estimate. 18 MS. VILLA: 19 Exactly right. 10 CHAIRMAN JONES: 20 MR. POLOZOLA: 21 Okay. 22 MR. POLOZOLA: 23 So in that scenario where you had the 27 project costs estimated tremendously well 26 beyond, how is that handled? 25 As long as the project scope remains the 28 same, it's still eligible because that's 4 what — the project is what applied for the 29 Yeah, project driven. 29 MS. VILLA: 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 MS. JOHNSON: 22 MS. JOHNSON: 23 As long as the project scope remains the 29 same, it's still eligible because that's 4 what — the project is what applied for the 29 Yeah, project driven. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 31 MS. VILLA: 32 And the good accountant in me would also 38 ythat, for whatever reason, if you hadn't 38 originally anticipated and the application fee a not of the day, the application fee is should have been the max versus the min, then 20 LED will bill you for the max. Because at the 21 end of the day, the application fee is based 21 applicant, and we do that today, right? 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 Right. 26 MS. VILLA: 27 In going to make them both because of the	1		6	
9 estimating or things changed in the project. 10 MS. VILLA: 11 Yeah. 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 13 And what I don't want to see happen, and I I get it that we need to know what the scope is, but I don't want bad estimating to be a problem because an estimate is only an estimate. 18 MS. VILLA: 19 Exactly right. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 Okay. 22 MR. POLOZOLA: 23 So in that scenario where you had the project costs estimated tremendously well beyond, how is that handled? 24 project costs estimated tremendously well beyond, how is that handled? 25 beyond, how is that handled? 26 MS. VILLA: 27 Project based. 28 MS. PORTER: 39 Yeah, noject driven. 30 MS. VILLA: 40 MS. VILLA: 41 And the good accountant in me would also say that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't maxed out your application fee, and your project costs now is more than what you originally anticipated and the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the application fee is based on the mentit to be received by the application fee is based on the manufactur, so it would be a different project. 28 MS. JVILLA: 39 So in that scenario where you had the project costs estimated tremendously well beyond, how is that handled? 40 Prage 70 41 MS. JOHNSON: 41 MS. JOHNSON: 42 MS. PORTER: 43 MS. VILLA: 44 And the good accountant in me would also a originally anticipated and the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the application fee	1		7	
MS. VILLA: Yeah.   10			8	MR. MILLER:
The second of the content of the con			'	
CHAIRMAN JONES:  And what I don't want to see happen, and I get it that we need to know what the scope is, but I don't want bad estimating to be a problem because an estimate is only an estimate.  By MS, VILLA: BEXactly right. CHAIRMAN JONES: CHAIRMAN JO				
And what I don't want to see happen, and I get it that we need to know what the scope is is, but I don't want bad estimating to be a problem because an estimate is only an estimate.  Now, I to don't want bad estimating to be a problem because an estimate is only an estimate.  MS. VILLA:  Exactly right.  Okay.  MR. POLOZOLA:  So in that scenario where you had the project costs estimated tremendously well beyond, how is that handled?  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. VILLA:  As long as the project scope remains the examption.  MS. VILLA:  MS. What — the project is what applied for the examption.  MS. PORTER:  MS. Porget Dased.  MS. Porget driven.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MS. WILLA:  MS. Will-R:  MS. Will-R:  MS. VILLA:  MS. Will-R:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14 I get it that we need to know what the scope is, but I don't want bad estimating to be a plop be cause an estimate is only an estimate.  17 estimate. 18 MS. VILLA: 18 Exactly right. 20 CHARMAN JONES: 21 Okay. 22 MR. POLOZOLA: 23 So in that scenario where you had the project costs estimated tremendously well beyond, how is that handled? 24 project costs estimated tremendously well beyond, how is that handled? 25 As long as the project scope remains the same, it's still eligible because that's what—the project is what applied for the exemption.  16 MS. VILLA: 17 MS. VILLA: 28 MS. PORTER: 29 Yeah, project driven. 29 MS. VILLA: 30 MS. VILLA: 40 MS. PORTER: 41 And the good accountant in me would also say that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't maxed out your application fee, and your roject costs now is more than what you originally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then the project costs now is more than what you originally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then the project osts now is more than what you originally anticipated and the application fee is based end to the day, the application fee is based of the day, the application fee is based of the nanufacturing to get this, we can make it at \$150 million. Now, I've changed my scope because I'm manufacturing a widget and a gidget, how do we handle that? How do we handle it in the new form?  MS. VILLA:  20 MR. POLOZOLA: 21 MR. POLOZOLA: 22 MS. VILLA: 23 So in that scenario where you had the project costs estimated tremendously well agiget, how do we handle that? How do we handle it in the new form?  MS. VILLA:  18 MS. VILLA: 19 MR. MILLER: 19 MR. MILLER: 10 MR. MILLER: 11 MR. MILLER: 12 MR. MILLER: 13 MR. MILLER: 14 No, you probably have to add some machinery. 15 MR. MILLER: 16 MR. MILLER: 17 MR. MILLER: 18 No, you probably have to add some machinery. 19 MS. VILLA: 19 MR. MILLER: 10 MR. MILLER: 11 MR. MILLER: 11 MR. MILLER: 12 MR. MILLER: 13 MR. MILLER: 14 No, you probably ha			l	
15 is, but I don't want bad estimating to be a problem because an estimate is only an estimate.  16 problem because an estimate is only an estimate.  17 estimate.  18 MS. VILLA:  19 Exactly right.  20 CHAIRMAN JONES:  21 Okay.  22 MR. POLOZOLA:  23 So in that scenario where you had the peyond, how is that handled?  24 project costs estimated tremendously well beyond, how is that handled?  25 beyond, how is that handled?  26 As long as the project scope remains the exemption.  27 As long as the project is what applied for the exemption.  28 MS. VILLA:  29 MS. VILLA:  20 As long as the project scope remains the exemption.  20 CHAIRMAN JONES:  21 MS. JOHNSON:  22 As long as the project scope remains the exemption.  23 Same, it's still eligible because that's what the project is what applied for the exemption.  25 MS. VILLA:  26 MS. VILLA:  27 Project based.  28 MS. PORTER:  29 Yeah, project driven.  20 CHAIRMAN JONES:  21 MR. MILLER:  22 MR. MILLER:  23 No, you probably have to add some machinery.  24 MS. VILLA:  25 MR. MILLER:  26 No, you probably have to add some manufacturing a widget and a gidget, how do we handle that? How do we handle it in the new form?  24 MS. VILLA:  25 No I guess my question is, are you adding anything to the manufacturing process to now manufacturing a widget and a gidget, how do we handle that? How do we handle it in the new form?  26 MS. VILLA:  27 MS. VILLA:  28 No I guess my question is, are you adding anything to the manufacturing process to now manufacturing a widget and a gidget, how do we handle that? How do we handle it in the new form?  28 MS. VILLA:  29 MS. VILLA:  20 MS. VILLA:  30 MR. MILLER:  31 MR. MILLER:  32 No, you probably have to add some manufacturing a widget and a gidget, how do we handle that? How do we handle it in the new form?  4 MR. MILLER:  4 MS. VILLA:  5 Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do  4 MS. VILLA:  4 MS. VILLA:  4 MS. VILLA:  4 MS. VILLA:  5 Well, it's all being b				
16 problem because an estimate is only an estimate. 17 estimate. 18 MS. VII.LA: 18 MS. VII.LA: 19 Exactly right. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 Okay. 22 MR. POLOZOLA: 23 So in that scenario where you had the project costs estimated tremendously well beyond, how is that handled? 25 beyond, how is that handled? 26 As long as the project scope remains the same, it's still eligible because that's what — the project is what applied for the exemption. 26 MS. VII.LA: 27 Project based. 28 MS. PORTER: 29 WS. PORTER: 30 MS. VIILA: 40 MS. PORTER: 41 MS. PORTER: 42 MS. PORTER: 43 MS. PORTER: 44 MS. PORTER: 45 MS. PORTER: 46 MS. PORTER: 47 Project driven. 48 MS. PORTER: 49 Yeah, project driven. 49 Yeah, project driven. 40 CHAIRMAN JONES: 41 Good. 41 Good. 41 And the good accountant in me would also originally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then project costs now is more than what you originally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then project costs now is more than what you originally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then project costs now is more than what you originally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then project costs now is more than what you originally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then project costs now is more than what you originally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then project costs now is more than what you originally anticipated and the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the applicant, and we do that today, right?  4 MS. JOHNSON: 4 MR. MILLER: 5 Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do — 5 MS. VIILA: 6 Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do — 6 MS. VIILA: 7 MR. MILLER: 8 Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do — 8 MS. VIILA: 8 Well, it's all being built at the same time bec			14	realize that one of the, what we thought was
17 estimate. 18 MS. VILLA: 18 Exactly right. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 Okay. 22 MR. POLOZOLA: 23 So in that scenario where you had the project costs estimated tremendously well beyond, how is that handled? 24 project costs estimated tremendously well beyond, how is that handled? 25 beyond, how is that handled? 26 MS. JOHNSON: 27 MR. MILLER: 28 As long as the project scope remains the same, it's still eligible because that's 29 As long as the project is what applied for the same, it's still eligible because that's 30 MS. VILLA: 31 MS. VILLA: 42 Project based. 43 MS. PORTER: 44 MS. PORTER: 45 Project driven. 46 MS. PORTER: 47 Project driven. 48 MS. PORTER: 49 Yeah, project driven. 49 Yeah, project driven. 40 CHAIRMAN JONES: 41 Good. 41 Good. 41 Good. 41 Good. 41 Okay. So then you need to add because it's going to be another project, because now you handn't project costs now is more than what you project costs now is more than what you ropigication fee, and your project costs now is more than what you ropigication fee, and your project costs now is more than what you ropigically anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then project costs now is more than what you ropigicant, and we do that today, right? 40 MS. JOHNSON: 41 MR. MILLER: 42 On the benefit to be received by the applicant, and we do that today, right? 43 MS. JOHNSON: 44 MS. JOHNSON: 45 MR. MILLER: 46 MS. WILLA: 47 Project driven. 48 MS. VILLA: 49 MS. JOHNSON: 40 MR. MILLER: 41 And the good accountant in me would also riginally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then project costs now is more than what you ropigicant, and we do that today, right? 49 MS. JOHNSON: 40 MR. MILLER: 41 And the good accountant in me would also riginally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then project costs now is more than what you ropigicant, and we do that today, right? 40 MS. JOHNSON: 41 MR. MILLER: 42 On the benefit to be received by the applicant, and we d			15	<u> </u>
18 MS. VILLA: 19 Exactly right. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 Okay. 22 MR. POLOZOLA: 23 So in that scenario where you had the project costs estimated tremendously well beyond, how is that handled? 24 project costs estimated tremendously well beyond, how is that handled? 25 beyond, how is that handled? 26 As long as the project scope remains the asame, it's still eligible because that's asame, it's the same machinery you're using? You're not MR. MILLER:  10 Good. 7 MR. MILLER: Okay. VILLA: Okay. So then you need to add because it's going to be another project, because now you have MR. MILLER: Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do MS. VILLA: That's fine, but it's a different piece of equipment. Sounds like it's a different piece of equipment. Sounds like it's a different piece of equipment. Sounds like it's a different piece of equip		*	16	9 9
19 Exactly right. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 Okay. 22 MR. POLOZOLA: 23 So in that scenario where you had the project costs estimated tremendously well 25 beyond, how is that handled? 26 As long as the project scope remains the same, it's still eligible because that's 27 As long as the project is what applied for the same, it's still eligible because that's 28 MS. VILLA: 29 As long as the project scope remains the same, it's still eligible because that's 29 As long as the project swhat applied for the same, it's still eligible because that's 30 AS. VILLA: 40 What the project is what applied for the same, it's still eligible because that's 41 What the project is what applied for the same, it's still eligible because that's 42 What the project is what applied for the same, it's still eligible because that's 43 MS. VILLA: 44 What the project is what applied for the same, it's still eligible because that's 45 exemption. 46 MS. VILLA: 47 Project based. 48 MS. PORTER: 49 Yeah, project driven. 40 CHAIRMAN JONES: 40 MS. WILLA: 41 Good. 41 Good. 41 Good. 41 Good. 41 MR. MILLER: 41 And the good accountant in me would also asy that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't project costs now is more than what you rojecied tosts now is more than what you rojecied to should have been the max versus the min, then project costs now is more than what you rojecied to should have been the max versus the min, then project costs now is more than what you rojecied to should have been the max versus the min, then project costs now is more than what you rojecied to should have been the max versus the min, then project costs now is more than what you rojecied to should have been the max versus the min, then project costs now is more than what you project costs now is more than what you project costs now is more than what you project c				
CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay.  MR. POLOZOLA: So in that scenario where you had the project costs estimated tremendously well beyond, how is that handled?  MS. JOHNSON:  As long as the project scope remains the exemption.  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MR. MILLER:  MS. VILLA:  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MR. MILLER:  MR. WILLA:  MR. MILLER:  MR. MILLER:  MR. WILLA:  MR. MILLER:  MR. WILLA:  MR. MILLER:  MR. WILLA:  MR. MILLER:  MR. VILLA:  MR. MILLER:  MR. WILLER:  MR. MILLER:  MR. MILLER:  Wou have  MR. MILLER:  MR. MILLER			18	-
21 Okay. 22 MR. POLOZOLA: 23 So in that scenario where you had the 24 project costs estimated tremendously well 25 beyond, how is that handled? 26 Page 70  1 MS. JOHNSON: 2 As long as the project scope remains the 3 same, it's still eligible because that's 4 what the project is what applied for the 5 exemption. 5 MS. VILLA: 6 exemption. 6 MS. VILLA: 7 Project based. 8 MS. PORTER: 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Yeah, project driven. 10 CHAIRMAN JONES: 11 Good. 11 Okay. So then you need to add because it's going to be another project, because now you have 13 MS. VILLA: 14 And the good accountant in me would also 15 say that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't project costs now is more than what you originally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then project costs now is more than what you on the benefit to be received by the cand on the benefit to be received by the on the max. Because at the end of the day, the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the applicant, and we do that today, right? 21 the new form? 22 MS. VILLA: 23 So I guess my question is, are you adding anything to the manufacturing process to now manufacture a gidget versus a widget? 24 MR. MILLER: 25 I'm going to make them both because of the 26 MS. VILLA: 26 MS. VILLA: 27 MR. MILLER: 28 No. you probably have to add some machinery. 29 MS. VILLA: 30 MS. VILLA: 31 Okay. So then you need to add because it's going to be another project, because now you have 30 MS. VILLA: 31 MS. VILLA: 32 Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do 31 MS. VILLA: 32 MS. VILLA: 33 MS. VILLA: 34 MR. MILLER: 35 G igues my to the manufacturing process to now manufacture a gidget versus a widget? 35 MR. MILLER: 36 I'm going to make them both because of the 32 MS. VILLA: 33 MS. VILLA: 34 MR. MILLER: 35 MS. VILLA: 35 MS. VILLA: 36 MS. VILLA: 37 MR. MILLER: 38 MS. VILLA: 39 MR. MILLER: 30 MS. VILLA: 40 MR. MILLER: 50 MR. MILLER: 50 MS. VILLA: 50 MS. VILLA: 51 MR. MILLER: 51 MR. MILLER: 51 MS.		• 0	19	gidget, how do we handle that? How do we
22 MS. VILLA: 23 So in that scenario where you had the 24 project costs estimated tremendously well 25 beyond, how is that handled? 26 Page 70  1 MS. JOHNSON: 2 As long as the project scope remains the 3 same, it's still eligible because that's 4 what the project is what applied for the 5 exemption. 7 Project based. 8 MS. VILLA: 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Yeah, project driven. 10 CHAIRMAN JONES: 11 Good. 11 Okay. So then you need to add because it's going to be another project, because now you had the max do ut your application fee, and your project costs now is more than what you originally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then LED will bill you for the max. Because at the end of the day, the applicant, and we do that today, right? 22 MS. VILLA: 23 So I guess my question is, are you adding anything to the manufacturing process to now manufacture a gidget versus a widget?  Page 72  MR. MILLER: 1 I'm going to make them both because of the 2 MS. VILLA: 3 WS. VILLA: 4 MS. VILLA: 5 But it's the same machinery you're using? 4 WS. VILLA: 6 WR. MILLER: 8 No, you probably have to add some machinery. 9 MS. VILLA: 10 Okay. So then you need to add because it's going to be another project, because now you have 14 And the good accountant in me would also asy that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't maxed out your application fee, and your project costs now is more than what you originally anticipated and the application fee of equipment. Sounds like it's a different piece of equipment. 15 That's fine, but it's a different piece of equipment. 16 MR. MILLER: 17 WR. MILLER: 18 No, you have 19 MS. VILLA: 19 Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do 20 MS. VILLA: 21 That's fine, but it's a different piece of equipment. 22 Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do 23 WR. MILLER: 24 Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do 24 Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do 25 Well, it's all being bu				-
So in that scenario where you had the project costs estimated tremendously well beyond, how is that handled?  Page 70  MS. JOHNSON:  As long as the project scope remains the exemption.  MS. VILLA:  Project based.  MS. PORTER:  Yeah, project driven.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Mr. Miller?  MS. VILLA:  Good.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Mr. Miller?  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  Good.  Mr. Miller?  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  Good.  Mr. Miller?  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MR. MILLER:  But it's the same machinery you're using?  Yeah, project driven.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Mr. Miller?  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MR. MILLER:  No, you probably have to add some machinery.  WS. VILLA:  WW. WILLA:  MR. MILLER:  WW. WILLA:  MR. MILLER:  WW. WILLA:  WW. WILLER:  WW. WILLA:  WW. WILLA:  WW. WILLA:  WW. WILLER:  WW. WILLER:  WW. WILLA:  WW. WILLER:  WW. WILLER:  WW. WILLA:  WW. WILLER:  WW. WILLA:  WW. WILLER:  WW. WILLER:  WW. WILLA:  WW. WILLER:  WW. WILLER:  WW. WILLA:  WW. WILLER:  WW. WIL		•	21	the new form?
24 project costs estimated tremendously well 25 beyond, how is that handled?  Page 70  1 MS. JOHNSON: 2 As long as the project scope remains the 3 asme, it's still eligible because that's 4 what the project is what applied for the 5 exemption. 6 MS. VILLA: 7 Project based. 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Yeah, project driven. 10 CHAIRMAN JONES: 11 Good. 11 Okay. So then you need to add because it's going to be another project, because now you have 14 And the good accountant in me would also say that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't maxed out your application fee, and your project costs now is more than what you reignally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then LED will bill you for the max. Because at the end of the day, the applicant, and we do that today, right? 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 Right. 26 anything to the manufacturing process to now manufacture a gidget versus a widget?  Page 72  26 manufacture a gidget versus a widget?  Page 72  MR. MILLER:  18 MS. MILLER:  19 MR. MILLER:  10 MR. MILLER:  10 MR. MILLER:  11 MR. MILLER:  12 In going to make them both because of the  MS. VILLA:  13 MS. VILLA:  14 Okay. So then you need to add because it's going to be another project, because now you have  14 MR. MILLER:  15 Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do  16 MS. VILLA:  17 MS. VILLA:  18 Okay. So then you need to add because it's going to be another project, because now you have  19 MS. VILLA:  10 Okay. So then you need to add because it's going to be another project, because now you have  10 MS. VILLA:  11 WR. MILLER:  12 Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do  11 MS. VILLA:  12 Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do  11 MS. VILLA:  12 Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do  12 MS. VILLA:  13 Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do  14 MS. VILLA:  15 Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do  16 Well it's a differe	1		22	MS. VILLA:
beyond, how is that handled?   Page 70   Page 72   Page 72				
MS. JOHNSON: 2				
1 MS. JOHNSON: 2 As long as the project scope remains the 3 same, it's still eligible because that's 4 what the project is what applied for the 5 exemption. 6 MS. VILLA: 7 Project based. 8 MS. PORTER: 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Yeah, project driven. 10 CHAIRMAN JONES: 11 Good. 11 Okay. So then you need to add because it's going to be another project, because now you had, for whatever reason, if you hadn't 16 maxed out your application fee, and your 17 project costs now is more than what you originally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then LED will bill you for the max. Because at the end of the day, the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the 25 Right.  10 MS. VILLA: 11 MS. VILLA: 12 If cond it's a different project of equipment. 13 If cond it's a different, so it would be a different project. 14 MR. MILLER: 15 If cond it is a different project of equipment. 16 If cond it is a different project of equipment. 17 If cond it is a different project of equipment. 18 If cond it is a different project of equipment. 20 If could be, yeah. And in my thought, I was saying, yes, it's different, so it would be a different project. 21 MS. JOHNSON: 22 MS. JOHNSON: 23 Right. 25 MS. VILLA:	25		25	
As long as the project scope remains the same, it's still eligible because that's  what the project is what applied for the exemption.  MS. VILLA:  Project based.  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  MS. VILLA:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MR. MILLER:  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MS. PORTER:  MS. VILLA:  MR. MILLER:  MS. VILLA:  MR. MILLER:  MS. VILLA:  MR. MILLER:  MS. VILLA:  MR. MILLER:		Page 70		Page 72
3 same, it's still eligible because that's 4 what the project is what applied for the 5 exemption. 6 MS. VILLA: 7 Project based. 8 MS. PORTER: 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Yeah, project driven. 9 CHAIRMAN JONES: 10 CHAIRMAN JONES: 11 Good. 11 Good. 11 Good. 11 Willer? 12 it's going to be another project, because now you have 14 And the good accountant in me would also say that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't maxed out your application fee, and your project costs now is more than what you originally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then LED will bill you for the max. Because at the end of the day, the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the example and the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the applicant, and we do that today, right? 23 applicant, and we do that today, right? 24 MS. VILLA:  15 But it's the same machinery you're using?  4 MS. VILLA:  8 No, you probably have to add some machinery.  9 MR. MILLER:  10 Okay. So then you need to add because it's going to be another project, because now you have  14 MR. MILLER:  15 Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do  17 MS. VILLA:  18 That's fine, but it's a different piece of equipment.  19 Sounds like it's a different piece of equipment.  20 MR. MILLER:  21 It could be, yeah. And in my thought, I was saying, yes, it's different, so it would be a different project.  22 MS. VILLA:	1	MS. JOHNSON:	1	MR. MILLER:
4 what the project is what applied for the 5 exemption. 6 MS. VILLA: 7 Project based. 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Yeah, project driven. 10 CHAIRMAN JONES: 11 Good. 11 Okay. So then you need to add because it's going to be another project, because now you have 14 And the good accountant in me would also 15 say that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't maxed out your application fee, and your project costs now is more than what you originally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then 12 LED will bill you for the max. Because at the end of the day, the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the applicant, and we do that today, right? 14 MS. VILLA: 15 But it's the same machinery you're using?  You're not You're not MR. MILLER:  No, you probably have to add some machinery.  MS. VILLA:  Okay. So then you need to add because it's going to be another project, because now you have 14 MR. MILLER: 15 Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do 17 Project costs now is more than what you 18 originally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then 19 LED will bill you for the max. Because at the end of the day, the application fee is based 20 on the benefit to be received by the 21 applicant, and we do that today, right? 22 uses saying, yes, it's different, so it would 23 MS. JOHNSON: 24 be a different project. 25 Right.  MS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  MR. MILLER:  MR. MILLER:  MR. MILLER:  MR. MILLER:  Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do 17 MR. MILLER: 18 That's fine, but it's a different piece of equipment. 29 MR. MILLER: 20 It could be, yeah. And in my thought, I was saying, yes, it's different, so it would be a different project. 29 MS. VILLA:	2	As long as the project scope remains the	2	I'm going to make them both because of
sexemption.    Solution   Solutio	3	same, it's still eligible because that's	3	the
6 MS. VILLA: 7 Project based. 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Yeah, project driven. 9 CHAIRMAN JONES: 10 Good. 11 Okay. So then you need to add because it's going to be another project, because now you have 14 And the good accountant in me would also 15 say that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't 16 maxed out your application fee, and your 17 project costs now is more than what you 18 originally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then 19 LED will bill you for the max. Because at the end of the day, the application fee is based 20 on the benefit to be received by the 21 applicant, and we do that today, right? 22 Right. 3 MR. MILLER: 4 No, you probably have to add some machinery.  10 MS. VILLA: 11 Okay. So then you need to add because it's going to be another project, because now you have 12 it's going to be another project, because now you have 13 WR. MILLER: 15 Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do 16 That's fine, but it's a different piece of equipment. Sounds like it's a different piece of equipment. 20 LED will bill you for the max. Because at the end of the day, the application fee is based 21 MR. MILLER: 22 It could be, yeah. And in my thought, I was saying, yes, it's different, so it would be a different project. 23 Was saying, yes, it's different, so it would be a different project. 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 Right.	4	what the project is what applied for the	4	MS. VILLA:
7 Project based. 8 MS. PORTER: 9 Yeah, project driven. 10 CHAIRMAN JONES: 11 Good. 11 Okay. So then you need to add because 12 it's going to be another project, because now 13 MS. VILLA: 14 And the good accountant in me would also 15 say that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't 16 maxed out your application fee, and your 17 project costs now is more than what you 18 originally anticipated and the application fee 19 should have been the max versus the min, then 10 LED will bill you for the max. Because at the 11 applicant, and we do that today, right? 12 applicant, and we do that today, right? 15 WR. VILLA: 16 WR. MILLER: 17 MR. MILLER: 18 That's fine, but it's a different piece 19 piece of equipment. Sounds like it's a different 19 piece of equipment. 19 piece of equipment. 20 It could be, yeah. And in my thought, I 23 applicant, and we do that today, right? 24 WS. JOHNSON: 24 Be a different project. 25 MS. VILLA:	5	exemption.	5	But it's the same machinery you're using?
8 MS. PORTER: 9 Yeah, project driven. 10 CHAIRMAN JONES: 11 Good. 12 Mr. Miller? 13 MS. VILLA: 14 And the good accountant in me would also 15 say that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't 16 maxed out your application fee, and your 17 project costs now is more than what you 18 originally anticipated and the application fee 19 should have been the max versus the min, then 20 LED will bill you for the max. Because at the 21 end of the day, the application fee is based 22 on the benefit to be received by the 23 applicant, and we do that today, right? 24 MS. JOHNSON: 26 Right.  8 No, you probably have to add some machinery.  10 MS. VILLA: 11 Okay. So then you need to add because it's going to be another project, because now you have 12 it's going to be another project, because now you have 13 WR. MILLER: 15 Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do 16 time because I can do 17 MS. VILLA: 18 That's fine, but it's a different piece of equipment. Sounds like it's a different piece of equipment. 20 LED will bill you for the max. Because at the 20 piece of equipment. 21 end of the day, the application fee is based 21 MR. MILLER: 22 It could be, yeah. And in my thought, I was saying, yes, it's different, so it would be a different project. 25 Right.  8 No, you probably have to add some machinery.  10 MS. VILLA: 11 Okay. So then you need to add because it's going to be another project, because now it's going to be another project, because it's going to be another project, because now it's going to be another project, because it's going to be another project, because now it's going	6	MS. VILLA:	6	You're not
9 Yeah, project driven. 10 CHAIRMAN JONES: 11 Good. 11 Okay. So then you need to add because it's going to be another project, because now you have 13 MS. VILLA: 14 And the good accountant in me would also say that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't say that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't project costs now is more than what you reginally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then LED will bill you for the max. Because at the lend of the day, the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the applicant, and we do that today, right? 20 Right. 9 machinery. 10 MS. VILLA: 11 Okay. So then you need to add because it's going to be another project, because now you have 12 MR. MILLER: 15 Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do 16 That's fine, but it's a different piece of equipment. Sounds like it's a different piece of equipment. 18 That's fine, but it's a different piece of equipment. 19 WR. MILLER: 10 MR. MILLER: 11 Usual Device of equipment. 12 It could be, yeah. And in my thought, I was saying, yes, it's different, so it would be a different project. 13 MS. VILLA: 14 MR. MILLER: 15 MR. MILLER: 16 MR. MILLER: 17 MR. MILLER: 18 Usual Device of equipment. 29 MR. MILLER: 20 MR. MILLER: 20 MR. MILLER: 21 Was saying, yes, it's different, so it would be a different project. 21 MS. VILLA:	7	Project based.	7	MR. MILLER:
10 CHAIRMAN JONES: 11 Good. 12 Mr. Miller? 13 MS. VILLA: 14 And the good accountant in me would also 15 say that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't 16 maxed out your application fee, and your 17 project costs now is more than what you 18 originally anticipated and the application fee 19 should have been the max versus the min, then 20 LED will bill you for the max. Because at the 21 end of the day, the application fee is based 22 on the benefit to be received by the 23 applicant, and we do that today, right? 24 MS. JOHNSON: 26 MS. VILLA: 17 Okay. So then you need to add because it's going to be another project, because now you have 16 MR. MILLER: 18 Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do 17 MS. VILLA: 18 That's fine, but it's a different piece of equipment. Sounds like it's a different piece of equipment. 20 LED will bill you for the max. Because at the 20 piece of equipment. 21 It could be, yeah. And in my thought, I was saying, yes, it's different, so it would be a different project. 23 Right. 24 MS. VILLA: 25 MS. VILLA:	8	MS. PORTER:	8	No, you probably have to add some
11 Good.  Mr. Miller?  MS. VILLA:  And the good accountant in me would also 15 say that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't 16 maxed out your application fee, and your 17 project costs now is more than what you 18 originally anticipated and the application fee 19 should have been the max versus the min, then 20 LED will bill you for the max. Because at the 21 end of the day, the application fee is based 22 on the benefit to be received by the 23 applicant, and we do that today, right? 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 Right.  16 Okay. So then you need to add because 12 it's going to be another project, because now 14 MR. MILLER: 15 Well, it's all being built at the same 16 time because I can do 17 MS. VILLA: 18 That's fine, but it's a different piece 19 of equipment. Sounds like it's a different piece of equipment. 20 LED will bill you for the max. Because at the 21 It could be, yeah. And in my thought, I 22 was saying, yes, it's different, so it would 23 be a different project. 24 MS. VILLA:	9	Yeah, project driven.	9	machinery.
Mr. Miller?  Ms. VILLA:  And the good accountant in me would also  say that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't  maxed out your application fee, and your  project costs now is more than what you  reginally anticipated and the application fee  should have been the max versus the min, then  LED will bill you for the max. Because at the end of the day, the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the applicant, and we do that today, right?  Mr. MILLER:  That's going to be another project, because now  you have  Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do  Ms. VILLA:  That's fine, but it's a different piece of equipment. Sounds like it's a different piece of equipment.  MR. MILLER:  It could be, yeah. And in my thought, I was saying, yes, it's different, so it would be a different project.  Ms. VILLA:  Ms. VILLA:  That's fine, but it's a different piece of equipment.  20 piece of equipment. 21 piece of equipment. 22 piece of equipment. 23 was saying, yes, it's different, so it would be a different project.  Ms. VILLA:	10	CHAIRMAN JONES:	10	MS. VILLA:
MS. VILLA:  14 And the good accountant in me would also 15 say that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't 16 maxed out your application fee, and your 17 project costs now is more than what you 18 originally anticipated and the application fee 19 should have been the max versus the min, then 20 LED will bill you for the max. Because at the 21 end of the day, the application fee is based 22 on the benefit to be received by the 23 applicant, and we do that today, right? 24 MS. VILLA: 18 you have 14 MR. MILLER: 15 Well, it's all being built at the same 16 time because I can do 17 MS. VILLA: 18 That's fine, but it's a different piece 19 of equipment. Sounds like it's a different 20 piece of equipment. 21 MR. MILLER: 22 It could be, yeah. And in my thought, I 23 was saying, yes, it's different, so it would 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 Right. 26 MS. VILLA: 27 WS. VILLA: 28 Was saying, yes, it's different, so it would 29 be a different project. 29 MS. VILLA:	11	Good.	11	Okay. So then you need to add because
And the good accountant in me would also say that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't maxed out your application fee, and your project costs now is more than what you noriginally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then LED will bill you for the max. Because at the end of the day, the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the max. It could be, yeah. And in my thought, I may applicant, and we do that today, right?  MR. MILLER: Well, it's all being built at the same time because I can do MS. VILLA: That's fine, but it's a different piece of equipment. Sounds like it's a different piece of equipment.  MR. MILLER:  MR. MILLER:  That's fine, but it's a different piece of equipment. Sounds like it's a different piece of equipment.  MR. MILLER:  That's fine, but it's a different piece of equipment. Sounds like it's a different piece of equipment.  MR. MILLER:	12	Mr. Miller?	12	it's going to be another project, because now
say that, for whatever reason, if you hadn't maxed out your application fee, and your project costs now is more than what you notinginally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then LED will bill you for the max. Because at the end of the day, the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the notinginally and we do that today, right?  Mell, it's all being built at the same time because I can do MS. VILLA: That's fine, but it's a different piece of equipment. Sounds like it's a different piece of equipment.  MR. MILLER: It could be, yeah. And in my thought, I was saying, yes, it's different, so it would be a different project.  MS. VILLA:	13	MS. VILLA:	13	you have
maxed out your application fee, and your project costs now is more than what you noriginally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then LED will bill you for the max. Because at the end of the day, the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the noriginally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then lend of the day, the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the noriginally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then lend of equipment. Sounds like it's a different piece of equipment.  MR. MILLER: It could be, yeah. And in my thought, I was saying, yes, it's different, so it would was saying, yes, it's different project.  MS. VILLA:	14	And the good accountant in me would also	14	MR. MILLER:
maxed out your application fee, and your project costs now is more than what you noriginally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then LED will bill you for the max. Because at the end of the day, the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the noriginally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then lend of the day, the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the noriginally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then lend of equipment. Sounds like it's a different piece of equipment.  MR. MILLER: It could be, yeah. And in my thought, I was saying, yes, it's different, so it would was saying, yes, it's different project.  MS. VILLA:	15	_	15	Well, it's all being built at the same
project costs now is more than what you originally anticipated and the application fee should have been the max versus the min, then LED will bill you for the max. Because at the end of the day, the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the on the benefit to be received by the AMS. VILLA: That's fine, but it's a different piece of equipment. Sounds like it's a different piece of equipment.  MR. MILLER: It could be, yeah. And in my thought, I was saying, yes, it's different, so it would was a different project. AMS. VILLA:  MS. VILLA:  That's fine, but it's a different piece of equipment.  WR. MILLER:  It could be, yeah. And in my thought, I was saying, yes, it's different, so it would be a different project.  MS. VILLA:	16	maxed out your application fee, and your	16	time because I can do
should have been the max versus the min, then LED will bill you for the max. Because at the end of the day, the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the applicant, and we do that today, right?  MS. JOHNSON: Right.  19 of equipment. Sounds like it's a different piece of equipment.  MR. MILLER: It could be, yeah. And in my thought, I was saying, yes, it's different, so it would be a different project.  MS. VILLA:	17		17	MS. VILLA:
should have been the max versus the min, then LED will bill you for the max. Because at the end of the day, the application fee is based on the benefit to be received by the applicant, and we do that today, right?  MS. JOHNSON: Right.  19 of equipment. Sounds like it's a different piece of equipment.  MR. MILLER: It could be, yeah. And in my thought, I was saying, yes, it's different, so it would be a different project.  MS. VILLA:	18	originally anticipated and the application fee	18	That's fine, but it's a different piece
20 LED will bill you for the max. Because at the 21 end of the day, the application fee is based 22 on the benefit to be received by the 23 applicant, and we do that today, right? 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 Right. 20 piece of equipment. 21 MR. MILLER: 22 It could be, yeah. And in my thought, I 23 was saying, yes, it's different, so it would 24 be a different project. 25 MS. VILLA:	19		19	
21 end of the day, the application fee is based 22 on the benefit to be received by the 23 applicant, and we do that today, right? 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 Right. 20 MR. MILLER: 22 It could be, yeah. And in my thought, I 23 was saying, yes, it's different, so it would 24 be a different project. 25 MS. VILLA:	20	LED will bill you for the max. Because at the	20	
on the benefit to be received by the applicant, and we do that today, right? It could be, yeah. And in my thought, I was saying, yes, it's different, so it would be a different project. Right. 25 MS. VILLA:	21		21	
23 applicant, and we do that today, right? 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 Right. 20 was saying, yes, it's different, so it would be a different project. 25 MS. VILLA:		* **	22	It could be, yeah. And in my thought, I
24 MS. JOHNSON: 24 be a different project. 25 Right. 25 MS. VILLA:	23		23	
25 Right. 25 MS. VILLA:	24		24	
	25	Right.	25	~ ~
		Page 71		Page 73

1	So I think if it's a different	1	same sites.
2	MR. MILLER:	2	CHAIRMAN JONES:
3	And I see a whole bunch of heads bouncing	3	Yeah, that's I got a yes and a no.
4	around back there.	4	MS. VILLA:
5	MS. VILLA:	5	That's why we look at it on a case by
6	I think it's a case we need to know what	6 7	case basis. CHAIRMAN JONES:
7 8	actually is going to occur. So if there is a different piece of equipment that wasn't part	8	
9	of the process to begin with, and now it's	9	All right. So with this new process, I want to walk back through the Annual Project
10	MR. MILLER:	10	Property Report. I want to be sure I
11	But I've already started.	11	understand how you perceive that working. Let
12	MS. VILLA:	12	me tell you what I understand, what I think I
13	Hang on a second. But you're going to	13	understood you to say and you tell me if I'm
14	have a new product derivative and you have	14	on the right track or not. The way I
15	this piece of equipment, then I think it's a	15	understood you to explain it is that this APPR
16	different project because you have a new	16	will be filed every March 31st will take the
17	product that you're producing, but you didn't	17	place of all these other forms that have been
18	produce before. So that wouldn't be like a	18	filed throughout the year.
19	miscellaneous capital addition or anything	19	MS. PORTER:
20	like that.	20	Yes.
21	MR. MILLER:	21	MS. JOHNSON:
22	No, it's a new it's a	22	Yes, sir.
23	MS. VILLA:	23	CHAIRMAN JONES:
24	It's a new so you have to it didn't	24	And when the Project Status Report,
25	sound like it was a byproduct to me. It	25	Phased Applications, the Affidavit of Final
	Page 74		Page 76
1	sounded like you had to use another piece of	1	Costs, this APPR will replace all of those.
2	equipment.	2	MS. JOHNSON:
3	MS. JOHNSON:	3	Yes, sir.
4	It sounds like there was a byproduct and	4	MS. PORTER:
5	then he found a use for the byproduct	5	That's what we propose.
6	MR. MILLER:	6	CHAIRMAN JONES:
7	That's right.	7	I'm sorry?
8	MS. JOHNSON:	8	MS. PORTER:
9	and there was another project. So	9	That's what we propose, yes.
10	that would	10	MR. MILLER:
11	MS. VILLA:	11	The deadline?
12	Be another project.	12	CHAIRMAN JONES:
13	MS. JOHNSON:	13	March 31st of every year.
14	be another project, another contract.	14	MS. JOHNSON:
15	MS. VILLA:	15	Yes, we have been encountering with
16 17	You have more assets. MR. MILLER:	16	front-end applications currently under the
18		17 18	current process, as assets go into service when they're operational or usable, they're
19	Even though it's going to be inside the same building?	18	required to file Phase Applications, you know,
20	MS. JOHNSON:	20	by March 31st. However, people don't
21	Currently, there are multiple contracts	21	understand that or, you know, didn't file
22	at	22	their Annual Project Status Report for us by
23	MS. VILLA:	23	December 31st, so a lot of people are missing
24	Same sites.	24	deadlines; therefore, missing out on exemption
25	MS. JOHNSON:	25	on parts of their overall project. By
	Page 75		Page 77

1	requiring something to be filed every single	1	I think if they haven't filed, we
2	year, I think that would remove that confusion	2	wouldn't even be bringing it to you.
3	just so that, you know, whether you have	3	CHAIRMAN JONES:
4	something operational or usable or not, you're	4	So the renewal, that would be a renewal
5	filing something.	5	they haven't earned the right to renew
6	MS. PORTER:	6	because they didn't file the form.
7	Each year.	7	MS. JOHNSON:
8	CHAIRMAN JONES:	8	That's what we're
9	That, again, I've slept since then, but	9	CHAIRMAN JONES:
10	my memory from what Mr. Leonard presented at	10	So the form has become pretty important,
11	the last meeting is that Industry proposed	11	but it's only one form versus
12	something very similar to this.	12	MS. PORTER:
13	MR. LEONARD:	13	It's one form versus all the
14	Correct.	14	MS. JOHNSON:
15	CHAIRMAN JONES:	15	Exactly.
16	Is that	16	MS. PORTER:
17	MS. JOHNSON:	17	Yes.
18	I think my understanding was anything	18	CHAIRMAN JONES:
19	they had done in the previous year would be	19	Okay. I'm wrapping my head around it.
20	filed annually, but this would be specific to	20	Okay. Okay. All right. That's all I've got,
21	the project application to which you're	21	guys.
22	filing.	22	Any other questions from the Committee?
23	CHAIRMAN JONES:	23	MR. MOSS:
24	So each application would have a separate	24	I do.
25	filing?	25	CHAIRMAN JONES:
	Page 78		Page 80
1	MS. JOHNSON:	1	Mr. Moss, there you go.
			· ·
2	Yes.	2	MR. MOSS:
3	MS. VILLA:	3	MR. MOSS: I know this isn't in the specific rules
3 4	MS. VILLA: Yes.	3 4	MR. MOSS:  I know this isn't in the specific rules and everything, but there was a question, and
3 4 5	MS. VILLA: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES:	3 4 5	MR. MOSS:  I know this isn't in the specific rules and everything, but there was a question, and I do wish to point out since it is a very hot
3 4 5 6	MS. VILLA: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. Got it. Okay. Renewal	3 4 5 6	MR. MOSS:  I know this isn't in the specific rules and everything, but there was a question, and I do wish to point out since it is a very hot topic in Louisiana. I have six of the people
3 4 5 6 7	MS. VILLA: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. Got it. Okay. Renewal application, APPR is required to be filed for	3 4 5 6 7	MR. MOSS:  I know this isn't in the specific rules and everything, but there was a question, and I do wish to point out since it is a very hot topic in Louisiana. I have six of the people from Cameron Parish with us here today from
3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. VILLA: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. Got it. Okay. Renewal application, APPR is required to be filed for all project construction years in order to	3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. MOSS:  I know this isn't in the specific rules and everything, but there was a question, and I do wish to point out since it is a very hot topic in Louisiana. I have six of the people from Cameron Parish with us here today from the DA, to the Court and the Sheriff, they're
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. VILLA: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. Got it. Okay. Renewal application, APPR is required to be filed for all project construction years in order to renew. So you're saying that the timely	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. MOSS:  I know this isn't in the specific rules and everything, but there was a question, and I do wish to point out since it is a very hot topic in Louisiana. I have six of the people from Cameron Parish with us here today from the DA, to the Court and the Sheriff, they're all here. And when you're dealing, and that's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. VILLA: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. Got it. Okay. Renewal application, APPR is required to be filed for all project construction years in order to renew. So you're saying that the timely filing of the APPR has become a condition to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. MOSS:  I know this isn't in the specific rules and everything, but there was a question, and I do wish to point out since it is a very hot topic in Louisiana. I have six of the people from Cameron Parish with us here today from the DA, to the Court and the Sheriff, they're all here. And when you're dealing, and that's in Southwest Louisiana, which is where I'm
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. VILLA: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. Got it. Okay. Renewal application, APPR is required to be filed for all project construction years in order to renew. So you're saying that the timely filing of the APPR has become a condition to renew, is that your concept?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. MOSS:  I know this isn't in the specific rules and everything, but there was a question, and I do wish to point out since it is a very hot topic in Louisiana. I have six of the people from Cameron Parish with us here today from the DA, to the Court and the Sheriff, they're all here. And when you're dealing, and that's in Southwest Louisiana, which is where I'm covering, so there was a question posed the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. VILLA: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. Got it. Okay. Renewal application, APPR is required to be filed for all project construction years in order to renew. So you're saying that the timely filing of the APPR has become a condition to renew, is that your concept? MS. JOHNSON:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. MOSS:  I know this isn't in the specific rules and everything, but there was a question, and I do wish to point out since it is a very hot topic in Louisiana. I have six of the people from Cameron Parish with us here today from the DA, to the Court and the Sheriff, they're all here. And when you're dealing, and that's in Southwest Louisiana, which is where I'm covering, so there was a question posed the other day to me which had me question, and I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. VILLA: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. Got it. Okay. Renewal application, APPR is required to be filed for all project construction years in order to renew. So you're saying that the timely filing of the APPR has become a condition to renew, is that your concept? MS. JOHNSON: Yeah. Because right now, you have to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. MOSS:  I know this isn't in the specific rules and everything, but there was a question, and I do wish to point out since it is a very hot topic in Louisiana. I have six of the people from Cameron Parish with us here today from the DA, to the Court and the Sheriff, they're all here. And when you're dealing, and that's in Southwest Louisiana, which is where I'm covering, so there was a question posed the other day to me which had me question, and I spoke to you about it, Ms. Porter, but I would
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. VILLA: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. Got it. Okay. Renewal application, APPR is required to be filed for all project construction years in order to renew. So you're saying that the timely filing of the APPR has become a condition to renew, is that your concept? MS. JOHNSON: Yeah. Because right now, you have to have your AFC and PCR filed before you can	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. MOSS:  I know this isn't in the specific rules and everything, but there was a question, and I do wish to point out since it is a very hot topic in Louisiana. I have six of the people from Cameron Parish with us here today from the DA, to the Court and the Sheriff, they're all here. And when you're dealing, and that's in Southwest Louisiana, which is where I'm covering, so there was a question posed the other day to me which had me question, and I spoke to you about it, Ms. Porter, but I would like to put it on the record.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. VILLA: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. Got it. Okay. Renewal application, APPR is required to be filed for all project construction years in order to renew. So you're saying that the timely filing of the APPR has become a condition to renew, is that your concept? MS. JOHNSON: Yeah. Because right now, you have to have your AFC and PCR filed before you can renew.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. MOSS:  I know this isn't in the specific rules and everything, but there was a question, and I do wish to point out since it is a very hot topic in Louisiana. I have six of the people from Cameron Parish with us here today from the DA, to the Court and the Sheriff, they're all here. And when you're dealing, and that's in Southwest Louisiana, which is where I'm covering, so there was a question posed the other day to me which had me question, and I spoke to you about it, Ms. Porter, but I would like to put it on the record.  MS. PORTER:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. VILLA: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. Got it. Okay. Renewal application, APPR is required to be filed for all project construction years in order to renew. So you're saying that the timely filing of the APPR has become a condition to renew, is that your concept? MS. JOHNSON: Yeah. Because right now, you have to have your AFC and PCR filed before you can renew. CHAIRMAN JONES:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. MOSS:  I know this isn't in the specific rules and everything, but there was a question, and I do wish to point out since it is a very hot topic in Louisiana. I have six of the people from Cameron Parish with us here today from the DA, to the Court and the Sheriff, they're all here. And when you're dealing, and that's in Southwest Louisiana, which is where I'm covering, so there was a question posed the other day to me which had me question, and I spoke to you about it, Ms. Porter, but I would like to put it on the record.  MS. PORTER:  Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. VILLA: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. Got it. Okay. Renewal application, APPR is required to be filed for all project construction years in order to renew. So you're saying that the timely filing of the APPR has become a condition to renew, is that your concept? MS. JOHNSON: Yeah. Because right now, you have to have your AFC and PCR filed before you can renew. CHAIRMAN JONES: So this so, basically, we get to the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. MOSS:  I know this isn't in the specific rules and everything, but there was a question, and I do wish to point out since it is a very hot topic in Louisiana. I have six of the people from Cameron Parish with us here today from the DA, to the Court and the Sheriff, they're all here. And when you're dealing, and that's in Southwest Louisiana, which is where I'm covering, so there was a question posed the other day to me which had me question, and I spoke to you about it, Ms. Porter, but I would like to put it on the record.  MS. PORTER:  Yes.  MR. MOSS:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. VILLA: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. Got it. Okay. Renewal application, APPR is required to be filed for all project construction years in order to renew. So you're saying that the timely filing of the APPR has become a condition to renew, is that your concept? MS. JOHNSON: Yeah. Because right now, you have to have your AFC and PCR filed before you can renew. CHAIRMAN JONES: So this so, basically, we get to the end of the five years if now, I'm sitting	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MOSS:  I know this isn't in the specific rules and everything, but there was a question, and I do wish to point out since it is a very hot topic in Louisiana. I have six of the people from Cameron Parish with us here today from the DA, to the Court and the Sheriff, they're all here. And when you're dealing, and that's in Southwest Louisiana, which is where I'm covering, so there was a question posed the other day to me which had me question, and I spoke to you about it, Ms. Porter, but I would like to put it on the record.  MS. PORTER:  Yes.  MR. MOSS:  Is there anything that the Governor's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. VILLA: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. Got it. Okay. Renewal application, APPR is required to be filed for all project construction years in order to renew. So you're saying that the timely filing of the APPR has become a condition to renew, is that your concept? MS. JOHNSON: Yeah. Because right now, you have to have your AFC and PCR filed before you can renew. CHAIRMAN JONES: So this so, basically, we get to the end of the five years if now, I'm sitting at the Board level, you'll come to me and say,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MOSS:  I know this isn't in the specific rules and everything, but there was a question, and I do wish to point out since it is a very hot topic in Louisiana. I have six of the people from Cameron Parish with us here today from the DA, to the Court and the Sheriff, they're all here. And when you're dealing, and that's in Southwest Louisiana, which is where I'm covering, so there was a question posed the other day to me which had me question, and I spoke to you about it, Ms. Porter, but I would like to put it on the record.  MS. PORTER:  Yes.  MR. MOSS:  Is there anything that the Governor's Office or the State could look to do to such a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. VILLA: Yes.  CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. Got it. Okay. Renewal application, APPR is required to be filed for all project construction years in order to renew. So you're saying that the timely filing of the APPR has become a condition to renew, is that your concept?  MS. JOHNSON: Yeah. Because right now, you have to have your AFC and PCR filed before you can renew.  CHAIRMAN JONES: So this so, basically, we get to the end of the five years if now, I'm sitting at the Board level, you'll come to me and say, okay, Board, ABC company has they're up for	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. MOSS:  I know this isn't in the specific rules and everything, but there was a question, and I do wish to point out since it is a very hot topic in Louisiana. I have six of the people from Cameron Parish with us here today from the DA, to the Court and the Sheriff, they're all here. And when you're dealing, and that's in Southwest Louisiana, which is where I'm covering, so there was a question posed the other day to me which had me question, and I spoke to you about it, Ms. Porter, but I would like to put it on the record.  MS. PORTER:  Yes.  MR. MOSS:  Is there anything that the Governor's Office or the State could look to do to such a small parish with limited amount of funds as
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. VILLA: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. Got it. Okay. Renewal application, APPR is required to be filed for all project construction years in order to renew. So you're saying that the timely filing of the APPR has become a condition to renew, is that your concept? MS. JOHNSON: Yeah. Because right now, you have to have your AFC and PCR filed before you can renew. CHAIRMAN JONES: So this so, basically, we get to the end of the five years if now, I'm sitting at the Board level, you'll come to me and say, okay, Board, ABC company has they're up for their filed their, timely filed their	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. MOSS:  I know this isn't in the specific rules and everything, but there was a question, and I do wish to point out since it is a very hot topic in Louisiana. I have six of the people from Cameron Parish with us here today from the DA, to the Court and the Sheriff, they're all here. And when you're dealing, and that's in Southwest Louisiana, which is where I'm covering, so there was a question posed the other day to me which had me question, and I spoke to you about it, Ms. Porter, but I would like to put it on the record.  MS. PORTER:  Yes.  MR. MOSS:  Is there anything that the Governor's Office or the State could look to do to such a small parish with limited amount of funds as far as trying to help offset some of the costs
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. VILLA: Yes. CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. Got it. Okay. Renewal application, APPR is required to be filed for all project construction years in order to renew. So you're saying that the timely filing of the APPR has become a condition to renew, is that your concept? MS. JOHNSON: Yeah. Because right now, you have to have your AFC and PCR filed before you can renew. CHAIRMAN JONES: So this so, basically, we get to the end of the five years if now, I'm sitting at the Board level, you'll come to me and say, okay, Board, ABC company has they're up for their filed their, timely filed their renewal application, and your only report to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. MOSS:  I know this isn't in the specific rules and everything, but there was a question, and I do wish to point out since it is a very hot topic in Louisiana. I have six of the people from Cameron Parish with us here today from the DA, to the Court and the Sheriff, they're all here. And when you're dealing, and that's in Southwest Louisiana, which is where I'm covering, so there was a question posed the other day to me which had me question, and I spoke to you about it, Ms. Porter, but I would like to put it on the record.  MS. PORTER:  Yes.  MR. MOSS:  Is there anything that the Governor's Office or the State could look to do to such a small parish with limited amount of funds as far as trying to help offset some of the costs that they're going to incur for extra police,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. VILLA: Yes.  CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. Got it. Okay. Renewal application, APPR is required to be filed for all project construction years in order to renew. So you're saying that the timely filing of the APPR has become a condition to renew, is that your concept? MS. JOHNSON: Yeah. Because right now, you have to have your AFC and PCR filed before you can renew. CHAIRMAN JONES: So this so, basically, we get to the end of the five years if now, I'm sitting at the Board level, you'll come to me and say, okay, Board, ABC company has they're up for their filed their, timely filed their renewal application, and your only report to me will be they have timely filed all APPRs	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. MOSS:  I know this isn't in the specific rules and everything, but there was a question, and I do wish to point out since it is a very hot topic in Louisiana. I have six of the people from Cameron Parish with us here today from the DA, to the Court and the Sheriff, they're all here. And when you're dealing, and that's in Southwest Louisiana, which is where I'm covering, so there was a question posed the other day to me which had me question, and I spoke to you about it, Ms. Porter, but I would like to put it on the record.  MS. PORTER:  Yes.  MR. MOSS:  Is there anything that the Governor's Office or the State could look to do to such a small parish with limited amount of funds as far as trying to help offset some of the costs that they're going to incur for extra police, extra teachers, extra fire department, just
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. VILLA: Yes.  CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. Got it. Okay. Renewal application, APPR is required to be filed for all project construction years in order to renew. So you're saying that the timely filing of the APPR has become a condition to renew, is that your concept?  MS. JOHNSON: Yeah. Because right now, you have to have your AFC and PCR filed before you can renew.  CHAIRMAN JONES: So this so, basically, we get to the end of the five years if now, I'm sitting at the Board level, you'll come to me and say, okay, Board, ABC company has they're up for their filed their, timely filed their renewal application, and your only report to me will be they have timely filed all APPRs during the initial term; is that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. MOSS:  I know this isn't in the specific rules and everything, but there was a question, and I do wish to point out since it is a very hot topic in Louisiana. I have six of the people from Cameron Parish with us here today from the DA, to the Court and the Sheriff, they're all here. And when you're dealing, and that's in Southwest Louisiana, which is where I'm covering, so there was a question posed the other day to me which had me question, and I spoke to you about it, Ms. Porter, but I would like to put it on the record.  MS. PORTER:  Yes.  MR. MOSS:  Is there anything that the Governor's Office or the State could look to do to such a small parish with limited amount of funds as far as trying to help offset some of the costs that they're going to incur for extra police, extra teachers, extra fire department, just anything down there?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. VILLA: Yes.  CHAIRMAN JONES: Okay. Got it. Okay. Renewal application, APPR is required to be filed for all project construction years in order to renew. So you're saying that the timely filing of the APPR has become a condition to renew, is that your concept? MS. JOHNSON: Yeah. Because right now, you have to have your AFC and PCR filed before you can renew. CHAIRMAN JONES: So this so, basically, we get to the end of the five years if now, I'm sitting at the Board level, you'll come to me and say, okay, Board, ABC company has they're up for their filed their, timely filed their renewal application, and your only report to me will be they have timely filed all APPRs	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. MOSS:  I know this isn't in the specific rules and everything, but there was a question, and I do wish to point out since it is a very hot topic in Louisiana. I have six of the people from Cameron Parish with us here today from the DA, to the Court and the Sheriff, they're all here. And when you're dealing, and that's in Southwest Louisiana, which is where I'm covering, so there was a question posed the other day to me which had me question, and I spoke to you about it, Ms. Porter, but I would like to put it on the record.  MS. PORTER:  Yes.  MR. MOSS:  Is there anything that the Governor's Office or the State could look to do to such a small parish with limited amount of funds as far as trying to help offset some of the costs that they're going to incur for extra police, extra teachers, extra fire department, just

1 having a Mega project like Venture Global or one of those coming up down there, what could it the Governor's office or the Stute possibly do to help offset these charges? Yes, they're getting 20 percent, I understand.  6 Ms. PORTER:  7 I was about to ask that, right.  8 MR. MOSS:  9 They're getting the 20 percent. But when you're dealing with a parish of 5,000 people or less, they have limited resources.  10 you're dealing with a parish of 5,000 people or less, they have limited resources.  11 or less, they have limited resources.  12 Ms. VILLA:  13 Right.  14 MR. MOSS:  15 So, yes, do they appreciate the projects?  16 Absolutely, and it's putting people to work.  17 But at the same time, it's draining their really does match, especially the LNGs, I presume they're Mega projects. What's the definition? You know, I but I don't his soling that a foor think is going to be a provious language, there's no more jobs associated with it in ITEP. So I believe the projects and they're dealing with all these projects and they're dealing with all these projects and they're got a or right there. That's them, and they're dealing with all these projects and they've got a or right there. That's them, and they're dealing with all these projects and they've got a or right there. That's them, and they're dealing with all these projects and they've got a or right there. That's them, and they're dealing with all these projects and they've got a or right there. That's them, and they're dealing with all these projects and they've got a or right there. That's them, and they're dealing with all these projects and they've got a right there. That's them, and they're dealing with all these projects and they've got a right there. That's them, and they're dealing with all these projects and they've got a right that the passe gets lifted, and possibly help these people.  1 right there. That's them, and they're dealing with all these projects and they've got a round the projects and they've got a project in the project and they've got a pro			l .	
the Governor's office or the State possibly do to help offset these charges? Yes, they're getting 20 percent, I understand.  MS. PORTER: MS. PORTER: MS. PORTER: MR. MOSS: They're getting the 20 percent. But when you're dealing with a parish of 5.000 people or or less, they have limited resources. Right. MR. MOSS: Right. MR. MOSS: So, yes, do they appreciate the projects? Absolutely, and it's putting people to work. But at the same time, it's draining their coffers for five to ten years before they really start recouping any of that 80 percent back. The 20 percent is appreciative, but what can we do to help them? And that's something that I don't think is going to be wort further with the Biden pause on all the LING facilities, you know, their epicenter is fifted— Tight there. That's them, and they're dealing with all these projects and they've got a couple on hold. And so when this pause gets lifted, and I'm saying that out loud, when that pause gets lifted— Tight there. That's them, and they're dealing with all these projects and they've got a couple on hold. And so when this pause gets lifted, and I'm saying that out loud, when that pause gets lifted— They with all these projects and they're got a couple on hold. And so when this pause gets lifted, and I'm saying that out loud, when that pause gets lifted— And so when this pause gets lifted, and I'm saying that out loud, when that pause gets lifted— And so when this pause gets lifted, and I'm saying that out loud, when that pause gets lifted— And so when this pause gets lifted, and I'm saying that out loud, when that pause gets lifted —  MR. MILLER: A little bit on that same note because it really does match, especially the LNGs, I presumely definition? You know, Tm sure I probably should know it, but I don't. MS. VILLA: So that is one thirp that we're contemplating, because the definition—first off, the Mega language and sey officit with it in ITEP. So I believe the previous language was 500 million, 500 jobs, and an Mega project fund use, which is 5000/500.	1	having a Mega project like Venture Global or	1	MS. VILLA:
4 to help offset these charges? Yes, they're 5 getting 20 percent, I understand. 6 MS. PORTER: 7 I was about to ask that, right. 8 MR. MOSS: 9 They're getting the 20 percent. But when or look of the point or less, they have limited resources. 10 you're dealing with a parish of 5,000 people or look. Step have limited resources. 11 Right. 12 MS. VILLA: 13 Right. 14 MR. MOSS: 15 So, yes, do they appreciate the projects? 16 Absolutely, and it's putting people to work. 17 But at the same time, it's draining their coffers for five to ten years before they really start recouping any of that 80 percent back. The 20 percent is appreciative, but what can we do to help them? And that's couple on hold. 20 back. The 20 percent is appreciative, but out further with the Biden pause on all the LNG facilities, you know, their epicenter is light there. That's them, and they're dealing with all these projects and they've got a couple on hold. 3 right there. That's them, and they're dealing with all these projects and they've got a couple on hold. 4 And so when this pause gets lifted, and Finsh and Spanner and they're got a couple on hold. 5 I'm saying that out loud, when that pause gets lifted, and Finsh and Spanner and they're got a couple on hold. 6 If MR. MILLER: 7 MS. PORTER: 7 MS. PORTER: 8 Right. 9 They're getting the 20 percent. But when you've got to project fund use, which is 500/500. 10 The mened to see what we can do to possibly help these people. 11 possibly help these people. 12 MS. PORTER: 13 Okay. 14 MR. MOSS: 15 And I'd like – I know you've got to bring it back to the Governor's office, but 17 Justile it on record that, love the employment, love the expansion of jobs and wages, but how do we help protect them because — Projects and they start receiving 20 the coffers. 15 MS. VILLA: 16 MS. VILLA: 17 MS. VILLA: 18 MS. VILLA: 19 MR. MOSS: 20 MR. MILLER: 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Until such time that they start receiving 21 the coffers. 23 MR. MOSS: 24 MR. MOSS: 25 Yes, ma'm. 26 MS. PORTER: 27 MS. PORTER: 28 Appreciate the pro	I	<b>5</b> 1		
5 getting 20 percent, 1 understand. 6 Ms. PORTER: 7 I was about to ask that, right. 8 MR. MOSS: 9 They're getting the 20 percent. But when you're dealing with a parish of 5,000 people or less, they have limited resources. 11 or less, they have limited resources. 12 Ms. VILLA: 13 Right. 14 MR. MOSS: 15 So, yes, do they appreciate the projects? 16 Absolutely, and it's putting people to work. 17 But at the same time, it's draining their coffers for five to ten years before they early start recouping any of that 80 percent to back. The 20 percent is appreciative, but what can we do to help them? And that's 21 iright there. That's them, and they're dealing with all these projects and they're got a couple on hold. 24 and so when this pause gets lifted, and 1 ms. Page 82 1 iright there. That's them, and they're dealing with all these projects and they're got a couple on hold. 3 Couple on hold. 4 And so when this pause gets lifted, and 1 ms. Page 84 1 ms. Port Port Port Port Port Port Port Port	1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
6 Ms. PORTER: 7 I was about to ask that, right. 7 Ms. MILLER: 8 Ms. MOSS: 9 They're getting the 20 percent. But when or less, they have limited resources. 10 you're dealing with a parish of 5,000 people or look step have limited resources. 11 Right. 12 Ms. VILLA: 13 Right. 14 Ms. MOSS: 15 So, yes, do they appreciate the projects? 16 Absolutely, and it's putting people to work. 17 But at the same time, it's draining their coffers for five to ten years before they preally start recoping any of that 80 percent back. The 20 percent is appreciative, but what can we do to help them? And that's 21 what can we do to help them? And that's 22 something that I don't think is going to be 23 just generic to Cameron Parish, but right now out further with the Biden pause on all the 25 LNG facilities, you know, their epicenter is 25 might that 1 don't think is going to be 26 lifted — 17 Ms. PORTER: 18 Right. 19 Ms. WILLA: 20 With all these projects and they've got a 26 lifted — 21 with all these projects and they've got a 27 limit the many that on we do to help them? And that pause gets lifted, and 27 limit the men need to see what we cand do to possibly help these people. 21 Ms. PORTER: 22 Ms. PORTER: 23 Ms. PORTER: 34 Mr. MOSS: 35 One hundred jobs? 36 Ms. NOSE: 36 Ms. PORTER: 37 Ng. PORTER: 38 Right. 38 Mr. MILLER: 38 Allthough 500 sounds like a lot, in 10 today's world — 39 Ms. VILLA: 40 Mr. MOSS: 41 Today's world — 41 Ms. MoSS: 42 Ms. PORTER: 43 Ms. PORTER: 44 Ms. MOSS: 45 Ms. PORTER: 46 Ms. MoSS: 47 Ms. PORTER: 48 Ng. PORTER: 49 Ms. MoSS: 40 Ms. Mr. Miller: 40 Ms. PORTER: 41 Today's world — 42 Ms. Portagen. 43 Ms. Will As: 44 Ms. Moss: 45 Ms. Portagen. 46 Ms. Miller: 47 Ms. Moss: 48 Mr. Miller: 49 Ms. Moss: 40 Ms. Will As: 40 Ms. Will As: 41 Ms. Will As: 42 Ms. Portagen. 43 Ms. Will As: 44 Ms. Moss: 45 Ms. Portagen. 46 Ms. Miller: 47 Ms. Moss: 48 Mega project in one parish could be best, you know, term of Mega, what's going to constitute a Mega project. 48 Ms. Will As: 49 Ms. Will As: 40 Ms. Will As: 40 Ms. Will As: 40 Ms				**
Twee setting the 20 percent. But when you're dealing with a parish of 5,000 people or less, they have limited resources.  MR. MOSS:  So, yes, do they appreciate the projects? Absolutely, and it's putting people to work.  So, yes, do they appreciate the projects? Absolutely, and it's putting people to work.  But at the same time, it's draining their coffers for five to ten years before they really start recouping any of that 80 percent back. The 20 percent is appreciative, but what can we do to help them? And that's just generic to Cameron Parish, but right now out further with the Biden pause on all the type with all these projects and they've got a couple on hold.  And so when this pause gets lifted. And so when this pause gets lifted. Silited. Sil	1	9 9 1		
8 MR. MOSS: 9 They're getting the 20 percent. But when 10 you're dealing with a parish of 5,000 people or less, they have limited resources. 11 or less, they have limited resources. 12 MS. VILLA: 13 Right. 14 MR. MOSS: 15 So, yes, do they appreciate the projects? 16 Absolutely, and it's putting people to work. 17 But at the same time, it's draining their 18 coffers for five to ten years before they 19 back. The 20 percent is appreciative, but 20 what can we do to help them? And that's 21 what can we do to help them? And that's 21 what can we do to help them? And that's 22 something that I don't think is going to be 23 just generic to Cameron Parish, but right now 24 out further with the Biden pause on all the 25 LNG facilities, you know, their epicenter is 24 with all these projects and they're dealing 25 with all these projects and they're got a 26 fifted				
They're getting the 20 percent. But when you're dealing with a parish of 5,000 people of or less, they have limited resources.  MS. VILLA: Right.  MR. MOSS:  So, yes, do they appreciate the projects? Absolutely, and it's putting people to work. But at the same time, it's draining their coffers for five to ten years before they really start recouping any of that 80 percent back. The 20 percent is appreciative, but what can we do to help them? And that's justiful three projects is previous in going to be out further with the Biden pause on all the LNG facilities, you know, their epicenter is right there. That's them, and they're dealing with all these projects and they've got a couple on hold.  MR. MOSS:  1 right there. That's them, and they're dealing with all these projects and they've got a couple on hold.  And so when this pause gets lifted, and filled—  MR. MOSS:  MR. MOSS:  1 what can we do to help them? And that's something that I don't think is going to be out further with the Biden pause on all the think we can do to possibly help these people.  MR. MOSS:  MR. MILLER:  Although 500 sounds like a lot, in Page 84  I today's world—  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. VILLA:  Although 500 sounds like a lot, in Page 84  I today's world—  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. VILLA:  Although 500 sounds like a lot, in Page 84  I today's world—  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. VILLA:  One hundred pible?  MR. MOSS:  MR. MILLER:  MR. MOSS:  MR. MILLER:  MR. MOSS:  MR. MILLER:  MR. MOSS:  MR. MILLER:  M				
10 you're dealing with a parish of 5,000 people   10	1			
11 or less, they have limited resources.	1			
12   MS. VILLA:   13   Right.   13   MS. VILLA:   MR. MOSS:   MR. MILLER:   MR. MILLER:   MR. MOSS:   MR. MILLER:   MR. MOSS:   MR. MILLER:   MR. MOSS:   MR. MILLER:   MR. MILLER:   MR. MOSS:   MR. MILLER:   M	1			
Right.   13   MS. VILLA:   So that is one thing that we're		•		
MR. MOSS:   So, yes, do they appreciate the projects?   So, yes, do they appreciate they save the definition first offit the same time, it's draining their coffers for five to ten years before they   So off, the Mega language, there's no more jobs associated with it in ITEP. So I believe the previous language was 500 million, 500 jobs, if I'm not mistaken; I can be, but I think we followed the same rule that LED has as far as a Mega project fund use, which is 500/500.   But those were all things that were decided many, many, many years, if not decades ago.   MR. MILLER:   Although 500 sounds like a lot, in Page 84	1			•
15 So, yes, do they appreciate the projects? 16 Absolutely, and it's putting people to work. 17 But at the same time, it's draining their 18 coffers for five to ten years before they 19 really start recouping any of that 80 percent 20 back. The 20 percent is appreciative, but 21 what can we do to help them? And that's 22 something that I don't think is going to be 23 just generic to Cameron Parish, but right now 24 out further with the Biden pause on all the 25 LNG facilities, you know, their epicenter is 26 with all these projects and they're dealing 27 with all these projects and they're dealing 28 with all these projects and they're got a 29 something that out loud, when that pause gets 30 lifted		_		
16 Absolutely, and it's putting people to work. 17 But at the same time, it's draining their 18 coffers for five to ten years before they 19 really start recouping any of that 80 percent 20 back. The 20 percent is appreciative, but 21 what can we do to help them? And that's 22 something that I don't think is going to be 23 just generic to Cameron Parish, but right now 24 out further with the Biden pause on all the 25 LNG facilities, you know, their epicenter is 26 Page 82  1 right there. That's them, and they're dealing 2 with all these projects and they've got a 3 couple on hold. 4 And so when this pause gets lifted, and 5 I'm saying that out loud, when that pause gets 6 lifted 10 then we need to see what we can do to 11 possibly help these people. 12 MS. PORTER: 13 Okay. 14 MR. MOSS: 15 And I'd like I know you've got to 16 bring it back to the Governor's office, but 16 I'd just like it on record that, love the 17 I'd just like it on record that, love the 18 employment, love the expansion of jobs and 18 wages, but how do we help protect them because 25 LNG facilities, you know, term of Mega, what's going 26 to coffers. 27 MS. VILLA: 28 Until such time that they start receiving 29 the coffers. 29 MR. MGOSS: 20 LNG facilities, you know, term of Mega, what's going 21 to constitute a Mega project in one parish could 22 believe the previous language, there's no more jobs associated with it in ITEP. So I believe the previous language was 500 million, 500 jobs, if I'm not mistaken; I can be, but I think we followed the same rule that LED has as far as a Mega project fund use, which is 500/500.  But those were all things that were decided many, many, many years, if not decades ago.  MR. MILLER:  Although 500 sounds like a lot, in  Page 84  1 today's world  MS. JOHNSON:  11 today's world  MS. JOHNSON:  12 MS. JOHNSON:  13 It's actually only 100.  MS. VILLA:  14 One hundred million. Oh, in this  15 okay, that's the other program. But so we're  16 looking at that, right, to determine what's  16 be 50 mi		MR. MOSS:		-
But at the same time, it's draining their coffers for five to ten years before they really start recouping any of that 80 percent back. The 20 percent is appreciative, but what can we do to help them? And that's something that I don't think is going to be something that I don't think is going to be yout further with the Biden pause on all the LNG facilities, you know, their epicenter is Page 82 with all these projects and they're dealing with all these projects and they're dealing with all these projects and they're got a couple on hold. And so when this pause gets lifted, and And so when this pause gets lifted, and I lifted				1 0
18 coffers for five to ten years before they 19 really start recouping any of that 80 percent 20 back. The 20 percent is appreciative, but 21 what can we do to help them? And that's 22 something that I don't think is going to be 23 just generic to Cameron Parish, but right now 24 out further with the Biden pause on all the 25 LNG facilities, you know, their epicenter is 26 with all these projects and they're dealing 27 with all these projects and they're got a 28 couple on hold. 29 If the same rule that LED has as far as 20 a Mega project fund use, which is \$500/500.  20 But those were all things that were decided many, many, many years, if not decades ago.  20 MR. MILLER: 21 Although 500 sounds like a lot, in 22 Page 82  23 LNG facilities, you know, their epicenter is 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 I'm saying that out loud, when that pause gets 26 Iiffed 27 MS. PORTER: 38 Right. 39 MR. MOSS: 40 WR. MOSS: 41 MR. MOSS: 42 MR. MOSS: 43 MR. MOSS: 44 MR. MOSS: 45 MR. MILLER: 46 MR. MOSS: 47 MS. PORTER: 48 Sight. 49 MR. MOSS: 40 MR. MOSS: 41 MR. MOSS: 41 MR. MOSS: 42 MR. MILLER: 43 MR. MILLER: 44 MR. MILLER: 45 Drain and they're dealing with all these projects and they're got a lit's actually only 100. 46 And so when this pause gets lifted, and different many many many many many many many many				
19 really start recouping any of that 80 percent 20 back. The 20 percent is appreciative, but 21 what can we do to help them? And that's 22 something that I don't think is going to be 22 something that I don't think is going to be 23 just generic to Cameron Parish, but right now 24 out further with the Biden pause on all the 25 LNG facilities, you know, their epicenter is Page 82 LNG facilities, you know, their epicenter is 25 with all these projects and they're dealing 26 with all these projects and they're got a 27 couple on hold. 27 day's world 28 MS. JOHNSON: 28 MS. JOHNSON: 29 MS. PORTER: 29 MS. PORTER: 20 MS. PORTER: 20 MS. PORTER: 20 MS. PORTER: 21			1	
back. The 20 percent is appreciative, but what can we do to help them? And that's what can we do to help them? And that's 21 something that I don't think is going to be 23 just generic to Cameron Parish, but right now 24 out further with the Biden pause on all the 25 LNG facilities, you know, their epicenter is Page 82  1 right there. That's them, and they're dealing with all these projects and they've got a 22 with all these projects and they've got a 3 couple on hold. 3 lifs actually only 100. 4 And so when this pause gets lifted, and 5 lifted 6 lifted 7 MS. PORTER: 7 No, 100 million. 8 Right. 8 Right. 8 Right. 9 MR. MOSS: 9 One hundred million. Oh, in this 0 okay, that's the other program. But so we're 1 looking at that, right, to determine what's the best, you know, term of Mega, what's going to constitute a Mega project in one parish could be 50 million.  MR. MILLER: 1 Imean a Mega project in one parish could be 50 million.  MR. MILLER: 1 Imean a Mega project in one parish could be 50 million.  MR. MILLER: 1 Imean a Mega project in one parish could be 50 million.  MR. MILLER: 1 Imean a Mega project in one parish could be 50 million.  MR. MILLER: 1 Imean a Mega project in one parish could be 50 million.  MR. MILLER: 1 Imean a Mega project in one parish could be 50 million.				
21 what can we do to help them? And that's 22 something that I don't think is going to be 23 just generic to Cameron Parish, but right now 24 out further with the Biden pause on all the 25 LNG facilities, you know, their epicenter is 26 right there. That's them, and they're dealing 27 with all these projects and they're got a 28 couple on hold. 29 If's actually only 100. 30 couple on hold. 41 And so when this pause gets lifted, and 52 I'm saying that out loud, when that pause gets 63 lifted 64 Right. 75 MS. PORTER: 76 MG. MS. VILLA: 77 MG. MS. VILLA: 80 MS. VILLA: 81 MS. VILLA: 81 MS. VILLA: 82 One hundred jobs? 83 MS. VILLA: 84 MS. VILLA: 85 One hundred million. Oh, in this 86 okay. 86 MS. VILLA: 87 One hundred million. Oh, in this 87 okay. 88 MS. VILLA: 89 MR. MOSS: 90 Constitute a Mega project in one parish could 90 be 50 million. 91 MS. VILLA: 91 MS. VILLA: 92 Until such time that they start receiving 93 WR. MOSS: 94 MR. MOSS: 95 Exacetly. 96 MS. VILLA: 97 MS. VILLA: 98 MS. VILLA: 99 MR. MOSS: 90 MS. VILLA: 90 MR. MILLER: 90 MS. VILLA: 91 MS. VILLA: 91 MS. VILLA: 92 Until such time that they start receiving 93 MR. MILLER: 94 MR. MOSS: 95 MS. VILLA: 96 MS. VILLA: 97 MS. VILLA: 98 MS. VILLA: 99 MR. MILLER: 90 MS. VILLA: 90 MR. MILLER: 90 MS. VILLA: 91 MS. VILLA: 92 Until such time that they start receiving 94 MR. MILLER: 95 MR. MILLER: 96 MS. VILLA: 97 MS. VILLA: 98 MS. VILLA: 99 MS. VILLA: 90 MS. VILLA: 90 MS. VILLA: 90 MS. VILLA: 91 MS. VILLA: 91 MS. VILLA: 92 Until such time that they start receiving 95 MR. MILLER: 96 MR. MILLER: 97 MS. VILLA: 98 MS. MILLER: 99 MR. MILLER: 90 MS. VILLA: 90 MS. VILLA: 91 MS. WILLA: 92 Exacety. 91 MR. MILLER: 92 And on the LNG, it's not even though 94 To decide many, many years, if not decades ago. 92 MR. MILLER: 94 MS. MOSS: 95 MS. JOHNSON: 95 MS. JOHNSON: 96 MS. JOHNSON: 96 MS. VILLA: 96 MS. VILLA: 97 MS. VILLA: 98 MS. VILLA: 98 MS. VILLA: 98 MS. VILLA: 99 MS. VILLA: 90 MS. VILLA: 91 MS. VI	1			·
22 something that I don't think is going to be 23 just generic to Cameron Parish, but right now 24 out further with the Biden pause on all the 25 LNG facilities, you know, their epicenter is 26 right there. That's them, and they're dealing 27 with all these projects and they've got a 28 couple on hold. 29 And so when this pause gets lifted, and 20 lifted 21 ms. PORTER: 22 ms. Port of them we need to see what we can do to 23 nsibly help these people. 24 ms. PORTER: 25 Lokay: 26 ms. JOHNSON: 27 ms. PORTER: 28 ms. JOHNSON: 39 ms. JOHNSON: 40 ms. JOHNSON: 41 ms. VILLA: 42 ms. JOHNSON: 43 lifts actually only 100. 44 ms. VILLA: 45 One hundred jobs? 46 ms. VILLA: 47 looking at that, right, to determine what's 48 ms. VILLA: 49 one hundred million. Oh, in this 40 okay, that's the other program. But so we're 40 looking at that, right, to determine what's 40 ms. VILLA: 41 mean a Mega project. 42 ms. JOHNSON: 43 looking at that, right, to determine what's 41 ms. VILLA: 42 long a many, many, many years, if not decades ago. 44 ms. MILLER: 45 Although 500 sounds like a lot, in 46 loday's world 47 ms. JOHNSON: 48 ms. JOHNSON: 49 ms. JOHNSON: 40 ms. JOHNSON: 40 ms. VILLA: 41 looking at that, right, to determine what's 40 the best, you know, term of Mega, what's going 41 today's world 42 ms. JOHNSON: 40 ms. JOHNSON: 41 looking at that, right, to determine what's 42 looking at that, right, to determine what's 43 looking at that, right, to determine what's 44 looking at that, right, to determine what's 45 looking at that, right, to determine what's 46 looking at that, right, to determine what's 46 looking at that, right, to determine what's 47 looking at that, right, to determine what's 48 looking at that, right, to determine what's 49 looking at that, right, to determine what's 40 looking at that, right, to determine what's 40 looking at that, right, to determine what's 40 lookin		1 11		
23 just generic to Cameron Parish, but right now out further with the Biden pause on all the LNG facilities, you know, their epicenter is Page 82  1 right there. That's them, and they're dealing with all these projects and they ve got a couple on hold. 4 And so when this pause gets lifted, and I'm saying that out loud, when that pause gets lifted 6 MS. JOHNSON: 7 MS. PORTER: 8 Right. 9 MR. MOSS: 10 then we need to see what we can do to 10 possibly help these people. 11 looking at that, right, to determine what's the best, you know, term of Mega, what's going to constitute a Mega project. 14 MR. MOSS: 15 And I'd like I know you've got to bring it back to the Governor's office, but 17 I'd just like it on record that, love the employment, love the expansion of jobs and wages, but how do we help protect them because 20 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Until such time that they start receiving the coffers. 23 MR. MOSS: 24 MR. MILLER: 25 Although 500 sounds like a lot, in MR. MILLER: 26 Although 500 sounds like a lot, in MR. MILLER: 27 Although 500 sounds like a lot, in MR. JULLA: 28 MS. JOHNSON: 29 MS. JOHNSON: 30 It's actually only 100. 4 MS. VILLA: 50 One hundred pibls? 50 One hundred pibls? 51 No, 100 million. 51 MS. VILLA: 52 MR. MILLER: 53 MR. MILLER: 54 MS. PORTER: 55 Man I'd like I know you've got to 15 Because on 16 Because on 17 I'd just like it on record that, love the 16 MS. VILLA: 18 Page 84  19 MR. MILLER: 20 Yes, true. 21 MS. VILLA: 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Until such time that they start receiving the coffers. 23 MR. MILLER: 24 MR. MOSS: 24 And on the LNG, it's not even though it qualifies as a Mega project, it's not		what can we do to help them? And that's		
24 out further with the Biden pause on all the 25 LNG facilities, you know, their epicenter is Page 82  1 right there. That's them, and they're dealing 2 with all these projects and they've got a 3 couple on hold. 4 And so when this pause gets lifted, and 5 I'm saying that out loud, when that pause gets 6 lifted 7 MS. PORTER: 8 Right. 9 MR. MOSS: 9 One hundred jobs? 10 then we need to see what we can do to 11 possibly help these people. 11 possibly help these people. 12 MS. PORTER: 13 Okay. 14 MR. MOSS: 15 And I'd like I know you've got to 16 bring it back to the Governor's office, but 17 I'd just like it on record that, love the 18 employment, love the expansion of jobs and 19 wages, but how do we help protect them because 20 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Until such time that they start receiving 24 MR. MOSS: 25 Yes, ma'am. 24 MR. MILLER: 25 Although 500 sounds like a lot, in 10 today's world 28 MS. JOHNSON: 3 It's actually only 100. 4 MS. VILLA: 5 One hundred jobs? 6 MS. JOHNSON: 7 No, 100 million. 8 MS. VILLA: 9 One hundred million. Oh, in this 9 okay, that's the other program. But so we're 10 looking at that, right, to determine what's 10 the best, you know, term of Mega, what's going 11 to constitute a Mega project. 12 MS. VILLA: 13 I mean a Mega project in one parish could 14 MS. VILLA: 15 I mean a Mega project in one parish could 16 MS. VILLA: 17 I mean a Mega project in one parish could 18 Exactly 19 MR. MILLER: 20 Yes, true. 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Until such time that they start receiving 23 the coffers. 24 MR. MOSS: 25 MR. MILLER: 26 And on the LNG, it's not even though 27 Exactly 28 And on the LNG, it's not even though 28 Although 500 sounds like a lot, in 29 Although 500 sounds like a lot, in 20 today's world 20 MS. JOHNSON: 3 It's actually only 100. 4 MS. VILLA: 26 Although 500 sounds like a lot, in 27 MS. JOHNSON: 3 It's actually only 100. 4 MS. VILLA: 4 MR. MILLER: 5 One hundred jobs? 6 MS. JOHNSON: 6 MS. JOHNSON: 7 No, 100 million. 8 NS. VILLA: 10 I to day's world 2 MS. JOHNSON: 9 One	I	something that I don't think is going to be		· ·
25 LNG facilities, you know, their epicenter is Page 82  1 right there. That's them, and they're dealing with all these projects and they're got a couple on hold.  2 with all these projects and they're got a couple on hold.  3 It's actually only 100.  4 And so when this pause gets lifted, and Firm saying that out loud, when that pause gets fifted				
right there. That's them, and they're dealing with all these projects and they've got a couple on hold. And so when this pause gets lifted, and lifted MS. PORTER: MR. MOSS: MR. PORTER: MR. MOSS: MR. MILLER: And on the LNG, it's not even though it qualifies as a Mega project, it's not	1	out further with the Biden pause on all the		MR. MILLER:
right there. That's them, and they're dealing with all these projects and they've got a couple on hold.  And so when this pause gets lifted, and I'm saying that out loud, when that pause gets lifted  MS. VILLA: One hundred jobs? If sactually only 100.  MS. VILLA: One hundred jobs? MS. JOHNSON:  MS. PORTER: No. 100 million.  MS. VILLA: One hundred million. Oh, in this okay, that's the other program. But so we're looking at that, right, to determine what's the best, you know, term of Mega, what's going to constitute a Mega project.  MR. MOSS: And I'd like I know you've got to bring it back to the Governor's office, but I'd just like it on record that, love the employment, love the expansion of jobs and wages, but how do we help protect them because  MS. VILLA: I mean a Mega project in one parish could be 50 million.  MR. MILLER: Yes, true.  MS. VILLA:  MR. MILLER:  MS. VILLA:  MR. MILLER:  And On the LNG, it's not even though it qualifies as a Mega project, it's not	25		25	
2 with all these projects and they've got a 3 couple on hold. 4 And so when this pause gets lifted, and 5 I'm saying that out loud, when that pause gets 6 lifted 7 MS. PORTER: 8 Right. 9 MR. MOSS: 9 One hundred jobs? 10 then we need to see what we can do to 11 possibly help these people. 12 MS. PORTER: 13 Okay. 14 MR. MOSS: 15 And I'd like I know you've got to 16 bring it back to the Governor's office, but 17 I'd just like it on record that, love the 18 employment, love the expansion of jobs and 19 wages, but how do we help protect them because 20 21 MS. VILLA: 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Until such time that they start receiving 25 Yes, ma'am. 2 MS. JOHNSON: 3 It's actually only 100. 4 MS. VILLA: 5 One hundred jobs? 6 MS. JOHNSON: 4 MS. VILLA: 9 One hundred jobs? 6 MS. VILLA: 9 One hundred million. Oh, in this 9 okay, that's the other program. But so we're 10 looking at that, right, to determine what's 10 the best, you know, term of Mega, what's going 11 to constitute a Mega project. 12 MS. VILLA: 15 Because on 16 MS. VILLA: 16 Just like it on record that, love the 17 I mean a Mega project in one parish could 18 be 50 million. 19 MR. MILLER: 20 Yes, true. 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Until such time that they start receiving 23 the coffers. 24 MR. MOSS: 25 And on the LNG, it's not even though 26 it qualifies as a Mega project, it's not		Page 82		Page 84
3 couple on hold. 4 And so when this pause gets lifted, and 5 I'm saying that out loud, when that pause gets 6 lifted 6 MS. JOHNSON: 7 MS. PORTER: 7 No, 100 million. 8 Right. 8 MS. VILLA: 9 MR. MOSS: 9 One hundred million. Oh, in this 10 then we need to see what we can do to 11 possibly help these people. 11 11 possibly help these people. 12 12 MR. MOSS: 12 13 Okay. 13 to constitute a Mega project. 14 MR. MOSS: 14 MR. MILLER: 15 And I'd like I know you've got to 16 bring it back to the Governor's office, but 17 I'd just like it on record that, love the 18 employment, love the expansion of jobs and 19 wages, but how do we help protect them because 20 20 Yes, true. 21 MS. VILLA: 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Until such time that they start receiving 23 the coffers. 23 MR. MILLER: 24 MR. MOSS: 24 And on the LNG, it's not even though 25 Yes, ma'am.	1	right there. That's them, and they're dealing	1	today's world
And so when this pause gets lifted, and I'm saying that out loud, when that pause gets lifted MS. PORTER: No, 100 million. Right. MR. MOSS: One hundred jobs? MS. JOHNSON: No, 100 million. MS. VILLA: One hundred million. Oh, in this Okay, that's the other program. But so we're looking at that, right, to determine what's the best, you know, term of Mega, what's going to constitute a Mega project.  MR. MOSS: MR. MILLER: Because on MR. MILLER: T'd just like it on record that, love the employment, love the expansion of jobs and wages, but how do we help protect them because or  MS. VILLA: Until such time that they start receiving the coffers.  MR. MOSS: And on the LNG, it's not even though it qualifies as a Mega project, it's not	2	with all these projects and they've got a	2	MS. JOHNSON:
5 I'm saying that out loud, when that pause gets 6 lifted 7 MS. PORTER: 7 No, 100 million. 8 Right. 9 MR. MOSS: 9 One hundred million. Oh, in this 10 then we need to see what we can do to 11 possibly help these people. 11 looking at that, right, to determine what's 12 MS. PORTER: 12 the best, you know, term of Mega, what's going 13 Okay. 14 MR. MOSS: 15 And I'd like I know you've got to 16 bring it back to the Governor's office, but 17 I'd just like it on record that, love the 18 employment, love the expansion of jobs and 19 wages, but how do we help protect them because 20 21 MS. VILLA: 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Until such time that they start receiving 23 the coffers. 24 MR. MOSS: 25 Yes, ma'am. 26 One hundred jobs? MS. JOHNSON: 4 MS. JOHNSON: 4 MS. VILLA: 5 One hundred jobs? MS. JOHNSON: 4 MS. VILLA: 5 One hundred jobs? MS. JOHNSON: 4 MS. VILLA: 5 One hundred jobs? MS. JOHNSON: 4 MS. VILLA: 5 One hundred jobs? MS. JOHNSON: 4 MS. VILLA: 5 One hundred jobs? MS. JOHNSON: 5 MS. JOHNSON: 5 MS. JOHNSON: 5 MS. VILLA: 5 Done hundred jobs? 6 MS. JOHNSON: 5 MS. VILLA: 5 One hundred jobs? 6 MS. JOHNSON: 5 MS. VILLA: 5 MS. VILLA: 5 Done hundred jobs? 6 MS. JOHNSON: 6 MS. VILLA: 6 MS. VILLA: 7 I dokay, that's the other program. But so we're 10 looking at that, right, to determine what's 10 the best, you know, term of Mega, what's going 11 to constitute a Mega project. 11 MR. MILLER: 12 MS. VILLA: 13 I mean a Mega project in one parish could 14 be 50 million. 18 be 50 million. 19 Wages, but how do we help protect them because 20 Yes, true. 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Until such time that they start receiving 23 Exactly. 24 And on the LNG, it's not even though 25 it qualifies as a Mega project, it's not	3	couple on hold.	3	It's actually only 100.
6 lifted 7 MS. PORTER: 7 No, 100 million. 8 Right. 9 MR. MOSS: 9 One hundred million. Oh, in this 10 then we need to see what we can do to 11 possibly help these people. 11 looking at that, right, to determine what's 12 MS. PORTER: 12 the best, you know, term of Mega, what's going 13 Okay. 13 to constitute a Mega project. 14 MR. MOSS: 15 And I'd like I know you've got to 16 bring it back to the Governor's office, but 17 I'd just like it on record that, love the 18 employment, love the expansion of jobs and 19 wages, but how do we help protect them because 20 21 MS. VILLA: 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Until such time that they start receiving 23 the coffers. 24 MR. MOSS: 24 And on the LNG, it's not even though 25 Yes, ma'am. 26 One hundred million. 27 No, 100 million. 8 MS. VILLA: 9 One hundred million. Oh, in this 10 okay, that's the other program. But so we're 10 okay, that's the other program. But so we're 10 okay, that's the other program. But so we're 11 looking at that, right, to determine what's 11 looking at that, right, to determine what's 12 the best, you know, term of Mega, what's going 13 to constitute a Mega project. 14 MR. MILLER: 15 Because on 16 MS. VILLA: 17 I mean a Mega project in one parish could 18 be 50 million. 19 WR. MILLER: 20 Yes, true. 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Exactly. 23 MR. MILLER: 24 And on the LNG, it's not even though 25 it qualifies as a Mega project, it's not	4	And so when this pause gets lifted, and	4	MS. VILLA:
7MS. PORTER:7No, 100 million.8Right.8MS. VILLA:9MR. MOSS:9One hundred million. Oh, in this10 then we need to see what we can do to10okay, that's the other program. But so we're11possibly help these people.11looking at that, right, to determine what's12MS. PORTER:12the best, you know, term of Mega, what's going13Okay.13to constitute a Mega project.14MR. MOSS:14MR. MILLER:15And I'd like I know you've got to15Because on16bring it back to the Governor's office, but16MS. VILLA:17I'd just like it on record that, love the17I mean a Mega project in one parish could18employment, love the expansion of jobs and18be 50 million.19wages, but how do we help protect them because19MR. MILLER:20Yes, true.21MS. VILLA:21MS. VILLA:22Until such time that they start receiving22Exactly.23the coffers.23MR. MILLER:24MR. MOSS:24And on the LNG, it's not even though25Yes, ma'am.25it qualifies as a Mega project, it's not	5		5	One hundred jobs?
8 Right. 9 MR. MOSS: 9 One hundred million. Oh, in this 10 then we need to see what we can do to 11 possibly help these people. 12 MS. PORTER: 13 Okay. 14 MR. MOSS: 15 And I'd like I know you've got to 16 bring it back to the Governor's office, but 17 I'd just like it on record that, love the 18 employment, love the expansion of jobs and 19 wages, but how do we help protect them because 20 21 MS. VILLA: 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Until such time that they start receiving 23 the coffers. 24 MR. MOSS: 25 Yes, ma'am. 28 MS. VILLA: 29 One hundred million. Oh, in this 20 okay, that's the other program. But so we're 20 looking at that, right, to determine what's 21 the best, you know, term of Mega, what's going 22 the best, you know, term of Mega, what's going 23 to constitute a Mega project. 26 MR. MILLER: 27 I mean a Mega project in one parish could 28 be 50 million. 29 Wes, true. 20 Yes, true. 20 Yes, true. 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Until such time that they start receiving 23 the coffers. 24 MR. MOSS: 25 And on the LNG, it's not even though 26 it qualifies as a Mega project, it's not	6	lifted	6	MS. JOHNSON:
9 MR. MOSS: then we need to see what we can do to 10 okay, that's the other program. But so we're 11 possibly help these people. 11 looking at that, right, to determine what's 12 the best, you know, term of Mega, what's going 13 Okay. 14 MR. MOSS: 15 And I'd like I know you've got to 16 bring it back to the Governor's office, but 17 I'd just like it on record that, love the 18 employment, love the expansion of jobs and 19 wages, but how do we help protect them because 20 21 MS. VILLA: 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Until such time that they start receiving 23 the coffers. 24 MR. MOSS: 25 Yes, ma'am. 29 One hundred million. Oh, in this 10 okay, that's the other program. But so we're 10 okay, that's the other program. But so we're 11 looking at that, right, to determine what's 12 the best, you know, term of Mega, what's going 13 to constitute a Mega project. 14 MR. MILLER: 15 Because on 16 MS. VILLA: 17 I mean a Mega project in one parish could 18 be 50 million. 19 MR. MILLER: 20 Yes, true. 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Exactly. 23 the coffers. 24 MR. MOSS: 25 MR. MILLER: 26 And on the LNG, it's not even though 27 it qualifies as a Mega project, it's not	7	MS. PORTER:	7	No, 100 million.
then we need to see what we can do to possibly help these people.  MS. PORTER:  MS. PORTER:  Chapter of Mg. MOSS:  And I'd like I know you've got to bring it back to the Governor's office, but  Tid just like it on record that, love the employment, love the expansion of jobs and employment, love the expansion of jobs and wages, but how do we help protect them because  MS. VILLA:  MS	8	Right.	8	MS. VILLA:
11 looking at that, right, to determine what's 12 MS. PORTER: 13 Okay. 14 MR. MOSS: 15 And I'd like I know you've got to 16 bring it back to the Governor's office, but 17 I'd just like it on record that, love the 18 employment, love the expansion of jobs and 19 wages, but how do we help protect them because 20 21 MS. VILLA: 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Until such time that they start receiving 23 the coffers. 24 MR. MOSS: 25 MR. MILLER: 26 And On the LNG, it's not even though 27 It qualifies as a Mega project, it's not	9	MR. MOSS:	9	One hundred million. Oh, in this
12 MS. PORTER: 13 Okay. 14 MR. MOSS: 15 And I'd like I know you've got to 16 bring it back to the Governor's office, but 17 I'd just like it on record that, love the 18 employment, love the expansion of jobs and 19 wages, but how do we help protect them because 20 21 MS. VILLA: 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Until such time that they start receiving 23 the coffers. 24 MR. MOSS: 25 Yes, ma'am. 26 the best, you know, term of Mega, what's going 16 to constitute a Mega project. 17 If because on 18 MS. VILLA: 19 MS. VILLA: 19 MR. MILLER: 20 Yes, true. 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Exactly. 23 MR. MILLER: 24 MR. MOSS: 25 And on the LNG, it's not even though 26 it qualifies as a Mega project, it's not	10	then we need to see what we can do to	10	okay, that's the other program. But so we're
Okay.  13 to constitute a Mega project.  14 MR. MOSS:  15 And I'd like I know you've got to  16 bring it back to the Governor's office, but  17 I'd just like it on record that, love the  18 employment, love the expansion of jobs and  19 wages, but how do we help protect them because  20  21 MS. VILLA:  21 MS. VILLA:  22 Until such time that they start receiving  23 the coffers.  24 MR. MOSS:  25 Yes, ma'am.  13 to constitute a Mega project.  14 MR. MILLER:  15 Because on  16 MS. VILLA:  17 I mean a Mega project in one parish could  18 be 50 million.  19 MR. MILLER:  20 Yes, true.  21 MS. VILLA:  22 Exactly.  23 MR. MILLER:  24 And on the LNG, it's not even though  25 it qualifies as a Mega project, it's not	1		11	looking at that, right, to determine what's
14 MR. MOSS: 15 And I'd like I know you've got to 16 bring it back to the Governor's office, but 17 I'd just like it on record that, love the 18 employment, love the expansion of jobs and 19 wages, but how do we help protect them because 20 20 Yes, true. 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Until such time that they start receiving 23 the coffers. 24 MR. MOSS: 25 Yes, ma'am. 26 MR. MILLER: 27 MR. MILLER: 28 MR. MILLER: 29 MR. MILLER: 20 MR. MILLER: 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Exactly. 23 MR. MILLER: 24 And on the LNG, it's not even though 25 it qualifies as a Mega project, it's not				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
15 And I'd like I know you've got to 16 bring it back to the Governor's office, but 17 I'd just like it on record that, love the 18 employment, love the expansion of jobs and 19 wages, but how do we help protect them because 20 20 Yes, true. 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Until such time that they start receiving 23 the coffers. 24 MR. MOSS: 25 Yes, ma'am. 26 MS. VILLA: 27 Because on 28 MS. VILLA: 29 I mean a Mega project in one parish could 29 be 50 million. 20 Yes, true. 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Exactly. 23 MR. MILLER: 24 And on the LNG, it's not even though 25 it qualifies as a Mega project, it's not	13	Okay.	13	to constitute a Mega project.
bring it back to the Governor's office, but I'd just like it on record that, love the employment, love the expansion of jobs and wages, but how do we help protect them because  When the content of the	14	MR. MOSS:	14	MR. MILLER:
17 I'd just like it on record that, love the 18 employment, love the expansion of jobs and 19 wages, but how do we help protect them because 20 20 MS. VILLA: 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Until such time that they start receiving 23 the coffers. 24 MR. MOSS: 25 Yes, ma'am. 26 I mean a Mega project in one parish could 27 be 50 million. 28 MR. MILLER: 29 Yes, true. 20 Yes, true. 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Exactly. 23 MR. MILLER: 24 And on the LNG, it's not even though 25 it qualifies as a Mega project, it's not	15	And I'd like I know you've got to	15	Because on
employment, love the expansion of jobs and wages, but how do we help protect them because 20 20 MS. VILLA: 21 MS. VILLA: 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Until such time that they start receiving 22 Exactly. 23 the coffers. 23 MR. MILLER: 24 MR. MOSS: 24 And on the LNG, it's not even though 25 Yes, ma'am. 26 Is 50 million. 19 MR. MILLER: 20 Yes, true. 21 MS. VILLA: 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Exactly. 22 Exactly. 23 MR. MILLER: 24 And on the LNG, it's not even though 25 it qualifies as a Mega project, it's not	16	bring it back to the Governor's office, but	16	MS. VILLA:
wages, but how do we help protect them because  Wages, but how do we help protect them because  Wages, but how do we help protect them because  Wages, but how do we help protect them because  Wages, but how do we help protect them because  Yes, true.  Wages, but how do we help protect them because  Yes, true.  Wages, true.  Wages, but how do we help protect them because  Yes, true.  Wages, but how do we help protect them because  Yes, true.  Wages, true.  Was VILLA:  Was WILLER:  Was And on the LNG, it's not even though  Yes, ma'am.  Wages, but how do we help protect them because  Yes, true.  Was VILLA:  Was VILLA:  Was And on the LNG, it's not even though  it qualifies as a Mega project, it's not	17	I'd just like it on record that, love the	17	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
20 Yes, true. 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Until such time that they start receiving 23 the coffers. 24 MR. MOSS: 25 Yes, ma'am. 20 Yes, true. 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Exactly. 23 MR. MILLER: 24 And on the LNG, it's not even though 25 it qualifies as a Mega project, it's not	1	employment, love the expansion of jobs and	18	
21 MS. VILLA: 22 Until such time that they start receiving 23 the coffers. 24 MR. MOSS: 25 Yes, ma'am. 21 MS. VILLA: 22 Exactly. 23 MR. MILLER: 24 And on the LNG, it's not even though 25 it qualifies as a Mega project, it's not		wages, but how do we help protect them because		MR. MILLER:
22Until such time that they start receiving22Exactly.23the coffers.23MR. MILLER:24MR. MOSS:24And on the LNG, it's not even though25Yes, ma'am.25it qualifies as a Mega project, it's not	20		20	Yes, true.
23the coffers.23MR. MILLER:24MR. MOSS:24And on the LNG, it's not even though25Yes, ma'am.25it qualifies as a Mega project, it's not	21	MS. VILLA:	21	MS. VILLA:
24 MR. MOSS: 24 And on the LNG, it's not even though 25 Yes, ma'am. 25 it qualifies as a Mega project, it's not	22	Until such time that they start receiving	22	Exactly.
25 Yes, ma'am. 25 it qualifies as a Mega project, it's not	23	the coffers.	23	MR. MILLER:
	24	MR. MOSS:	24	And on the LNG, it's not even though
Page 83 Page 85	25		25	
		Page 83		Page 85

2 MR. MOSS: 3 I was looking 4 MS. VILLA: 5 Right. That's exactly right. 6 MR. MILLER: 6 Nat MILLER: 7 So it changes it dramatically. 8 MS. VILLA: 8 MS. VILLA: 9 Yeab. So we are looking to define what's 9 going to constitute a Mega project. 10 I MR. MILLER: 11 That's exactly right. 12 Thank you. 12 Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN JONES: 14 Since we're going there before I do 14 that, Ms. Ardoin? 15 that, Ms. Ardoin? 16 MS. ARDOIN: 17 Sure. Yes. Okay. So I am new to the 18 Committee, just as Mr. Polozola, so if I 19 should know this, I apologize. But are we 20 adding LWC or have they always been involved? 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Adding. 23 MS. ARDOIN: 24 Can you help me understand? 25 code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would be help us. 26 Thank you. 27 MS. ARDOIN: 28 We're adding it because this is a program of or manufacturers. And right now, the NAICS 3 code is self-reported and there's no way for us to verify that that's their actual NAICS 3 MS. ARDOIN: 4 Thank you. 4 Thank you. 5 Thank you. 5 Thank you. 6 THAIRMAN JONES: 7 MS. ARDOIN: 9 MS. ARDOIN: 10 Right. 11 MS. PORTER: 11 MS. PORTER: 12 Yes. 13 MS. ARDOIN: 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 MS. ARDOIN: 17 MS. ARDOIN: 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 So they's basically checking the code? 19 MS. ARDOIN: 10 MS. ARDOIN: 11 MS. PORTER: 11 MS. PORTER: 12 Yes. 13 MS. ARDOIN: 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 MS. ARDOIN: 17 MS. ARDOIN: 18 CHAIRMAN JONES: 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 MS. ARDOIN: 10 MS. ARDOIN: 10 Thank you. 11 MS. PORTER: 12 Yes. 13 MS. ARDOIN: 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 MS. ARDOIN: 17 MS. ARDOIN: 18 MS. ARDOIN: 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 MS. ARDOIN: 10 MS. ARDOIN: 10 MS. ARDOIN: 10 MS. ARDOIN: 11 MR. MILLAR: 11 MS. PORTER: 11 MS. PORTER: 12 Yes. 13 MS. ARDOIN: 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 MS. JOHNSON: 17 MS. ARDOIN: 18 MS. ARDOIN: 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 MS. ARDOIN: 10 MS. ARDOIN: 10 MS. ARDOIN: 10 MS. ARDOIN: 11 MS. PORTER: 11 MS. PORTER: 12 Yes. 13 MS. ARDOIN: 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN JONE		20		CVI A VENA A N. VONEG
A with the constitutional definition of manufacturing, which as painful as it is to mean, it is that manufacturing, which as painful as it is to read, it is still three. And to me, it's possible that you may not have a manufacturing of manufacturing of the constitutional definition of manufacturing of mean, which as painful as it is to mean, it's still three. And to me, it's possible that you may not have a manufacturing on NAICS code, but yet could somehow be	1	20 percent, it's seven.	1	CHAIRMAN JONES:
MS. VILLA: Right. That's exactly right.   5   6   MR. MILLER:   6   6   MR. MILLER:   6   7   So it changes it dramatically.   7   NAICS code, but yet could somehow be   8   MS. VILLA:   9   Yeah. So we are looking to define what's   9   Correct.   CHAIRMAN JONES:   11   Under the constitution of manufacturing under the constitution.   MS. VILLA:   11   Under the constitution.   MS. VILLA:   11   Under the constitution of manufacturing under the constitution.   MS. VILLA:   NS. JOHNSON:   16   MS. ARDOIN:   16   MS. ARDOIN:   17   Sure. Yes. Okay. So I am new to the   17   If they don't have a manufacturing NAICS code, in the part of a verification.   18   CHAIRMAN JONES:   18   If they don't have a manufacturing NAICS code, in they are a manufacturing NAICS code, in the part of a verification.   18   If they don't have a manufacturing NAICS code, in they are a manufacturing NAICS code, in they are a manufacturing NAICS code, in they are a manufacturing NAICS code, in the part of a verification.   18   If they don't have a manufacturing NAICS code, in they are a good idea. But my question becomes, Page 88   1   We're adding it because this is a program for manufacturiers. And right now, the NAICS code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would help with the part of the definition of manufacturing NAICS code, but yet they somehow be even and the part of the par				
5 Right. Thar's exactly right. 6 MR. MILLER: 7 So it changes it dramatically. 8 MS. VILLA: 8 MS. VILLA: 9 Yeah. So we are looking to define what's 9 going to constitute a Mega project. 10 II MR. MILLER: 11 Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 13 MS. ARDOIN: 16 MS. ARDOIN: 17 Sure. Yes. Okay. So I am new to the 18 Committee, just as Mr. Polozola, so if I 19 should know this, I apologize. But are we 20 adding I.WC or have they always been involved? 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Adding. 23 MS. ARDOIN: 24 Can you help me understand? 25 MS. ARDOIN: 26 Can you help me understand? 27 Chairman And right now, the NAICS 28 code is self-reported and there's no way for 29 us to verify that that's their actual NAICS 5 code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would help jus. 8 So they're basically checking the code? 9 MS. JOHNSON: 10 Right. 11 MS. ARDOIN: 12 Assuming. — I've had in my — my mental model help jus. 13 MS. ARDOIN: 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 I'm so gold idea. But my question becomes, Page 88 17 Board decide. Now the problem with that is, it is a program for manufacturing. 18 So they're basically checking the code? 19 MS. JOHNSON: 10 Right. 10 MS. ARDOIN: 11 MS. PORTER: 12 Yes. 13 MS. ARDOIN: 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 I'm so gold you asked that question for me. As 17 MS. ARDOIN: 18 So toy're basically checking the code? 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 MS. ARDOIN: 10 Fight. 10 MS. ARDOIN: 10 Fight. 11 MS. PORTER: 11 MS. PORTER: 11 MS. ARDOIN: 12 Yes. 13 MS. ARDOIN: 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 I'm so glad you asked that question for me. As 17 MS. ARDOIN: 18 MS. ARDOIN: 19 MS. ARDOIN: 20 Sorry. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 No, n		<u> </u>		-
6 MR. MILLER: 7 So it changes it dramatically. 8 MS. VILLA: 9 Yeah. So we are looking to define what's 10 going to constitute a Mega project. 11 MR. MILLER: 12 Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN JONES: 14 Since we're going there before I do 15 that, Ms. Ardoin? 16 MS. ARDOIN: 17 Sure. Yes. Okay. So I am new to the 18 Committee, just as Mr. Polozola, so if I 19 should know this, I apologize. But are we 20 adding LWC or have they always been involved? 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Adding. 23 MS. ARDOIN: 24 Can you help me understand? 25 MS. JOHNSON: 26 Fage 86 27 We're adding it because this is a program. 27 If we're adding it because this is a program. 28 MS. ARDOIN: 29 To manufacturers. And right now, the NAICS code is self-reported and there's no way for us to verify that that's their actual NAICS 3 code is self-reported and there's no way for us to verify that that's their actual NAICS 4 Us to verify that that's their actual NAICS 5 code ow har's assigned by L.WC, so that would help us. 6 NS. ARDOIN: 7 MS. ARDOIN: 8 So they're basically checking the code? 9 MS. JOHNSON: 9 MS. ARDOIN: 10 Right. 11 MS. PORTER: 11 MS. PORTER: 12 Yes. 12 Yes. 13 MS. ARDOIN: 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 Thos god idea. But my question becomes, Page 88 17 MS. ARDOIN: 18 So they're basically checking the code? 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 Process. And as 'Pe told you all, I want to minimize subjectivity to the degree we can. 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 Process. And as 'Pe told you all, I want to minimize subjectivity to the degree we can. 19 MS. ARDOIN:				
So it changes it dramatically.   So MS. VIIL.A:   So W. VIILA:				
8 MS. VILLA: 9 Yeah. So we are looking to define what's 10 going to constitute a Mega project. 11 MR. MILLER: 12 Thank you. 13 MS. VILLA: 14 Since we're going there before I do 15 that, Ms. Ardoin? 16 MS. ARDOIN: 17 Sure. Yes. Okay. So I am new to the 18 Committee, just as Mr. Polozola, so if I 19 should know this, I apologize. But are we 20 adding LWC or have they always been involved? 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Adding. 23 MS. ARDOIN: 24 Can you help me understand? 25 MS. JOHNSON: 26 MS. JOHNSON: 27 MS. JOHNSON: 28 Right. 29 We're adding it because this is a program 29 for manufacturers. And right now, the NAICS 30 code is self-reported and there's no way for code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would help us. 31 MS. ARDOIN: 32 So they're basically checking the code? 33 MS. ARDOIN: 44 Us to verify that that's their actual NAICS 55 code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would help us. 56 So they're basically checking the code? 57 MS. JOHNSON: 58 So they're basically checking the code? 59 MS. JOHNSON: 50 MS. ARDOIN: 51 Assuming – I've had in my – my mental model have a manufacturing, then theoretically they could come to the Board, make the case, and let the come to the Board, make the case, and let the come to the Board, make the case, and let the manufacturing, then theoretically they could come to the Board, make the case, and let the manufacturing us and s I've told you all, I want to minimize subjectivity to the degree we can. 50 MS. ARDOIN: 51 MS. ARDOIN: 52 MS. JOHNSON: 53 MS. ARDOIN: 54 MS. ARDOIN: 55 MS. ARDOIN: 55 MS. ARDOIN: 56 MS. ARDOIN: 57 MS. ARDOIN: 58 So they re basically checking the code? 59 MS. JOHNSON: 69 MS. JOHNSON: 70 MS. JOHNSON: 71 Board decide. Now the problem with that is it it obviously adds some subjectivity to the process. And as I've told you all, I want to minimize subjectivity to the degree we can. 58 MS. ARDOIN: 59 MS. ARDOIN: 50 MS. ARDOIN: 50 MS. ARDOIN: 51 MS. ARDOIN: 52 MS. JOHNSON: 53 MS. ARDOIN: 54 MS. ARDOIN: 55 MS. ARDOIN: 56 MS. ARDOIN: 57 MS. ARDOIN: 58 MS. ARDOIN: 59 M				
9 Yeah. So we are looking to define what's going to constitute a Mega project. 10 going to constitute a Mega project. 11 MR. MILLER: 12 Thank you. 13 CHARMAN JONES: 14 Since we're going there before I do 15 that, Ms. Ardoin? 16 MS. ARDOIN: 17 Sure. Yes. Okay. So I am new to the 18 Committee, just as Mr. Polozola, so if I 19 should know this, I apologize. But are we 20 adding LWC or have they always been involved? 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Adding. 23 MS. ARDOIN: 24 Can you help me understand? 25 MS. JOHNSON: 25 MS. JOHNSON: 26 ARDOIN: 27 We're adding it because this is a program for manufacturers. And right now, the NAICS code is self-reported and there's no way for 4 us to verify that that's their actual NAICS 25 ocode or what's assigned by LWC, so that would 6 help us. 26 MS. JOHNSON: 27 MS. ARDOIN: 28 So they're basically checking the code? 29 MS. JOHNSON: 30 MS. ARDOIN: 31 MS. ARDOIN: 32 MS. ARDOIN: 33 MS. ARDOIN: 34 We're adding it because this is a program for manufacturers. And right now, the NAICS code is self-reported and there's no way for 5 us to verify that that's their actual NAICS 6 code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would 6 help us. 32 MS. ARDOIN: 33 MS. ARDOIN: 34 We're adding it because this is a program for manufacturers. And right now, the NAICS code is self-reported and there's no way for 7 us to verify that that's their actual NAICS 6 code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would 6 help us. 4 We're adding it because this is a program for manufacturing NAICS code, but yet they 5 somehow believe they fit the definition of 6 come to the Board, make the case, and let the 8 board decide. Now the problem with that is, it obviously adds some subjectivity to the manufacturing then theoretically they could 6 come to the Board, make the case, and let the 8 board decide. Now the problem with that is, it obviously adds some subjectivity to the manufacturing NAICS code, but yet they 5 somehow believe they fit the definition of 6 come to the Board can specifically state which NAICS codes they want include				-
10 going to constitute a Mega project.   10 CHAIRMAN JONES:				
MR. MILLER:			-	
12 Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN JONES: 14 Since we're going there before I do 15 that, Ms. Ardoin? 16 MS. ARDOIN: 17 Sure. Yes. Okay. So I am new to the 18 Committee, just as Mr. Polozola, so if I 19 should know this, I apologize. But are we 20 adding LWC or have they always been involved? 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Adding. 23 MS. ARDOIN: 24 Can you help me understand? 25 MS. JOHNSON: 26 Page 86 27 We're adding it because this is a program or code is self-reported and there's no way for us to verify that that's their actual NAICS ocde what's assigned by LWC, so that would help us. 26 MS. ARDOIN: 27 MS. ARDOIN: 38 So they're basically checking the code? 39 MS. ARDOIN: 40 MS. PORTER: 41 MS. PORTER: 42 Pes. 43 MS. ARDOIN: 44 Us to verify that that's their actual NAICS ocde what's assigned by LWC, so that would help us. 45 MS. JOHNSON: 46 MS. ARDOIN: 47 MS. ARDOIN: 48 So they're basically checking the code? 49 MS. JOHNSON: 40 MS. PORTER: 41 MS. PORTER: 41 MS. PORTER: 41 MS. PORTER: 41 MS. PORTER: 42 Yes. 43 MS. ARDOIN: 44 Us to verify that that's their actual NAICS ocde what's assigned by LWC, so that would help us. 46 help us. 47 MS. PORTER: 48 MS. PORTER: 49 MS. PORTER: 40 MS. PORTER: 41 Thank you. 41 MS. PORTER: 41 Thank you. 41 Thank you. 42 Thank you. 43 MS. ARDOIN: 44 Thank you. 45 MS. PORTER: 46 MS. PORTER: 47 MS. ARDOIN: 48 But I don't know an amanufacturing NAICS code, but yet they somehow believe they fit the definition of minimize subjectivity to the degree we can. 46 MS. PORTER: 47 MS. PORTER: 48 But I don't know any other way to thread that needle. Has anybody smarter than me figured out a better way? 49 MS. ARDOIN: 40 MS. ARDOIN: 41 MS. PORTER: 41 MS. PORTER: 42 MS. PORTER: 43 MS. PORTER: 44 MS. PORTER: 45 MS. PORTER: 46 MS. PORTER: 47 MS. PORTER: 48 MS. PORTER: 49 MS. ARDOIN: 40 MS. PORTER: 41 MS. PORTER: 41 MS. PORTER: 42 MS. PORTER: 43 MS. PORTER: 44 MS. PORTER: 45 MS. PORTER: 46 MS. PORTER: 47 MS. PORTER: 48 MS. PORTER: 49 MS. ARDOIN: 40 MS. PORTER: 41 MS. PORTER: 41 MS. PORTER: 41 MS. PORTER: 42 MS. PORTER:				
13 CHAIRMAN JONES: 14 Since we're going there before I do 15 that, Ms. Ardoin? 16 MS. ARDOIN: 17 Sure. Yes. Okay. So I am new to the 18 Committee, just as Mr. Polozola, so if I 19 should know this, I apologize. But are we 20 adding LWC or have they always been involved? 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Adding. 23 MS. ARDOIN: 24 Can you help me understand? 25 MS. JOHNSON: 26 Fage 86 27 We're adding it because this is a program for manufacturers. And right now, the NAICS code is self-reported and there's no way for us to verify that that's their actual NAICS code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would help us. 26 MS. JOHNSON: 27 MS. ARDOIN: 28 So they're basically checking the code? 29 MS. JOHNSON: 30 MS. ARDOIN: 40 MS. ARDOIN: 41 MS. PORTER: 42 MS. JOHNSON: 43 assuming I've had in my my mental model has been, if you've got an entity that doesn't have a manufacturing NAICS code, but yet they somehow believe they fit the definition of manufacturing, then theoretically they could come to the Board, make the case, and let the Board decide. Now the problem with that is, it obviously adds some subjectivity to the minimize subjectivity to th				- 1
14 Since we're going there before I do 15 that, Ms. Ardoin? 16 MS. ARDOIN: 17 Sure. Yes. Okay. So I am new to the 18 Committee, just as Mr. Polozola, so if I 19 should know this, I apologize. But are we 20 adding LWC or have they always been involved? 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Adding. 23 MS. ARDOIN: 24 Can you help me understand? 25 MS. JOHNSON: 26 Page 86  1 We're adding it because this is a program for manufacturers. And right now, the NAICS code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would help us. 27 MS. ARDOIN: 28 So they're basically checking the code? 39 MS. ARDOIN: 40 MS. ARDOIN: 41 MS. PORTER: 42 Landing it because this is a program for manufacturers. And right now, the NAICS code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would help us. 42 Landing it because this is a program for manufacturers. And right now, the NAICS code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would help us. 43 So they're basically checking the code? 44 Us to verify that that's their actual NAICS code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would help us. 45 So they're basically checking the code? 46 MS. JOHNSON: 47 MS. ARDOIN: 48 So they're basically checking the code? 49 MS. JOHNSON: 40 MS. ARDOIN: 41 MS. PORTER: 42 Yes. 43 MS. ARDOIN: 44 Landing it because this is a program for manufacturing, then theoretically they could come to the Board, make the case, and let the manufacturing, then theoretically they could come to the Board, make the case, and let the manufacturing was process. And as I've told you all, I want to minimize subjectivity to the degree we can. 45 MS. PORTER: 46 MS. JOHNSON: 47 MS. ARDOIN: 48 So they're basically checking the code? 48 It obviously adds some subjectivity to the process. And as I've told you all, I want to minimize subjectivity to the degree we can. 46 MS. PORTER: 47 MS. JOHNSON: 48 But I don't know any other way to thread that needle. Has anybody smarter than me figured out a better way? 48 MS. JOHNSON: 49 MS. ARDOIN: 50 MS. ARDOIN: 51 MS. PORTER: 52 MS. JOHNSON: 53 MS. ARDOIN: 54 And I agree with that, frankly. I think it				
that, Ms. Ardoin?  MS. ARDOIN:  Sure. Yes. Okay. So I am new to the  Sormittee, just as Mr. Polozola, so if I should know this, I apologize. But are we adding LWC or have they always been involved? Ms. PORTER:  Adding.  MS. PORTER:  MS. JOHNSON:  Page 86  We're adding it because this is a program for manufacturers. And right now, the NAICS code is self-reported and there's no way for code or whark's assigned by LWC, so that would help us.  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ORTER:  MS. JOHNSON:  I mean the Board can specifically state which NAICS code, but yet they may believe they fit the definition of manufacturing value a manufacturing nation manufacturing nation manufacturing nation is to exclude them if they don't have a manufacturing nation.  MS. PORTER:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. OHNSON:  I mean the Board decide. Now the problem with that is, it obviously adds some subjectivity to the process. And as I've told you all, I want to minimize subjectivity to the definition of manufacturing nation.  MS. PORTER:  MS. OHNSON:  MS. OHARMAN JONES:  MS. OHNSON:  MS. OHARMAN JONES:  MS. OH				
16 MS. ARDOIN: 17 Sure. Yes. Okay. So I am new to the 18 Committee, just as Mr. Polozola, so if I 19 should know this, I apologize. But are we 20 adding LWC or have they always been involved? 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Adding. 23 MS. ARDOIN: 24 Can you help me understand? 25 MS. JOHNSON: 26 Page 86  1 We're adding it because this is a program for manufacturers. And right now, the NAICS code is self-reported and there's no way for us to verify that that's their actual NAICS code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would help us. 25 MS. ARDOIN: 26 Code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would help us. 27 MS. JOHNSON: 28 So they're basically checking the code? 39 MS. ARDOIN: 40 MS. PORTER: 41 MS. ARDOIN: 41 Thank you. 42 Thank you. 43 Thank you. 44 Thank you. 55 CHAIRMAN JONES: 66 Thank you asked that question pecuase it raised another question for me. As with in the degree we can. 67 Thank you asked that question pecuase it raised another question for me. As with in that the Board can specifically state which NAICS code, but yet they may believe they fit the definition of which half im the degree we will have a manufacturing NAICS code, it is a spord and exide. Now the problem with that is, it obviously adds some subjectivity to the degree we can. 61 The degree we can. 62 The degree we can.			14	_
17 Sure. Yes. Okay. So I am new to the 18 Committee, just as Mr. Polozola, so if I 19 should know this, I apologize. But are we 20 adding LWC or have they always been involved? 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Adding. 23 MS. ARDOIN: 24 Can you help me understand? 25 MS. JOHNSON: 26 Page 86  1 We're adding it because this is a program 27 for manufacturers. And right now, the NAICS 28 code is self-reported and there's no way for 29 us to verify that that's their actual NAICS 29 MS. ARDOIN: 30 code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would 40 help us. 40 MS. ARDOIN: 41 MS. ARDOIN: 42 So they're basically checking the code? 43 MS. JOHNSON: 44 WS. JOHNSON: 45 Code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would 46 help us. 46 So they're basically checking the code? 47 MS. ARDOIN: 48 So they're basically checking the code? 49 MS. JOHNSON: 40 MS. PoRTER: 41 MS. PORTER: 41 Thank you. 41 Thank you. 41 Thank you. 42 Yeah. 43 CHAIRMAN JONES: 44 Thank you. 45 CHAIRMAN JONES: 46 CHAIRMAN JONES: 47 WS. ARDOIN: 48 But I don't know any other way to thread that needle. Has anybody smarter than me figured out a better way? 49 MS. ARDOIN: 40 MS. ARDOIN: 41 Thank you. 41 Thank you. 42 CHAIRMAN JONES: 43 MS. ARDOIN: 44 Thank you. 45 CHAIRMAN JONES: 46 CHAIRMAN JONES: 47 MS. JOHNSON: 48 But I don't know any other way to thread that needle. Has anybody smarter than me figured out a better way? 49 MS. ARDOIN: 40 MS. ARDOIN: 41 Thank you. 41 Thank you. 42 MS. ARDOIN: 43 MS. ARDOIN: 44 Thank you. 45 CHAIRMAN JONES: 46 CHAIRMAN JONES: 47 MS. JOHNSON: 48 The definition of the which it's a good idea. But my question becomes, Page 88  49 MS. ARDOIN: 40 MS. ARDOIN: 41 The dading it because it raised another question for me. As 17 MS. JOHNSON: 41 The dading it because it raised another question for me. As 17 MS. JOHNSON: 41 The dading it because it raised another question for me. As 17 MS. JOHNSON: 42 CHAIRMAN JONES: 43 MS. JOHNSON: 44 The definition of the page was a manufacturing NAICS codes they want included. 44 CHAIRMAN JONES: 45 CHAIRMAN JONES: 46 CHAIRMAN JO			15	MS. JOHNSON:
18 Committee, just as Mr. Polozola, so if I 19 should know this, I apologize. But are we 20 adding LWC or have they always been involved? 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Adding. 23 MS. ARDOIN: 24 Can you help me understand? 25 MS. JOHNSON: 26 Page 86  1 We're adding it because this is a program for manufacturers. And right now, the NAICS code is self-reported and there's no way for us to verify that that's their actual NAICS code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would help us. 26 MS. JOHNSON: 27 MS. ARDOIN: 38 So they're basically checking the code? 39 MS. ARDOIN: 40 MS. JOHNSON: 41 MS. PORTER: 42 Yes. 43 MS. ARDOIN: 44 WS. PORTER: 45 MS. ARDOIN: 46 Code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would help us. 46 MS. JOHNSON: 47 MS. ARDOIN: 48 So they're basically checking the code? 49 MS. JOHNSON: 40 MS. PORTER: 41 Thank you. 42 Thank you. 43 MS. ARDOIN: 44 Thank you. 45 CHAIRMAN JONES: 46 Thank you. 47 MS. ARDOIN: 48 PORTER: 49 MS. ARDOIN: 40 MS. ARDOIN: 41 Thank you. 41 Thank you. 41 Thank you. 42 Thank you. 43 Thank you. 44 Thank you. 45 Thank you. 46 Thank you. 47 MS. ARDOIN: 48 Dot the degree we can. 49 MS. JOHNSON: 40 MS. JOHNSON: 41 Thank you. 41 Thank you. 41 Thank you. 42 Thank you. 43 Thank you. 44 Thank you. 45 Thank you. 46 Thank you. 47 MS. ARDOIN: 48 Thank you. 49 Thank you. 40 Thank you thereand that the median that the		MS. ARDOIN:	16	
19 should know this, I apologize. But are we adding LWC or have they always been involved? 20 It's a shortcut. I get it. 21 MS. PORTER: 21 MS. JOHNSON: 22 Right. 22 Right. 23 MS. ARDOIN: 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 Can you help me understand? 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 MS. JOHNSON: 26 MS. JOHNSON: 27 Page 86 Page 8	17	Sure. Yes. Okay. So I am new to the	17	if they don't have a manufacturing NAICS code,
20 adding LWC or have they always been involved? 21 MS. PORTER: 22 Adding. 23 MS. ARDOIN: 24 Can you help me understand? 25 MS. JOHNSON: 26 Page 86  1 We're adding it because this is a program 27 ocode is self-reported and there's no way for 28 us to verify that that's their actual NAICS 29 ocode or what's assigned by LWC, so that would 20 feb lp us. 21 MS. ARDOIN: 22 assuming I've had in my my mental model has been, if you've got an entity that doesn't have a manufacturing NAICS code, but yet they somehow believe they fit the definition of manufacturing then theoretically they could come to the Board, make the case, and let the Board decide. Now the problem with that is, it obviously adds some subjectivity to the process. And as I've told you all, I want to minimize subjectivity to the degree we can. 29 MS. ARDOIN: 30 MS. ARDOIN: 41 MS. PORTER: 42 Yes. 43 MS. ARDOIN: 45 CHAIRMAN JONES: 46 Thank you. 47 But I don't know any other way to thread that needle. Has anybody smarter than me figured out a better way? 48 MS. ARDOIN: 49 MS. ARDOIN: 40 MS. ARDOIN: 41 Thank you. 41 T	18	Committee, just as Mr. Polozola, so if I	18	it's more of a verification.
21 MS. PORTER: 22 Adding. 23 MS. ARDOIN: 24 Can you help me understand? 25 MS. JOHNSON: 26 Page 86  1 We're adding it because this is a program for manufacturers. And right now, the NAICS code is self-reported and there's no way for dust to verify that that's their actual NAICS code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would help us. 26 MS. JOHNSON: 27 ABOUN: 28 So they're basically checking the code? 3 MS. ARDOIN: 4 So they're basically checking the code? 5 MS. JOHNSON: 6 MS. JOHNSON: 7 Board decide. Now the problem with that is, it obviously adds some subjectivity to the degree we can. 8 MS. ARDOIN: 8 So they're basically checking the code? 9 MS. JOHNSON: 10 Right. 11 MS. PORTER: 11 MS. PORTER: 12 Yes. 13 MS. ARDOIN: 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 I'm so glad you asked that question because it raised another question for me. As 16 I'm so glad you asked that question because it raised another question for me. As 17 MS. ARDOIN: 18 I'm any ou thread that needle. Has anybody smarter than me figured out a better way? 17 MS. ARDOIN: 18 I'm any ou the medie. Has anybody smarter than me figured out a better way? 19 MS. ARDOIN: 20 Sorry. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 No, no, no. These are things that we 23 need to hash out here. 24 MS. PORTER: 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Right. 26 MS. JOHNSON: 27 MS. JOHNSON: 28 And I agree with that, frankly. I think it's a good idea. But my question becomes, Page 88 29 Ms. ARDOIN: 20 ASTOR THE MAIL AND I AM I assuming - I've had in my my mental model has been, if you've got an entity that doesn't have a manufacturing NAICS code, but yet they may believe they fit the definition of 24 MS. PORTER: 24 MS. PORTER: 24 MS. PORTER: 25 No, What I'm saying is they may not have a native told in my my mental model has been, if you've got an entity that doesn't have a manufacturing NaICS code, but yet they may believe they fit the definition of 26 MS. JOHNSON: 27 MS. JOHNSON: 28 MS. ARDOIN: 29 MS. ARDOIN: 20 MS. ARDOIN: 20 MS. ARDOIN: 21 MS. ARDOIN: 22 MS. PORTER: 23 MS. ARDOIN:	19	should know this, I apologize. But are we	19	CHAIRMAN JONES:
22 Adding. 23 MS. ARDOIN: 24 Can you help me understand? 25 MS. JOHNSON: 26 Page 86  1 We're adding it because this is a program 27 for manufacturers. And right now, the NAICS 28 code is self-reported and there's no way for 29 dust to verify that that's their actual NAICS 30 code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would 40 help us. 41 MS. ARDOIN: 42 Board decide. Now the problem with that is, it obviously adds some subjectivity to the process. And as I've told you all, I want to minimize subjectivity to the degree we can. 41 MS. PORTER: 42 Yes. 43 MS. ARDOIN: 44 Us to verify that that's their actual NAICS 45 code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would is help us. 66 come to the Board, make the case, and let the it obviously adds some subjectivity to the process. And as I've told you all, I want to minimize subjectivity to the degree we can. 46 MS. PORTER: 47 MS. ARDOIN: 48 It Obviously adds some subjectivity to the degree we can. 49 MS. ARDOIN: 40 MS. PORTER: 41 MS. PORTER: 41 But I don't know any other way to thread that needle. Has anybody smarter than me figured out a better way? 40 MS. ARDOIN: 41 But I don't know any other way to thread that needle. Has anybody smarter than me figured out a better way? 41 MS. ARDOIN: 42 MS. ARDOIN: 43 MS. ARDOIN: 44 But I don't know any other way to thread that needle. Has anybody smarter than me figured out a better way? 41 MS. JOHNSON: 42 MS. JOHNSON: 43 MS. PORTER: 44 MS. PORTER: 45 No, no, no. These are things that we 25 no, no, no. These are things that we 26 an ANICS code, but yet they may believe they fit the definition of	20	adding LWC or have they always been involved?	20	It's a shortcut. I get it.
23 MS. ARDOIN: 24 Can you help me understand? 25 MS. JOHNSON:  Page 86  1 We're adding it because this is a program 25 for manufacturers. And right now, the NAICS 26 code is self-reported and there's no way for 27 us to verify that that's their actual NAICS 28 somehow believe they fit the definition of 39 code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would 40 help us. 41 So they're basically checking the code? 42 MS. JOHNSON: 43 Board decide. Now the problem with that is, 44 it obviously adds some subjectivity to the 45 process. And as I've told you all, I want to 46 minimize subjectivity to the degree we can. 47 MS. ARDOIN: 48 So they're basically checking the code? 49 MS. JOHNSON: 40 MS. PORTER: 41 DAIRMAN JONES: 42 And I agree with that, frankly. I think 42 it's a good idea. But my question becomes, 42 Page 88  43 Dairmanufacturing halt in my my mental model 44 somehow believe they fit that desinition of 45 cone to the Board, make the case, and let the 46 belay us. 47 Board decide. Now the problem with that is, 48 it obviously adds some subjectivity to the 49 process. And as I've told you all, I want to 40 minimize subjectivity to the degree we can. 40 MS. PORTER: 41 DAIRMAN JONES: 42 DAIRMAN JONES: 43 DAIRMAN JONES: 44 DAIRMAN JONES: 45 DAIRMAN JONES: 46 DAIRMAN JONES: 47 DAIRMAN JONES: 48 It don't know any other way to thread 49 DAIRMAN JONES: 40 DAIRMAN JONES: 41 DAIRMAN JONES: 41 DAIRMAN JONES: 42 DAIRMAN JONES: 43 DAIRMAN JONES: 44 DAIRMAN JONES: 45 DAIRMAN JONES: 46 DAIRMAN JONES: 47 DAIRMAN JONES: 48 DAIRMAN JONES: 49 DAIRMAN JONES: 40 DAIRMAN JONES: 41 DAIRMAN JONES: 42 DAIRMAN JONES: 43 DAIRMAN JONES: 44 DAIRMAN JONES: 45 DAIRMAN JONES: 46 DAIRMAN JONES: 47 DAIRMAN JONES: 48 DAIRMAN JONES: 49 DAIRMAN JONES: 40 DAIRMAN JONES: 40 DAIRMAN JONES: 41 DAIRMAN JONES: 42 DAIRMAN JONES: 43 DAIRMAN JONES: 44 DAIRMAN JONES: 45 DAIRMAN JONES: 46 DAIRMAN JONES: 47 DAIRMAN J	21	MS. PORTER:	21	MS. JOHNSON:
24 Can you help me understand? 25 MS. JOHNSON:  Page 86  1 We're adding it because this is a program 26 for manufacturers. And right now, the NAICS 3 code is self-reported and there's no way for 4 us to verify that that's their actual NAICS 5 code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would 6 help us. 6 MS. JOHNSON: 7 Board decide. Now the problem with that is, 8 So they're basically checking the code? 9 MS. JOHNSON: 9 process. And as I've told you all, I want to 10 Right. 11 MS. PORTER: 12 Yes. 13 MS. ARDOIN: 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 I'm so glad you asked that question 17 because it raised another question for me. As 18 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 MS. ARDOIN: 10 I'm so glad you asked that question 10 MS. ARDOIN: 11 MS. ARDOIN: 12 Yesh. 13 MS. ARDOIN: 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 I'm so glad you asked that question 17 because it raised another question for me. As 18 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 MS. ARDOIN: 20 Sorry. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 No, no, no. These are things that we 22 no, no, no. These are things that we 23 need to hash out here. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Right. 26 And I agree with that, frankly. I think 25 it's a good idea. But my question becomes, Page 88  24 And I agree with that, frankly. I think 25 it's a good idea. But my question becomes, Page 88  26 assuming I've had in my my mental model 1 has been, if you've got an entity that doesn't assuming I've had in my my mental model 1 has been, if you've got an entity that doesn't assuming I've had in my my mental model 1 has been, if you've got an entity that doesn't assuming I've had in my my mental model 1 has been, if you've got an entity that doesn't assuming I've had in my my mental model 1 has been, if you've got an entity that doesn't assuming I've had in my my mental model 1 has been, if you've got an entity that doesn't assuming I've had in intity out entity they obsen, if you've got an entity that doesn't assuming I've had intity out entity the the definition of 2 base manufacturing NAICS code, but yet they	22	Adding.	22	Right.
25 MS. JOHNSON: Page 86  1 We're adding it because this is a program for manufacturers. And right now, the NAICS code is self-reported and there's no way for 4 us to verify that that's their actual NAICS 5 code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would 6 help us. 7 MS. ARDOIN: 8 So they're basically checking the code? 9 MS. JOHNSON: 10 Right. 10 minimize subjectivity to the degree we can. 11 MS. PORTER: 11 MS. PORTER: 12 Yeah. 13 MS. ARDOIN: 13 CHAIRMAN JONES: 15 Image dout a better way? 16 MS. ARDOIN: 17 MS. ARDOIN: 18 Image dout a better way? 17 MS. ARDOIN: 18 Image dout a better way? 18 Image dout a better way? 19 MS. ARDOIN:	23	MS. ARDOIN:	23	CHAIRMAN JONES:
Page 86  We're adding it because this is a program for manufacturers. And right now, the NAICS code is self-reported and there's no way for us to verify that that's their actual NAICS code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would help us.  MS. ARDOIN: So they're basically checking the code?  MS. JOHNSON: Sorry.  MS. ARDOIN:  Thank you.  CHAIRMAN JONES: This oglad you asked that question Fage 88  Page 88  Page 88  Page 88  Page 88  Page 88   assuming I've had in my my mental model has been, if you've got an entity that doesn't have a manufacturing NAICS code, but yet they somehow believe they fit the definition of manufacturing, then theoretically they could come to the Board, make the case, and let the process. And as I've told you all, I want to minimize subjectivity to the problem with that is, it obviously adds some subjectivity to the process. And as I've told you all, I want to minimize subjectivity to the degree we can.  MS. PORTER: Yes.  LHAIRMAN JONES:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS.	24	Can you help me understand?	24	And I agree with that, frankly. I think
We're adding it because this is a program for manufacturers. And right now, the NAICS code is self-reported and there's no way for us to verify that that's their actual NAICS code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would help us.  MS. ARDOIN: So they're basically checking the code? MS. JOHNSON:  Right.  MS. PORTER: Wes.  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. PORTER:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. PORTER:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. PORTER:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. PORTER:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. PORTER:  MS. JOHNSON:  Right.	25	MS. JOHNSON:	25	it's a good idea. But my question becomes,
for manufacturers. And right now, the NAICS code is self-reported and there's no way for us to verify that that's their actual NAICS code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would help us.  MS. ARDOIN: So they're basically checking the code? MS. JOHNSON: Right. MS. PORTER: Yes. MS. ARDOIN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN JONES: Time so glad you asked that question MS. ARDOIN: MS. ARDOIN: MS. ARDOIN: MS. ARDOIN: MS. ARDOIN: MS. ARDOIN: MS. PORTER: MS. PORTER: MS. PORTER: MS. ARDOIN: MS. ARDOIN: MS. ARDOIN: MS. ARDOIN: MS. PORTER: MS. PORTER: MS. PORTER: MS. PORTER: MS. PORTER: MS. ARDOIN:		Page 86		Page 88
3code is self-reported and there's no way for3have a manufacturing NAICS code, but yet they4us to verify that that's their actual NAICS4somehow believe they fit the definition of5code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would5manufacturing, then theoretically they could6help us.6come to the Board, make the case, and let the7MS. ARDOIN:7Board decide. Now the problem with that is,8it obviously adds some subjectivity to the9MS. JOHNSON:9process. And as I've told you all, I want to10Right.10minimize subjectivity to the degree we can.11MS. PORTER:11MS. PORTER:12Yes.12Yeah.13CHAIRMAN JONES:15CHAIRMAN JONES:14Thank you.14But I don't know any other way to thread15CHAIRMAN JONES:15that needle. Has anybody smarter than me16I'm so glad you asked that question16figured out a better way?17because it raised another question for me. As17MS. JOHNSON:1818I mean the Board can specifically state19MS. ARDOIN:19which NAICS codes they want included.20Sorry.20CHAIRMAN JONES:21No, no, no. These are things that we22No, what I'm saying is they may not have22No, no, no. These are things that we22MS. JOHNSON:23Right.MS. JOHNSON:<				
4 us to verify that that's their actual NAICS 5 code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would 6 help us. 7 MS. ARDOIN: 8 So they're basically checking the code? 9 MS. JOHNSON: 9 process. And as I've told you all, I want to 10 Right. 11 MS. PORTER: 12 Yes. 13 MS. ARDOIN: 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 I'm so glad you asked that question 17 because it raised another question for me. As 18 19 MS. ARDOIN: 10 MS. ARDOIN: 11 MS. PORTER: 12 I mean the Board can specifically state 15 Which NAICS codes they want included. 16 CHAIRMAN JONES: 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 I mean the Board can specifically state 19 MS. ARDOIN: 10 MS. PORTER: 11 MS. PORTER: 12 Yeah. 13 CHAIRMAN JONES: 14 The man the Board can specifically state 15 Which NAICS codes they want included. 16 CHAIRMAN JONES: 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 I mean the Board can specifically state 19 MS. ARDOIN: 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 No, no, no. These are things that we 23 need to hash out here. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Right. 26 Somehow believe they fit the definition of 26 manufacturing, then theoretically they could 27 come to the Board, make the case, and let the 28 manufacturing, then theoretically they could 29 come to the Board, make the case, and let the 29 manufacturing, then theoretically they could 20 come to the Board, make the case, and let the 29 manufacturing, then theoretically they could 20 come to the Board, make the case, and let the 29 manufacturing, then theoretically they could 20 chair that is, 21 it obviously adds some subjectivity to the process. And as I've told you all, I want to 21 minimize subjectivity to the process. And as I've told you all, I want to 22 minimize subjectivity to the process. And as I've told you all, I want to 23 minimize subjectivity to the degree we can. 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 Right.				
5 code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would 6 help us. 6 MS. ARDOIN: 7 MS. ARDOIN: 8 So they're basically checking the code? 9 MS. JOHNSON: 9 process. And as I've told you all, I want to 10 Right. 11 MS. PORTER: 12 Yes. 13 MS. ARDOIN: 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 I'm so glad you asked that question 17 because it raised another question for me. As 18 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 ms. ARDOIN: 10 Right. 11 MS. PORTER: 12 Yesh. 13 CHAIRMAN JONES: 14 I mean the Board can specifically state 15 Which NAICS codes they want included. 16 Sorry. 17 CHAIRMAN JONES: 18 I mean the Board can specifically state 19 MS. ARDOIN: 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 No, no, no. These are things that we 23 need to hash out here. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Right. 26 manufacturing, then theoretically they could come to the Board, make the case, and let the 26 come to the Board, make the case, and let the 27 manufacturing, then theoretically they could come to the Board decide. Now the problem with that is, 28 it obviously adds some subjectivity to the process. And as I've told you all, I want to minimize subjectivity to the degree we can.  MS. PORTER: 4 But I don't know any other way to thread that needle. Has anybody smarter than me figured out a better way? 4 MS. JOHNSON: 4 I mean the Board can specifically state which NAICS codes they want included. 5 CHAIRMAN JONES: 6 CHAIRMAN JONES: 7 No, what I'm saying is they may not have a NAICS code, but yet they may believe they need to hash out here. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Right. 26 MS. JOHNSON: 27 Right.			3	
6 help us. 7 MS. ARDOIN: 8 So they're basically checking the code? 9 MS. JOHNSON: 10 Right. 11 MS. PORTER: 12 Yes. 13 MS. ARDOIN: 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 I mean the Board can specifically state 17 because it raised another question for me. As 18 19 MS. ARDOIN: 10 Right. 11 MS. PORTER: 12 Yesh. 13 CHAIRMAN JONES: 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 I'm so glad you asked that question 17 because it raised another question for me. As 18 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 Which NAICS codes they want included. 20 Sorry. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 No, what I'm saying is they may not have 22 No, no, no. These are things that we 23 need to hash out here. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Right. 26 come to the Board, make the case, and let the 7 Board decide. Now the problem with that is, 8 it obviously adds some subjectivity to the 9 process. And as I've told you all, I want to 10 minimize subjectivity to the degree we can. 11 MS. PORTER: 12 Yeah. 13 CHAIRMAN JONES: 14 But I don't know any other way to thread 15 that needle. Has anybody smarter than me 16 figured out a better way? 17 MS. JOHNSON: 18 I mean the Board can specifically state 19 which NAICS codes they want included. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 No, what I'm saying is they may not have 22 a NAICS code, but yet they may believe they 23 need to hash out here. 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 Right.			4	-
MS. ARDOIN:  So they're basically checking the code?  MS. JOHNSON:  Right.  MS. PORTER:  Yes.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  I'm so glad you asked that question  Firm so glad you asked that question  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  I'm so glad you asked that question  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  I'm so glad you asked that question  MS. ARDOIN:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  I'm so glad you asked that question  MS. ARDOIN:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  I'm so glad you asked that question  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  I'm so glad you asked that question  MS. ARDOIN:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MS. JOHNSON:  I'm so glad you asked that question  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. JOHNSON:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  No, what I'm saying is they may not have  ANAICS code, but yet they may believe they  meed to hash out here.  MS. PORTER:  MS. JOHNSON:  Right.  Kin decide. Now the problem with that is,  it obviously adds some subjectivity to the  minimize subjectivity to the degree we can.  MS. PORTER:  ANAICS code, they way to thread  Thank you.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  No, what I'm saying is they may not have  a NAICS code, but yet they may believe they  a NAICS code, but yet they may believe they  meed to hash out here.  MS. JOHNSON:  Kight.		code or what's assigned by LWC, so that would	5	
So they're basically checking the code?  MS. JOHNSON:  Right.  MS. PORTER:  Yes.  MS. ARDOIN:  Thank you.  Thank you asked that question  Firm so glad you asked that question  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  The cause it raised another question for me. As  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. DORTER:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. DORTER:  MS. DORTER:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. JOHNSON:  MS. ARDOIN:  MS. JOHNSON:	6	<del>-</del>	6	come to the Board, make the case, and let the
9 MS. JOHNSON: 10 Right. 11 MS. PORTER: 11 MS. PORTER: 12 Yes. 13 MS. ARDOIN: 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 I'm so glad you asked that question 17 because it raised another question for me. As 18 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 process. And as I've told you all, I want to 10 minimize subjectivity to the degree we can. 11 MS. PORTER: 12 Yeah. 13 CHAIRMAN JONES: 14 But I don't know any other way to thread 15 that needle. Has anybody smarter than me 16 I'm so glad you asked that question 16 figured out a better way? 17 MS. JOHNSON: 18 18 I mean the Board can specifically state 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 which NAICS codes they want included. 20 Sorry. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 No, no, no. These are things that we 23 need to hash out here. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Right. 26 Right. 27 process. And as I've told you all, I want to 10 minimize subjectivity to the degree we can. 11 MS. PORTER: 12 Yeah. 13 CHAIRMAN JONES: 14 But I don't know any other way to thread 15 that needle. Has anybody smarter than me 16 figured out a better way? 17 MS. JOHNSON: 18 I mean the Board can specifically state 19 which NAICS codes they want included. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 No, what I'm saying is they may not have 22 a NAICS code, but yet they may believe they 23 need to hash out here. 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 Right.	7	MS. ARDOIN:	7	Board decide. Now the problem with that is,
10 Right. 11 MS. PORTER: 12 Yes. 13 MS. ARDOIN: 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 I'm so glad you asked that question 17 because it raised another question for me. As 18 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 MS. JOHNSON: 18 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 Which NAICS codes they want included. 20 Sorry. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 No, no, no. These are things that we 23 need to hash out here. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Right. 26 Timnimize subjectivity to the degree we can. 11 MS. PORTER: 12 Yeah. 13 CHAIRMAN JONES: 14 But I don't know any other way to thread 15 that needle. Has anybody smarter than me 16 figured out a better way? 17 MS. JOHNSON: 18 I mean the Board can specifically state 19 which NAICS codes they want included. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 No, what I'm saying is they may not have 22 a NAICS code, but yet they may believe they 23 fit the definition of 24 MS. PORTER: 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 Right.	8	So they're basically checking the code?	8	it obviously adds some subjectivity to the
11 MS. PORTER: 12 Yes. 13 MS. ARDOIN: 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 I'm so glad you asked that question 17 because it raised another question for me. As 18 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 Which NAICS codes they want included. 20 Sorry. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 No, no, no. These are things that we 23 need to hash out here. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Right. 26 Yeah. 27 Yeah. 28 Yeah. 29 Wah. 20 HAIRMAN JONES: 21 Inean the Board can specifically state 22 Which NAICS codes they want included. 23 No, what I'm saying is they may not have 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Right. 26 Right. 27 Right. 28 Porter: 29 Right. 20 Right. 20 Right. 21 No, What I'm saying is they may helieve they 20 Right. 21 MS. JOHNSON: 22 Right.	9	MS. JOHNSON:	9	process. And as I've told you all, I want to
12 Yesh. 13 MS. ARDOIN: 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 I'm so glad you asked that question 17 because it raised another question for me. As 18 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 Which NAICS codes they want included. 20 Sorry. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 No, what I'm saying is they may not have 22 No, no, no. These are things that we 23 need to hash out here. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Right. 26 CHAIRMAN JONES: 27 Right. 28 Yeah. 28 CHAIRMAN JONES: 28 Ti don't know any other way to thread that needle. Has anybody smarter than me 16 figured out a better way? 17 MS. JOHNSON: 18 I mean the Board can specifically state 19 which NAICS codes they want included. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 No, what I'm saying is they may not have 22 a NAICS code, but yet they may believe they 23 fit the definition of 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 Right.	10	Right.	10	minimize subjectivity to the degree we can.
13 MS. ARDOIN: 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 I'm so glad you asked that question 17 because it raised another question for me. As 18 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 Which NAICS codes they want included. 20 Sorry. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 No, no, no. These are things that we 23 need to hash out here. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Right. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 26 CHAIRMAN JONES: 27 Right. 28 CHAIRMAN JONES: 28 ABU I don't know any other way to thread that needle. Has anybody smarter than me 19 figured out a better way? 10 MS. JOHNSON: 11 I mean the Board can specifically state 11 Which NAICS codes they want included. 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 23 CHAIRMAN JONES: 24 No, what I'm saying is they may not have 25 Right. 26 Right. 27 Right. 28 CHAIRMAN JONES: 28 ANAICS code, but yet they may believe they 29 Right. 20 Right.	11	MS. PORTER:	11	MS. PORTER:
Thank you.  14 But I don't know any other way to thread 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 I'm so glad you asked that question 16 because it raised another question for me. As 17 MS. JOHNSON: 18 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 which NAICS codes they want included. 20 Sorry. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 No, no, no. These are things that we 22 No, no, no. These are things that we 23 need to hash out here. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Right. 26 Light But I don't know any other way to thread 15 that needle. Has anybody smarter than me 16 figured out a better way? 17 MS. JOHNSON: 18 I mean the Board can specifically state 19 which NAICS codes they want included. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 No, what I'm saying is they may not have 22 a NAICS code, but yet they may believe they 23 fit the definition of 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 Right. 26 Right.	12	Yes.	12	Yeah.
15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 I'm so glad you asked that question 17 because it raised another question for me. As 18 19 MS. ARDOIN: 19 Which NAICS codes they want included. 20 Sorry. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 No, no, no. These are things that we 23 need to hash out here. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Right. 26 that needle. Has anybody smarter than me 16 figured out a better way? 17 MS. JOHNSON: 18 I mean the Board can specifically state 19 which NAICS codes they want included. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 No, what I'm saying is they may not have 22 a NAICS code, but yet they may believe they 23 fit the definition of 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 Right. 26 Right.	13	MS. ARDOIN:	13	CHAIRMAN JONES:
I'm so glad you asked that question because it raised another question for me. As  I mean the Board can specifically state  MS. ARDOIN:  Sorry.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  No, no, no. These are things that we No. PORTER:  MS. JOHNSON:  I mean the Board can specifically state which NAICS codes they want included.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  No, what I'm saying is they may not have a NAICS code, but yet they may believe they  if the definition of  MS. JOHNSON:  Right.	14	Thank you.	14	But I don't know any other way to thread
17 because it raised another question for me. As 18 19 MS. ARDOIN: 20 Sorry. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 No, no, no. These are things that we 23 need to hash out here. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Right. 21 MS. JOHNSON: 26 I mean the Board can specifically state 27 which NAICS codes they want included. 28 CHAIRMAN JONES: 29 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 No, what I'm saying is they may not have 22 a NAICS code, but yet they may believe they 23 fit the definition of 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 Right. 26 Right.	15	CHAIRMAN JONES:	15	that needle. Has anybody smarter than me
17 because it raised another question for me. As 18 19 MS. ARDOIN: 20 Sorry. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 No, no, no. These are things that we 23 need to hash out here. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Right. 21 MS. JOHNSON: 26 I mean the Board can specifically state 27 which NAICS codes they want included. 28 CHAIRMAN JONES: 29 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 No, what I'm saying is they may not have 22 a NAICS code, but yet they may believe they 23 fit the definition of 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 Right. 26 Right.	16	I'm so glad you asked that question	16	figured out a better way?
19 MS. ARDOIN: 20 Sorry. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 No, no, no. These are things that we 22 No, no, no. These are things that we 23 need to hash out here. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Right. 29 Which NAICS codes they want included. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 No, what I'm saying is they may not have 22 a NAICS code, but yet they may believe they 23 fit the definition of 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 Right.	17		17	MS. JOHNSON:
19 MS. ARDOIN: 20 Sorry. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 No, no, no. These are things that we 22 No, no, no. These are things that we 23 need to hash out here. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Right. 29 Which NAICS codes they want included. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 No, what I'm saying is they may not have 22 a NAICS code, but yet they may believe they 23 fit the definition of 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 Right.	18		18	I mean the Board can specifically state
21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 No, no, no. These are things that we 23 need to hash out here. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Right. 21 No, what I'm saying is they may not have 22 a NAICS code, but yet they may believe they 23 fit the definition of 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 Right. 26 Right. 27 Sometimes are things that we 28 a NAICS code, but yet they may not have 29 a NAICS code, but yet they may not have 20 a NAICS code, but yet they may not have 21 Sometimes are things that we 22 a NAICS code, but yet they may not have 23 fit the definition of 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 Right.	19	MS. ARDOIN:	19	
No, no, no. These are things that we need to hash out here.  23 need to hash out here.  24 MS. PORTER:  25 Right.  20 a NAICS code, but yet they may believe they fit the definition of  24 MS. JOHNSON:  25 Right.	20	Sorry.	20	CHAIRMAN JONES:
No, no, no. These are things that we need to hash out here.  23 need to hash out here.  24 MS. PORTER:  25 Right.  20 a NAICS code, but yet they may believe they fit the definition of  24 MS. JOHNSON:  25 Right.	21	CHAIRMAN JONES:	21	No, what I'm saying is they may not have
23 need to hash out here. 24 MS. PORTER: 25 Right. 23 fit the definition of 24 MS. JOHNSON: 25 Right.	22	No, no, no. These are things that we	22	I
25 Right. 25 Right.	23	need to hash out here.	23	fit the definition of
	24	MS. PORTER:	24	MS. JOHNSON:
Page 87 Page 89	25	Right.	25	Right.
		Page 87		Page 89

1	MS. PORTER:	1	opportunity for them to go to the locals and
2	Of manufacturing.	2	make the case.
3	CHAIRMAN JONES:	3	Let the locals decide, I get that, but
4	of manufacturing. And so it's their	4	let the locals decide whether they want to
5	job to come in then and prove or make the case	5	grant an extra 20 percent exemption. I'm
6	to the Board of Industry that they should be	6	going to put that on the table.
7	considered a manufacturer.	7	MS. JOHNSON:
8	MS. VILLA:	8	I just want to point out that, that would
9	Yeah. We have had that happen today	9	be pulling jobs, and jobs
10	where they don't have an assigned NAICS code	10	MS. VILLA:
11	or they didn't provide a NAICS code of a	11	Aren't part of the
12	manufacturer, but there's a component of their	12	MS. JOHNSON:
13	operation that is manufacturing. So that	13	We aren't pulling job compliance back
14	component of their operations is eligible for	14	into it for us to be able to verify the sizes
15	an abatement.	15	of these companies.
16	CHAIRMAN JONES:	16	CHAIRMAN JONES:
17	Okay. All right.	17	All depends on how you write it. All
18	MS. VILLA:	18	depends on how you write it.
19	So we deal with that today.	19	Mr. Miller?
20	CHAIRMAN JONES:	20	MR. MILLER:
21	Okay. Okay. We're on the same page	21	As local government, I appreciate that
22	then. Okay. Now, I forgot my oh, no, no.	22	input. And for the most part, I'll say that
23	Okay. Good. So you've got Mega projects. On	23	the ugly word, pilot, and they can do a pilot
24	the other end of the spectrum, you've got	24	and do whatever they want to do with it.
25	small projects.  Page 90	25	MS. VILLA:
	1 age 70		
1	MS. VILLA:	1	And I don't think that's an ugly word to
2	That's right.	2	use, quite frankly.
3	CHAIRMAN JONES:	3	MR. MILLER:
4	And I personally believe, as y'all have	4	I know, but sometimes it gets a little
5	heard me say it to your group, is I would	5	MS. VILLA:
6	rather than have one facility with 800 jobs, I	6	No, I think that's another tool that, as
7	would rather have ten facilities with 80 jobs	7	economic developers, we have in our toolbox
8	or 80 facilities with ten jobs each. Because	8	Because, you know, as someone a lot smarter
9	to me, the smaller the manufacturing facility	9	than me explained to me, the purpose of a
10	you have, the more of those you have, the more	10	pilot, Anne, is that it can go beyond the ten
11	diversity you have in your economy, and the	11	years.
12	less likelihood that all of those are going to	12	MR. MILLER:
13	shut down at the same time.	13	Right.
14	MS. VILLA:	14	MS. VILLA:
15	Totally agree.	15	It can go to industries that aren't manufacturers. And if we truly want to
16			manufacturers And it we trilly want to
1.77	CHAIRMAN JONES:	16	*
17	So I would like to see us consider the	17	diversify our economy in the State of
18	So I would like to see us consider the possibility for a small project, what I'm	17 18	diversify our economy in the State of Louisiana, there's other industries besides
18 19	So I would like to see us consider the possibility for a small project, what I'm going to call, euphemistically, the mom and	17 18 19	diversify our economy in the State of Louisiana, there's other industries besides manufacturing. They have a great multiplier,
18 19 20	So I would like to see us consider the possibility for a small project, what I'm going to call, euphemistically, the mom and pop projects. They may only be a \$10 million	17 18 19 20	diversify our economy in the State of Louisiana, there's other industries besides manufacturing. They have a great multiplier, don't get me wrong, but the pilot has a
18 19 20 21	So I would like to see us consider the possibility for a small project, what I'm going to call, euphemistically, the mom and pop projects. They may only be a \$10 million manufacturing facility, but they're going to	17 18 19 20 21	diversify our economy in the State of Louisiana, there's other industries besides manufacturing. They have a great multiplier, don't get me wrong, but the pilot has a specific purpose. So as an economic
18 19 20 21 22	So I would like to see us consider the possibility for a small project, what I'm going to call, euphemistically, the mom and pop projects. They may only be a \$10 million manufacturing facility, but they're going to produce five or six jobs, but that extra	17 18 19 20 21 22	diversify our economy in the State of Louisiana, there's other industries besides manufacturing. They have a great multiplier, don't get me wrong, but the pilot has a specific purpose. So as an economic developer, we need to use it when it works
18 19 20 21 22 23	So I would like to see us consider the possibility for a small project, what I'm going to call, euphemistically, the mom and pop projects. They may only be a \$10 million manufacturing facility, but they're going to produce five or six jobs, but that extra 20 percent might be really important to them	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	diversify our economy in the State of Louisiana, there's other industries besides manufacturing. They have a great multiplier, don't get me wrong, but the pilot has a specific purpose. So as an economic developer, we need to use it when it works better in that for that specific project than
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So I would like to see us consider the possibility for a small project, what I'm going to call, euphemistically, the mom and pop projects. They may only be a \$10 million manufacturing facility, but they're going to produce five or six jobs, but that extra 20 percent might be really important to them to be able to make the financial model work.	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	diversify our economy in the State of Louisiana, there's other industries besides manufacturing. They have a great multiplier, don't get me wrong, but the pilot has a specific purpose. So as an economic developer, we need to use it when it works better in that for that specific project than this does.
18 19 20 21 22 23	So I would like to see us consider the possibility for a small project, what I'm going to call, euphemistically, the mom and pop projects. They may only be a \$10 million manufacturing facility, but they're going to produce five or six jobs, but that extra 20 percent might be really important to them	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	diversify our economy in the State of Louisiana, there's other industries besides manufacturing. They have a great multiplier, don't get me wrong, but the pilot has a specific purpose. So as an economic developer, we need to use it when it works better in that for that specific project than

1	And I'll tell you, the truth of the	1	Right.
2	matter is, it became much more popular in	2	CHAIRMAN JONES:
3	2016.	3	And, to me, from the Governor's
4	MS. VILLA:	4	perspective, I heard throughout his campaign
5	I totally understand. Yeah.	5	small business, small business, small
6	CHAIRMAN JONES:	6	business, and that's who I'm talking about
7	Okay. What happened in 2016? I missed	7	right now.
8	it.	8	MS. VILLA:
9	MR. MILLER:	9	That would be great. Totally agree.
10	The previous administration.	10	MS. PORTER:
11	MS. VILLA:	11	So, Gerry, for the small business, you're
12	Yeah, so I don't consider so the point	12	saying a hundred percent exemption for ten
13	is, I don't think any of us consider it an	13	years?
14	ugly word.	14	CHAIRMAN JONES:
15	MR. MILLER:	15	Potential with local approval.
16	Okay. Thank you.	16	MS. VILLA:
17	CHAIRMAN JONES:	17	For the local to approve. Okay.
18	All right. And, look, I don't disagree	18	MR. MILLER:
19	with any of that discussion.	19	The locals could actually propose it
20	MS. VILLA:	20	maybe.
21	Yeah.	21	CHAIRMAN JONES:
22	CHAIRMAN JONES:	22	I don't know, but I want us to think
23	But, again, to your point of having	23	about that
24	putting as many bullets in your belt as	24	MS. VILLA:
25	possible, I'd like to have both hands.  Page 94	25	It's great feedback.
1	Because the truth is, not every bank likes the	1	CHAIRMAN JONES:
2	idea of a local government owning the facility	2	I want us to think about that because I
3	during the pilot.	3	think it sends a message that, you know, we're
4	MS. VILLA:	4	and the truth is, for the small the
5	Totally agree. Exactly.	5	smaller the entity, the less impact on the
6	CHAIRMAN JONES:	6	locals that is going, at least in my and I
7	That's a financing issue.	7	may be thinking about it wrong, but I want
8 9	MS. VILLA:	8	I want that idea to be out there, and it's a
10	That's right. CHAIRMAN JONES:	10	bad idea. The Committee can vote it down, the
11		11	Board can vote it down, or the Governor can vote it down, but I'd like us to consider
12	Some banks are okay with it, some banks are not.	12	that.
13	MS. VILLA:	13	MS. VILLA:
14	Right.	14	Okay. No, I mean that's I think
15	Kigni. CHAIRMAN JONES:	15	that's the purpose is that we get all the
16	And so, to me, if you can have if a	16	great feedback ideas that we can bring forth
17	pilot is an option, great.	17	and go through. And one thing that I've just
18	MS. VILLA:	18	heard just, you know, I don't think it was any
19	Right.	19	specific feedback just in discussions like we
20	CHAIRMAN JONES:	20	all have with stakeholders is that that's one
21	If ITEP is an option and I can go to my	21	thing that they feel or one small
22	locals and convince them to waive the extra 20	22	manufacturers were greatly impacted by the
23	percent, I would love for us to consider that	23	previous EO. And they feel as though they are
	as a possibility.	24	ones that are just like, I'm just not going to
24			
24 25	÷ •		
24 25	MS. VILLA: Page 95	25	deal with it, so I'm not going to go after the Page 97

1	abatement. We should never have something so	1	money into their coffers to help offset it.
2	burdensome that an applicant that's eligible	2	Again, I'm not sitting up here representing
3	for something doesn't go out and get it.	3	the whole State, but I'm facing Cameron Parish
4	CHAIRMAN JONES:	4	right now with everything going on.
5	I agree. I agree.	5	MS. VILLA:
6	MS. VILLA:	6	Right.
7	Yeah. Agreed.	7	MR. MOSS:
8	CHAIRMAN JONES:	8	So we're trying to figure out what's best
9	Right. Any other questions or comments	9	for them. Because when these other LNGs come
10	from the Board?	10	on or whatever manufacturer they bring in,
11	MR. MOSS:	11	it's going to be quite burdensome on them.
12	I have one just to follow up on	12	MS. PORTER:
13	something.	13	Okay.
14	CHAIRMAN JONES:	14	MR. MOSS:
15	Mr. Moss?	15	That's it.
16	MR. MOSS:	16	CHAIRMAN JONES:
17	Something to bring back when I sent back	17	Yes, sir. Anything else?
18	and checked out notes from the meeting I had	18	Okay. Here's where we are: What we
19	with Kim Monti from the Cameron Parish Port	19	have our next meeting for this group. I
20	for the Governor on doing some type of help	20	traded emails with Ms. Porter earlier in the
21	back to the community, to the Parish or	21	day as to what LED's availability is, and I
22	whatever, some type of exemption or reduction	22	think the earliest that we can have LED
23	on the rate of the State sales tax, could they	23	participate is August the 12th. And so I
24	exempt some of that possibly for that Parish	24	would ask the Committee to take a look at your
25	at that time that a Mega project or just one	25	calendars and see if August 12th is a so
	Page 98		Page 100
1	of the regular projects is going on? That	1	I'm hearing the morning of August the 12th.
2	would be something the State could possibly	2	Is that a possibility for the remaining
3	contribute. It's just a question, Ms. Porter.	3	Committee Members?
4	I don't think anybody has an answer.	4	MS. ARDOIN:
5	MS. VILLA:	5	Yes.
6	Right.	6	CHAIRMAN JONES:
7	MS. PORTER:	7	Is it?
8	Right.	8	MR. MOSS:
9	MR. MOSS:	9	Yes.
10	But that was just an area where the State	10	CHAIRMAN JONES:
11	would have control over that, it could be at	11	Ms. Ardoin, I know those sighs.
12	least exempted back to them.	12	MS. ARDOIN:
13	MS. VILLA:	13	It's fine. It's fine. Only week off
14	Oh, exempted back to them.	14	from teaching but, no, it's fine. It's fine.
15	MR. MOSS:	15	CHAIRMAN JONES:
16	Yes, ma'am.	16	Don't worry. I don't want to take your
17	MS. VILLA:	17	vacation away from you.
18	Okay. Okay. Thank you.	18	MS. VILLA:
19	MR. MOSS:	19	No, I mean I don't want to I know that
20	And that would help with their payments	20	y'all spoke earlier, but when is the next
21	if the sales tax is there.	21	Board meeting? Is the 20
22	MS. VILLA:	22	CHAIRMAN JONES:
23	Right.	23	The 26th.
24	MR. MOSS:	24	MS. VILLA:
25	If we even reduced it, then they get more	25	The 26th or something like that?
	Page 99		Page 101

1	CHAIRMAN JONES:	1	No, absolutely. Absolutely.
2	Toward the end of the month.	2	MS. VILLA:
3	MS. VILLA:	3	He can come up.
4	So if we, I mean, considering potential	4	MR. MOSS:
5	conflicts, it sounds like, what about the	5	District Attorney Tommy Barrett for
6	following Monday or Tuesday of the following	6	Cameron Parish, and he's got his whole
7	week? I mean, because then we'll still have	7	entourage with him. Thank you, sir.
8	no, that's still bad?	8	MR. BARRETT:
9	MS. ARDOIN:	9	Mr. Moss, thank you.
10	That's a no go for me.	10	CHAIRMAN JONES:
11	MS. VILLA:	11	State your name and your position,
12	Okay.	12	please.
13	MS. ARDOIN:	13	MR. BARRETT:
14	The 12th is fine.	14	Yes, sir. Tom Barrett, District Attorney
15	MS. VILLA:	15	for Cameron Parish, appreciate the indulgence.
16	Okay. Great.	16	Maybe I wasn't supposed to speak today, and
17	CHAIRMAN JONES:	17	I'll try to be back on the 12th. Excellent
18	So the next meeting will be August 12th,	18	questions, commend your staff on what they've
19	which segues into	19	put together here. I have a lot of notes.
20	MS. PORTER:	20	I'll try to streamline it.
21	They're going to have to look for, you	21	One question, why local committees? I
22	know, also availability.	22	know some jurisdictions probably don't care
23	CHAIRMAN JONES:	23	what happens on ITEPs, but obviously Cameron
24	I'm sure there's a room some place in	24	does. So it is important to have this local
25	Baton Rouge.	25	Committee. I think the makeup, Cameron Parish
	Page 102		Page 104
1	MS. SIMMONS:	1	is fine with the makeup. It's pretty
2	We have the LaBelle Room.	2	consistent with what you had before, it just
3	MS. PORTER:	3	streamlines it, which is, again fine. But it
4	Okay.	4	is important to have local input
5	CHAIRMAN JONES:	5	MS. PORTER:
6	Excellent.	6	Yeah.
7	MS. VILLA:	7	MR. BARRETT:
8	Perfect.	8	to the extent locals want to give
9	CHAIRMAN JONES:	9	input. They don't want to, you know, they
10	Ms. Simmons comes to the rescue. Thank	10	don't have to hear from you, but I think it is
11	you.	11	important to have a voice. And although it is
12	MR. MOSS:	12	a recommendation, I trust that this Board and
13	Mr. Chairman?	13	the Governor will, you know, listen to those
14	CHAIRMAN JONES:	14	recommendations, just like he's listening to
15	Yes, Mr. Moss?	15	Industry and probably your staff and why we're
16	MR. MOSS:	16	all here today to try to make this process
17	Before you make your move for the next	17	better. So we appreciate that.
18	meeting to adjourn, I would like to take a	18	The idea of notice, and that was a great
19	everybody in this room is important.	19	point, and I had some notes. So to me, I see
20	Everybody's comments are important to us. But	20	that it could be accomplished when the
21	I probably stuck my neck out there some, and	21	application is made, the jurisdiction, local
22	the DA sitting right in front of me would like	22	is put a notice. Doesn't trigger any
23	to make a couple of comments to the Board if	23	obligations to do anything, except that now we
1	you would allow that?	24	know and we can start considering what our
24	you would allow that:		
24 25	CHAIRMAN JONES:	25	options are or what we may want to do. But
	·		<u> </u>

1	then when it gets to the Board's agenda and	1	is to be notified, so just some suggestions.
2	the 45-day, keep that in place as well. But	2	MR. POLOZOLA:
3	the initial notice, that can be very simple,	3	Okay.
4	just shoot those emails. Maybe put something	4	MR. BARRETT:
5	in your programming that the local	5	And that accomplishes and I had a
6	jurisdictions can sign up for notice. And so	6	point about the locals were not notified until
7	those who want to be notified will be notified	7	step four, which was at the Board. I just
8	immediately. Simple, to me, programming.	8	thought that was a little late. Whether it's
9	MR. POLOZOLA:	9	for consideration or to be part of the
10	I have a question.	10	process, I think to be notified earlier just
11	MR. BARRETT:	11	helps. So we do appreciate those
12	Sure.	12	considerations, those thoughts.
13	MR. POLOZOLA:	13	That was, for the most part, our input on
14	So do you think that that would help	14	the submission to you today. What I wasn't
15	speed up the process for your Parish later in	15	sure of and, you know, alluded to the
16	that 45-day window?	16	financial burden or the financial obligations.
17	MR. BARRETT:	17	And so I think the idea of small projects and
18	Oh, absolutely.	18	having the local government either being able
19	MR. POLOZOLA:	19	to recommend or to some degree say, yeah, we
20	Having the notice on the front-end, even	20	will agree to waive that 20 percent, we think
21	if it's just a heads up notice?	21	that's a good idea; because, usually, if it is
22	MR. BARRETT:	22	a small project, however we define a small
23	Sure.	23	project, it's probably going to have, I'll
24	MR. POLOZOLA:	24	say, some local flavor. It's going to have
25	Do you think it would maybe build Page 106	25	somebody local. You're going to know the Page 108
1	efficiencies into the 45-day consideration	1	people in the community. They're going to
2	period?	2	come to your Police Jury or your City
3	MR. BARRETT:	3	requesting that.
4	In my opinion, yes, absolutely.	4	And those small projects, generally, will
5	MR. POLOZOLA:	5	not have the burden on your infrastructure
6	Okay.	6	that a Mega or bigger project would have,
7	MR. BARRETT:	7	which leads me to Cameron Parish. We're
8	All right. So, primarily, in Cameron	8	fortunate, in a sense, that we have three LNG
9	Parish, we have a Police Jury, we have no	9	plants, approximately \$40 billion, with a B,
10	municipalities. Everything the Police Jury	10	assessed value on our books that are not being
11	is seven members currently run the Parish, so	11	taxed, ad valorem taxed. Our Parish is solely
12	and they meet once a month. So 45 days, man,	12	our Police Jury, School Board, Sheriff's
13	boom, we're having special meetings just to	13	office, solely financed through ad valorem
14	consider the 45 days. So if we can get notice	14	taxes. We have no local sales tax. That is a
15	on the front-end, we can start having	15	choice, historically, that our Parish has
16	conversations, whether it be at Police Jury	16	made. So property taxes, obviously, are
17	meetings or at other types of meetings, it	17	important to us. There are some so it
18	does, it would be very beneficial.	18	creates a financial burden to us.
19	And, again, to me, if the system is set	19	And when I say that, I'm the kind of guy
20	up that we can sign in and we get notice in	20	that, for instance, give you an example, our
21	that fashion so that the Board or your staff	21	contract with our waste disposal household
22	is not guessing who may want to know in that	22	pickup once a week is a per price per
22	inmigration that a instance of the		
23	jurisdiction, that's just a suggestion of how	23	household pickup. Well, when we have one of
24	it eases the burden or obligation on your	24	these Mega, I call them Mega projects, you

1 to 3.000 people or RVs coming into our Parish yeyears. All of a sudden, we're paying for an yeyears. All of a sudden, we're paying for an extra \$1,000 or 1,000 pickups. Doesn't seem 1 like much. But in a Parish of \$5,000 people, that's significant to us. 5				
Wait. Mr. Miller first.				
4 extra \$1,000 or 1,000 pickups. Doesn't seem 5 like much. But in a Parish of 5,000 people 6 that's significant to us. 7 Just, for instance, Sheriff, we go from 8 500 traffic tickets a year to maybe 4,000. 8 That's revenue, but that revenue doesn't all 10 go to the Sheriff, but he's got to put more 11 personnel and more equipment out on the road 12 for traffic safety. And those are just some 13 for instances. It goes on and on. We have 14 more kids. They bring their kids with them 15 and they go to school. 16 So those are all things that add to our 16 burden, the local costs that is not shared at 18 the State level. So to the extent that there 19 could be something, again, this might be 20 beyond the scope of what you all are doing, 21 but I have a capture of the audience, so I'll 22 take the opportunity very briefly, that is a 23 huge burden, especially to someone, the 24 smaller the community, the smaller the 25 population, the bigger the burden. So to the 26 population, the bigger the burden. So to the 27 vound file to be involved in those 28 conversations. 29 that I have a capture of for example 30 would like to be involved in those 31 conversations. 32 maybe the Parish's general fund would not be because the infristructure and other things. 33 Somehow the locals could be involved in 34 policking and choosing what is exempt and what 35 is not. Again, just an idea. There are 36 MR. POLOZOLA: 34 MR. MILLER: 35 Thank you for that information. I did 36 want to ask though, on the ad valorem, and correct me if I'm wrong, Ms. Johnson, the land is not - 26 man. Johnson. 36 MR. MILLER: 36 MR. MILLER: 36 MR. MILLER: 36 And so the value of that property where 36 In MR. MILLER: 36 And so the value of that property where 37 to them buring is and building it. 37 Then, the land immediately went on the tax 38 the LNG plant was, was I'm sure. 38 And so the value of that property where 39 to the LNG plant was, was I'm sure. 30 And that's a great question. I don't know if the road on't know and to ask though, on the advalorem	1	± • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
tike much. But in a Parish of 5,000 people, that's significant to us.  Just, for instance, Sheriff, we go from 8 500 traffic tickets a year to maybe 4,000.  That's revenue, but that revenue doesn't all 10 go to the Sheriff, but he's got to put more 11 personnel and more equipment out on the road 12 for traffic salety. And those are just some 13 for instances. It goes on and on. We have 14 more kids. They bring their kids with them 15 and they go to school.  So those are all things that add to our 16 batte level. So to the extern that there 17 could be something, again, this might be 18 beyond the scope of what you all are doing, 21 but I have a capture of the audience, so I'll 22 take the opportunity very briefly, that is a 16 huge brought spoing forward of how to lessen 4 that burden, we would really appreciate it and 18 would like to be involved in those 20 conversations.  Then, the drough, or the and valorem, and 2 correct me if I'm wrong, Ms. Johnson, the land is not - 10 MR. MILLER: 10 MR. MILLER: 11 MR. MILLER: 12 MR. MILLER: 12 MR. MILLER: 13 the Unity got to them buying it and building it. 14 Then, the land immediately went on the tax rolls. What was that value increase? What - actually, was the - not the value increase? What - actually, was the - not the value increase? What - actually, was the - not the value increase to dollar amount of the money that increased to the - 12 MR. BARRETT: 12 MR. BARRETT: 13 And that's a great question. And what 14 I alway the more kids. They bring there would more the population, the bigger the burden. So to the population, the bigger the burden. So to the population, the bigger the burden. So to the 24 smaller the community, the smaller the 25 once and 15 millings to some recommendations or some thoughts going forward of how to lessen 4 that burden, we would really appreciate it and would like to be involved in those 18 conversations. 19 millings to the population, the bigger the burden there 19 population, the bigger the burden the population, the bigger the burden th		years. All of a sudden, we're paying for an	3	Wait. Mr. Miller first.
that's significant to us.  Just, for instance, Sheriff, we go from Soft traffic tickets a year to maybe 4,000.  That's revenue, but that revenue doesn't all go to the Sheriff, but he's got to put more personnel and more equipment out on the road for instances. It goes on and on. We have more kids. They bring their kids with them and they go to school.  So those are all things that add to our burden, the local costs that is not shared at the State level. So to the extent that there could be something, again, this might be beyond the scope of what you all are doing, but I have a capture of the audience, so I'll take the opportunity very briefly, that is a huge burden, especially to someone, the smaller the community, the smaller the population, the bigger the burden. So to the extent that you have the smart people in the room can figure out some recommendations or some thoughts going forward of how to lessen that burden, we would really appreciate it and would like to be involved in those conversations.  And, again, for instance or for example would be our library. Our library is not going to suffer from an increase in traffic and so forth and so on, so maybe their millages could be wide, be exempt; whereas, 12 some thoughts going forward of how to lessen that burden, we would really appreciate it and would like to be involved in those conversations.  And, again, for instance or for example would be our library. Our library is not going to suffer from an increase in traffic and so forth and so on, so maybe their millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, 12 some thoughts going do that have a couple of other areas. So, obviously, the major facility.  Lavergne, Port Director, our Superintedent, as well as our Parish Administrator. So I'm going to turn to my Assessor. Did you hear that question?  Lavergne, Port Director, our Superintedent, as well as our Parish Administrator. So I'm going to turn to my Assessor. Did you hear that question?  Lavergne, Port Director, our Superintedent, where is any any and the top	4	extra \$1,000 or 1,000 pickups. Doesn't seem	4	MR. MILLER:
7 Just, for instance, Sheriff, we go from 8 500 traffic tickets a year to maybe 4,000. 9 That's revenue, but that revenue doesn't all 9 go to the Sheriff, but he's got to put more 11 personnel and more equipment out on the road 12 for traffic safety. And those are just some 13 for instances. It goes on and on. We have 13 more kids. They bring their kids with them 14 more kids. They bring their kids with them 15 and they go to school. 16 So those are all things that add to our 17 burden, the local costs that is not shared at 18 the State level. So to the extent that there 19 could be something, again, this might be 20 beyond the scope of what you all are doing, 20 that have a capture of the audience, so I'll 21 take the opportunity very briefly, that is a 19 muge burden, especially to someone, the 10 millage stream 10 more kindly they have the smart people in the 10 room can figure out some recommendations or 10 some thoughts going forward of how to lessen 10 and so forth and so on, so maybe their 11 millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, 12 maybe the Parish's general fund would not be 13 because the infrastructure and other things. 14 So maybe there would be an option to pick and 15 choose which millages would he exempt; maybe 19 more kids. They bring the parish s demonstrated to 19 millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, 10 maybe their 19 picking and choosing what is exempt and what 14 the 14 the 14 the 14 the 14 the 15 millages could be involved in 19 picking and choosing what is exempt and what 15 choose which millages would not. Just the 19 picking and choosing what is exempt and what 19 picking and choosing what is exempt and what 19 millages would be not piton to pick and 15 choose which millages would not. Just the 19 picking and choosing what is exempt and what 19 picking and choosing what is exempt and what 19 maybe quite the 19 picking and choosing what is exempt and what 19 maybe got 10 millages would be involved in 19 picking and choosing what is exempt and what 19 maybe got 10 millages	5	like much. But in a Parish of 5,000 people,	5	Thank you for that information. I did
8   500 traffic tickets a year to maybe 4,000.   9 That's revenue, but that revenue doesn't all 19 go to the Sheriff, but he's go to put more 11 personnel and more equipment out on the road 12 for traffic safety. And those are just some 12 for traffic safety. And those are just some 13 for instances. It goes on and on. We have 14 more kids. They bring their kids with them 15 and they go to school. 15 the LNG plant was, was I'm sure, exceptionally 16 low prior to them buying it and building it. 16 Then, the land immediately went on the tax 17 rolls. What was that value increases? What actually, was the not the value increases? I don't really care about that. What was the value increases? What actually, was the not the value increases? What actually, was the not the value increases? What actually, was the not the value increases? I don't really care about that. What was the value increase? I was 17 me, the land immediately went on the tax. Then, the land immediately went on the tax.	6	that's significant to us.	6	want to ask though, on the ad valorem, and
9 That's revenue, but that revenue doesn't all 10 go to the Sheriff, but he's got to put more 11 personnel and more equipment out on the road 12 for traffic safety. And those are just some 13 for instances. It goes on and on. We have 14 more kids. They bring their kids with them 15 and they go to school. 16 So those are all things that add to our 16 burden, the local costs that is not shared at 17 burden, the local cost that is not shared at 18 the State level. So to the extent that there 19 could be something, again, this might be 19 beyond the scope of what you all are doing, 21 but I have a capture of the audience, so I'll 22 take the opportunity very briefly, that is a 23 huge burden, especially to someone, the 24 smaller the community, the smaller the 25 population, the bigger the burden. So to the 26 population, the bigger flow of the seventh of the sunder of the sund of the sunder of the sund	7	Just, for instance, Sheriff, we go from	7	correct me if I'm wrong, Ms. Johnson, the land
10 go to the Sheriff, but he's got to put more   11 personnel and more equipment out on the road   12 for traffic safety. And those are just some   12	8	500 traffic tickets a year to maybe 4,000.	8	is not
11 personnel and more equipment out on the road 12 for traffic safety. And those are just some 13 for instances. It goes on and on. We have 14 more kids. They bring their kids with them 15 and they go to school. 16 So those are all things that add to our 17 burden, the local costs that is not shared at 18 the State level. So to the extent that there 19 could be something, again, this might be 20 beyond the scope of what you all are doing, 21 but I have a capture of the audience, so I'll 22 take the opportunity very briefly, that is a 23 huge burden, especially to someone, the 24 smaller the community, the smaller the 25 population, the bigger the burden. So to the 26 conversations. 17 And, again, for instance or for example 28 would like to be involved in those 29 going to suffer from an increase in traffic 29 and so forth and so on, so maybe there 10 and so forth and so on, so maybe there 11 millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, 12 maybe the Parish's general fund would not be 13 because the infrastructure and other things. 14 So maybe there would be an option to pick and 15 chose which millages would be exempt; whereas, 16 maybe the Parish's general fund would not be 17 some thoughts going forth and so on, so maybe their 18 millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, 19 my fire, your ambulance would not. Just the 10 thought that you could pick and choose or 11 some hought going for the that from whaterer's many parts of that, that are not exempt. 18 And so forth and so on them for like, 19 picking and choosing what is exempt and what 20 is not. Again, just an idea. There are 21 smarter people than me that know more about 22 this that I do.  23 MR. POLOZOLA:  19 MR. POLOZOLA:  19 MR. POLOZOLA:  10 MR. POLOZOLA:  11 MR. MILLER: 12 And so the value of them using the Port. 14 don't know if there are doout that mand that twate roots accould be waited, be well and the course of the Parish	9	That's revenue, but that revenue doesn't all	9	MS. JOHNSON:
11 personnel and more equipment out on the road for traffic safety. And those are just some 12 for traffic safety. And those are just some 13 for instances. It goes on and on. We have 14 more kids. They bring their kids with them 15 and they go to school. 15 So to see are all things that add to our 16 So to see are all things that add to our 17 burden, the local costs that is not shared at 18 the State level. So to the extent that there 19 could be something, again, this might be 19 could be something, again, this might be 10 beyond the scope of what you all are doing, 21 but I have a capture of the audience, so I'll 21 take the opportunity very briefly, that is a 123 huge burden, especially to someone, the 24 smaller the community, the smaller the 25 population, the bigger the burden. So to the Page 110 1 extent that you have the smart people in the 26 conversations. 14 that burden, we would really appreciate it and 27 some thoughts going forward of how to lessen 28 that burden, we would really appreciate it and 29 going to suffer from an increase in traffic and so forth and so on, so maybe their 11 millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, 12 maybe the Parish's general fund would not be be because the infrastructure and other things. 14 So maybe there would be an option to pick and 15 choose which millages would be exempt; whereas, 16 your fire, your ambulance would not. Just the 17 thought that you could pick and choose or somehow the locals could be involved in 18 picking and choosing what is exempt and what 19 picking and choosing what is exempt and what 10 is not. Again, just an idea. There are 3 smarter people than me that know more about 21 this than I do. 23 MR. POLOZOLA: 23 MR. POLOZOLA: 24 MR. POLOZOLA: 25 MR. POLOZOLA: 25 MR. Polozola.	10	go to the Sheriff, but he's got to put more	10	You're correct, land is not eligible.
for traffic safety. And those are just some for instances. It goes on and on. We have for instances. It goes on and on. We have for instances. It goes on and on. We have made for instances. It goes on and on. We have for finstances. It goes on and on. We have for finstances. It goes on and on. We have for finstances. It goes on and on. We have for finstances. It goes on and on. We have for finstances. It goes on and on. We have for finstances. It goes on and on. We have for finstances. It goes on and on. We have for finstances. It goes on and on. We have for finstances. It goes on and on. We have for finstances. It goes on and on. We have for finstances. It goes on and on. We have for finstances. It goes on and on. We have for finstances. It goes on and on. We have for finstances. It goes on and on. We have for finstances in traffic good in those of the first function of the walue of that property where the LNG plant was, was I'm sure, exceptionally to bluding it. Then, the land immediately went on the tax rolls. What a studyling it don't really care about that value increase? What - actually, was the - not he value increase? What - actually, was the - not he value increase? What -	11		11	-
for instances. It goes on and on. We have more kids. They bring their kids with them to take they go to school.  So those are all things that add to our burden, the local costs that is not shared at the State level. So to the extent that there to could be something, again, this might be to beyond the scope of what you all are doing, but I have a capture of the audience, so I'll take the opportunity very briefly, that is a take the community, the smaller the population, the bigger the burden. So to the extent that would have the smart people in the take the room can figure out some recommendations or some thoughts going forward of how to lessen that burden, we would really appreciate it and would like to be involved in those conversations.  And, again, for instance or for example would be our library. Our library is not going to suffer from an increase in traffic and so forth and so on, so maybe their millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, thought that you could pick and choose or some whe houghs could be involved in the chought that you could pick and choose or smarter people than me that know more about this Mat was that value increase? What actually, was the not the value increase? I don't really care about that. What was that value increase? What actually, was the not the value increase? What actually, was the	12		12	And so the value of that property where
14 more kids. They bring their kids with them and they go to school.	1	•	13	
15 and they go to school.  16 So those are all things that add to our 17 burden, the local costs that is not shared at 18 the State level. So to the extent that there 19 could be something, again, this might be 20 beyond the scope of what you all are doing, 21 but I have a capture of the audience, so l'll 22 take the opportunity very briefly, that is a 23 huge burden, especially to someone, the 24 smaller the community, the smaller the 25 population, the bigger the burden. So to the 26 population, the bigger the burden burden in the take the opportunity very briefly, that is a 27 some thoughts going forward of how to lessen of the take the opportunity very briefly that is a 28 smaller the community, the smaller the 29 population, the bigger the burden. So to the Page 110 the population, the bigger the burden in the population of the will be a burden in the population of the money that increased to the the community of the money that increased to the the community of the money that increased to the community of the money that increase of the community of the money that increase of the community of the money that increase of the community of the money that increased to the community of the money that increase of the co		_		
16 So those are all things that add to our 17 burden, the local costs that is not shared at 18 the State level. So to the extent that there 19 could be something, again, this might be 20 beyond the scope of what you all are doing, 21 but I have a capture of the audience, so I'll 22 take the opportunity very briefly, that is a 23 huge burden, especially to someone, the 24 smaller the community, the smaller the 25 population, the bigger the burden. So to the 26 population, the bigger the burden. So to the 27 room can figure out some recommendations or 28 some thoughts going forward of how to lessen 29 that burden, we would really appreciate it and 29 would like to be involved in millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, maybe the Parish's general fund would not be because the infrastructure and other things. 10 somehow the locals could be involved in picking and choosing what is exempt and what 20 is not. Again, just an idea. There are 21 MR. POLOZOLA: 20 rolls. What was that value increase? What - actually, was the - not the value increase, I don't really care about that. What was the don't really care about that. What was that one the money that increased to the  21 Lavergne, Port Director, our Superintendent, as well as our Parish, that is, I have my Sheriff, Sheriff Savoie here, our Assessor Scott  22 Lavergne, Port Director, our Superintendent, as well as our Parish Ad				
the State level. So to the extent that there could be something, again, this might be 20 beyond the scope of what you all are doing, 21 but I have a capture of the audience, so I'll 22 take the opportunity very briefly, that is a 23 huge burden, especially to someone, the 24 smaller the community, the smaller the 25 population, the bigger the burden. So to the Page 110    1 extent that you have the smart people in the 2 room can figure out some recommendations or 3 some thoughts going forward of how to lessen 4 that burden, we would really appreciate it and 4 that burden, we would really appreciate it and 5 would be our library. Our library is not 9 going to suffer from an increase in traffic 10 and so forth and so on, so maybe their 11 millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, 12 maybe the Parish's general fund would not be because the infrastructure and other things. 14 So maybe there would be an option to pick and 15 choose which millages would be exempt; maybe 16 your fire, your ambulance would not. Just the 17 thought that you could pick and choose or 18 somehow the locals could be involved in 19 picking and choosing what is exempt and what 20 is not. Again, just an idea. There are 21 smarter people than me that know more about 21 this hard idea. There are 22 smarter people than me that know more about 22 this kan I do. 22 mill and so forth and so forth and so and the money that is a dollar amount of the money that increased to the the could be anoption ing, 20 the 4 MR. BARRETT:  And that's a great question. And what 16 like to so this is important to us in Cameron Parish, that is, I have my Sheriff, Sheriff Savoie here, our Assessor Scott Page 112  Lavergne, Port Director, our Superintendent, Sheriff Savoie here, our Assessor. Did you hear that question?  MR. LAVERGNE:  No. I didn't. I'm sorry.  MR. MILLER:  So the and I'll ask it a little differently because you pointed out a couple of other areas. So, obviously, the manufacturing piece of the facility is going to be exempt for ten years,		• •		· ·
the State level. So to the extent that there could be something, again, this might be beyond the scope of what you all are doing, that is a buge burden, especially to someone, the smaller the community, the smaller the population, the bigger the burden. So to the population, the bigger the burden. So to the population, the bigger the burden. So to the room can figure out some recommendations or some thoughts going forward of how to lessen that burden, we would really appreciate it and that burden, we would really appreciate it and so forth and so on, so maybe their millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, maybe the Parish's general fund would not be because the infrastructure and other things. If thought that you could pick and choose which millages would be involved in picking and choosing what is exempt and what pour tour picking and choosing what is exempt and what pour tour pick and loose or smarter people than me that know more about this don't really care about that. What was the dollar amount of the money that increased to the +  ### dollar amount of the money that increased to the +  ### dollar amount of the money that increased to the +  ### dollar amount of the money that increased to the +  ### MR. BARRETT:  And that's a great question. And what I'd like to so this is important to us in Cameron Parish, that is, I have my Sheriff,  Sheriff Savoie here, our Assessor Scott  Page 112  Lavergne, Port Director, our Superintendent, as well as our Parish Administrator. So I'm going to turn to my Assessor. Did you hear that question?  #### MR. BARRETT:  And that's a great question. And what I'd like to so this is important to us in Cameron Parish, that is, I have my Sheriff,  Sheriff Savoie here, our Assessor Scott  Page 112  Lavergne, Port Director, our Superintendent, as well as our Parish Administrator. So I'm going to turn to my Assessor. Did you hear that question?  #### MR. LAVERGNE:  No, I didn't. I'm sorry.  MR. MILLER:  So the and I'll ask it a little differently because you poi				
19   could be something, again, this might be   20   beyond the scope of what you all are doing,   21   but I have a capture of the audience, so I'll   22   take the opportunity very briefly, that is a   22   huge burden, especially to someone, the   23   huge burden, especially to someone, the   24   multiply because the infrastructure and other things.   10   and so forth and so on, so maybe their   10   and so forth and so on, so maybe their   11   because the infrastructure and other things.   12   because the infrastructure and other things.   13   because the infrastructure and other things.   14   box may be the Parish's general fund would not be   15   choose which millages would be involved in   19   picking and choosing what is exempt and what   10   tis than I do.   23   What is the increased to the -   20   the				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
beyond the scope of what you all are doing, but I have a capture of the audience, so I'll take the opportunity very briefly, that is a huge burden, especially to someone, the smaller the community, the smaller the population, the bigger the burden. So to the Page 110  extent that you have the smart people in the croom can figure out some recommendations or some thoughts going forward of how to lessen that burden, we would really appreciate it and would like to be involved in those conversations.  And, again, for instance or for example would be our library. Our library is not going to suffer from an increase in traffic and so forth and so on, so maybe their millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, maybe the Parish's general fund would not be thought that you could pick and choose which millages would be involved in picking and choosing what is exempt and what is not. Again, just an idea. There are smarter people than me that know more about this than I do.  but I have a capture of the audience, so I'll And that's a great question. And what I'd like to so this is important to us in Cameron Parish, that is, I have my Sheriff, Sheriff Savoie here, our Assessor Scott Page 112  Lavergne, Port Director, our Superintendent, as well as our Parish Administrator. So I'm going to turn to my Assessor. Did you hear that question?  MR. LAVERGNE: No, I didn't. I'm sorry.  MR. MILLER: So the and I'll ask it a little differently because you pointed out a couple of other areas. So, obviously, the manufacturing piece of the facility is going to be exempt for ten years, and then there will be a huge add-on. But prior to that, there's many parts of that, that are not part of the manufacturing that are not exempt. And you did a new evaluation on them for like, i.e. the land costs. The land went from whatever it was with nothing there to now this major facility.  The Port, I don't know if there's any I don't know if there are tonnage fees or anything like that of them using the Port.  What is the increased revenue to				-
but I have a capture of the audience, so I'll take the opportunity very briefly, that is a huge burden, especially to someone, the smaller the community, the smaller the population, the bigger the burden. So to the population, the bigger the burden. So the population, the bigger the burden. So the population to population, the bigger the burden. So the population of the that question?  1				
take the opportunity very briefly, that is a huge burden, especially to someone, the smaller the community, the smaller the population, the bigger the burden. So to the Page 110  1 extent that you have the smart people in the room can figure out some recommendations or some thoughts going forward of how to lessen that burden, we would really appreciate it and would like to be involved in those conversations.  7 And, again, for instance or for example would be our library. Our library is not going to suffer from an increase in traffic maybe the Parish's general fund would not be because the infrastructure and other things.  12 to be exempt for ten years, and then there will be a huge add-on. But prior to that, there's many parts of that, that are not exempt. Your fire, your ambulance would not. Just the thought that you could pick and choose or somehow the locals could be involved in picking and choosing what is exempt and what is not. Again, just an idea. There are smarter people than me that know more about this than I do.  22 And that's a great question. And what I'd like to so this is important to us in Cameron Parish, that is, I have my Sheriff, Sheriff Savoie here, our Assessor Scott Page 112  Lavergne, Port Director, our Superintendent, as well as our Parish Administrator. So I'm going to turn to my Assessor. Did you hear that question?  4 that question?  4 Lavergne, Port Director, our Superintendent, as well as our Parish Administrator. So I'm going to turn to my Assessor. Did you hear that question?  4 that question?  5 MR. LAVERGNE:  6 Conversations.  7 MR. MILLER:  8 So the and I'll ask it a little differently because you pointed out a couple of other areas. So, obviously, the manufacturing piece of the facility is going to be exempt for ten years, and then there will be a huge add-on. But prior to that, there's many parts of that, that are not part of the manufacturing that are not exempt. And you did a new evaluation on them for like, i.e. the land costs. The land went from whatever it was		• • • •		
huge burden, especially to someone, the smaller the community, the smaller the population, the bigger the burden. So to the Page 110  1 extent that you have the smart people in the 2 room can figure out some recommendations or 3 some thoughts going forward of how to lessen 4 that burden, we would really appreciate it and 5 would like to be involved in those 6 conversations. 7 And, again, for instance or for example 8 would be our library. Our library is not 9 going to suffer from an increase in traffic 10 and so forth and so on, so maybe their 11 millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, 12 maybe the Parish's general fund would not be 13 because the infrastructure and other things. 14 So maybe there would be an option to pick and 15 choose which millages would be exempt; maybe 16 your fire, your ambulance would not. Just the 17 thought that you could pick and choose or somehow the locals could be involved in 19 picking and choosing what is exempt and what 20 is not. Again, just an idea. There are smarter people than me that know more about 22 this than I do. 23 MR. POLOZOLA:  23 I'd like to so this is important to us in Cameron Parish, that is, I have my Sheriff; Sheriff Savoie here, our Assessor Scott  Page 112  24 Cameron Parish, that is, I have my Sheriff; Sheriff Savoie here, our Assessor Scott  Page 112  Lavergne, Port Director, our Superintendent, as well as our Parish Administrator. So I'm 20 going to turn to my Assessor. Did you hear 4 that question?  MR. LAVERGNE: No, I didn't. I'm sorry.  MR. MILLER: So the and I'll ask it a little 9 differently because you pointed out a couple of other areas. So, obviously, the manufacturing piece of the facility is going to be exempt for ten years, and then there will be a huge add-on. But prior to that, there's many parts of that, that are not part of the manufacturing that are not exempt. And you did a new evaluation on them for like, i.e. the land costs. The land went from whatever it was with nothing there to now this major facility.  The Port, I do				
smaller the community, the smaller the population, the bigger the burden. So to the Page 110  1 extent that you have the smart people in the 2 room can figure out some recommendations or 3 some thoughts going forward of how to lessen 4 that burden, we would really appreciate it and 5 would like to be involved in those 6 conversations. 7 And, again, for instance or for example 8 would be our library. Our library is not 9 going to suffer from an increase in traffic 10 and so forth and so on, so maybe their 11 millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, 12 maybe the Parish's general fund would not be 13 because the infrastructure and other things. 14 So maybe there would be an option to pick and 15 choose which millages would be exempt; maybe 16 your fire, your ambulance would not. Just the 17 thought that you could pick and choose or 18 somehow the locals could be involved in 19 picking and choosing what is exempt and what 20 is not. Again, just an idea. There are 21 smarter people than me that know more about 22 this than I do. 22 MR. POLOZOLA:  24 Cameron Parish, that is, I have my Sheriff, Sheriff Savoie here, our Assessor Scott Page 112  Lavergne, Port Director, our Superintendent, as well as our Parish Administrator. So I'm 3 going to turn to my Assessor. Did you hear that question?  MR. LAVERGNE:  No, I didn't. I'm sorry.  MR. MILLER:  So the and I'll ask it a little 4 differently because you pointed out a couple of other areas. So, obviously, the 11 manufacturing piece of the facility is going 12 to be exempt for ten years, and then there 13 will be a huge add-on. But prior to that, 14 there's many parts of that, that are not 15 part of the manufacturing that are not exempt. 15 And you did a new evaluation on them for like, i.e. the land costs. The land went from 15 whatever it was with nothing there to now this 16 major facility.  The Port, I don't know if there are tonnage fees or anything like that of them using the Port.				
population, the bigger the burden. So to the Page 110  extent that you have the smart people in the croom can figure out some recommendations or some thoughts going forward of how to lessen that burden, we would really appreciate it and would like to be involved in those conversations.  And, again, for instance or for example would be our library. Our library is not going to suffer from an increase in traffic and so forth and so on, so maybe their millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, maybe the Parish's general fund would not be because the infrastructure and other things. So maybe there would be an option to pick and choose which millages would be exempt; maybe your fire, your ambulance would not. Just the thought that you could pick and choose or somehow the locals could be involved in picking and choosing what is exempt and what is not. Again, just an idea. There are smarter people than me that know more about this than I do.  Sheriff Savoie here, our Assessor Scott Page 112  Lavergne, Port Director, our Superintendent, as well as our Parish Administrator. So I'm going to turn to my Assessor. Did you hear that question?  MR. LAVERGNE: No, I didn't. I'm sorry.  MR. MILLER: So the and I'll ask it a little differently because you pointed out a couple of other areas. So, obviously, the manufacturing piece of the facility is going to be exempt for ten years, and then there will be a huge add-on. But prior to that, there's many parts of that, that are not part of the manufacturing that are not exempt. And you did a new evaluation on them for like, i.e. the land costs. The land went from whatever it was with nothing there to now this major facility.  The Port, I don't know if there's any I don't know if there are tonnage fees or anything like that of them using the Port.  MR. POLOZOLA:				_
Page 110  1 extent that you have the smart people in the 2 room can figure out some recommendations or 3 some thoughts going forward of how to lessen 4 that burden, we would really appreciate it and 5 would like to be involved in those 6 conversations. 6 conversations. 7 And, again, for instance or for example 8 would be our library. Our library is not 9 going to suffer from an increase in traffic 10 and so forth and so on, so maybe their 11 millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, 12 maybe the Parish's general fund would not be 13 because the infrastructure and other things. 14 So maybe there would be an option to pick and 15 choose which millages would be exempt; maybe 16 your fire, your ambulance would not. Just the 17 thought that you could pick and choose or 18 somehow the locals could be involved in 19 picking and choosing what is exempt and what 19 picking and choosing what is exempt and what 20 is not. Again, just an idea. There are 21 smarter people than me that know more about 22 this than I do. 23 MR. POLOZOLA:  Page 112  Lavergne, Port Director, our Superintendent, as well as our Parish Administrator. So I'm 20 swell as our Parish Administrator. So I'm 3 swell as our Parish Administrator. So I'm 4 swell as our Parish Administrator. So I'm 3 swell as our Parish Administrator. So I'm 4 that question?  1 Lavergne, Pot Director, our Superish Administrator. So I'm 4 that question?  2 Lavergne, Pot Divach Administrator. So I'm 4 that question?  3 So MR. LAVERGNE: No, I didn't. I'm sorry.  4 That question?  4 that question?  4				-
1 extent that you have the smart people in the 2 room can figure out some recommendations or 3 some thoughts going forward of how to lessen 4 that burden, we would really appreciate it and 5 would like to be involved in those 6 conversations. 7 And, again, for instance or for example 8 would be our library. Our library is not 9 going to suffer from an increase in traffic 10 and so forth and so on, so maybe their 11 millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, 12 maybe the Parish's general fund would not be 13 because the infrastructure and other things. 14 So maybe there would be an option to pick and 15 choose which millages would be exempt; maybe 16 your fire, your ambulance would not. Just the 17 thought that you could pick and choose or 18 somehow the locals could be involved in 19 picking and choosing what is exempt and what 20 is not. Again, just an idea. There are 21 smarter people than me that know more about 22 this than I do. 21 Lavergne, Port Director, our Superintendent, 22 as well as our Parish Administrator. So I'm 3 going to turn to my Assessor. Did you hear 4 that question? 5 MR. LAVERGNE: No, I didn't. I'm sorry.  MR. MILLER: 8 So the and I'll ask it a little 9 differently because you pointed out a couple 10 of other areas. So, obviously, the 11 manufacturing piece of the facility is going 12 to be exempt for ten years, and then there 13 will be a huge add-on. But prior to that, 14 there's many parts of that, that are not 15 part of the manufacturing that are not exempt. 16 And you did a new evaluation on them for like, 17 i.e. the land costs. The land went from 18 whatever it was with nothing there to now this 19 major facility.  The Port, I don't know if there are tonnage fees or 20 anything like that of them using the Port. 21 MR. POLOZOLA: 22 What is the increased revenue to the Parish	23		23	·
2 room can figure out some recommendations or 3 some thoughts going forward of how to lessen 4 that burden, we would really appreciate it and 5 would like to be involved in those 6 conversations. 7 And, again, for instance or for example 8 would be our library. Our library is not 9 going to suffer from an increase in traffic 10 and so forth and so on, so maybe their 11 millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, 12 maybe the Parish's general fund would not be 13 because the infrastructure and other things. 14 So maybe there would be an option to pick and 15 choose which millages would be exempt; maybe 16 your fire, your ambulance would not. Just the 17 thought that you could pick and choose or 18 somehow the locals could be involved in 19 picking and choosing what is exempt and what 20 is not. Again, just an idea. There are 21 smarter people than me that know more about 22 this than I do. 23 MR. POLOZOLA: 24 as well as our Parish Administrator. So I'm 3 going to turn to my Assessor. Did you hear 4 that question?  And question?  MR. LAVERGNE:  No, I didn't. I'm sorry.  MR. MILLER:  So the and I'll ask it a little differently because you pointed out a couple of other areas. So, obviously, the manufacturing piece of the facility is going to turn to my Assessor. Did you hear that question?  MR. LAVERGNE:  No, I didn't. I'm sorry.  MR. MILLER:  10 of other areas. So, obviously, the manufacturing piece of the facility is going to be exempt for ten years, and then there will be a huge add-on. But prior to that, there's many parts of that, that are not part of the manufacturing that are not exempt.  And you did a new evaluation on them for like, i.e. the land costs. The land went from whatever it was with nothing there to now this major facility.  The Port, I don't know if there are tonnage fees or anything like that of them using the Port. What is the increased revenue to the Parish			1	T D D D
3 some thoughts going forward of how to lessen 4 that burden, we would really appreciate it and 5 would like to be involved in those 6 conversations. 7 And, again, for instance or for example 8 would be our library. Our library is not 9 going to suffer from an increase in traffic 10 and so forth and so on, so maybe their 11 millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, 12 maybe the Parish's general fund would not be 13 because the infrastructure and other things. 14 So maybe there would be an option to pick and 15 choose which millages would be exempt; maybe 16 your fire, your ambulance would not. Just the 17 thought that you could pick and choose or 18 somehow the locals could be involved in 19 picking and choosing what is exempt and what 20 is not. Again, just an idea. There are 21 smarter people than me that know more about 22 this than I do. 23 MR. POLOZOLA:  3 going to turn to my Assessor. Did you hear 4 that question?  4 that question?  5 MR. LAVERGNE: 8 So the and I'll ask it a little 9 differently because you pointed out a couple of other areas. So, obviously, the 10 manufacturing piece of the facility is going 12 to be exempt for ten years, and then there 13 will be a huge add-on. But prior to that, 14 there's many parts of that, that are not 15 part of the manufacturing that are not 16 part of the manufacturing that are not exempt. 16 And you did a new evaluation on them for like, 17 i.e. the land costs. The land went from 18 whatever it was with nothing there to now this 19 major facility. 20 The Port, I don't know if there's any 21 I don't know if there are tonnage fees or 22 anything like that of them using the Port. 23 What is the increased revenue to the Parish				= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
that burden, we would really appreciate it and would like to be involved in those conversations.  And, again, for instance or for example would be our library. Our library is not going to suffer from an increase in traffic and so forth and so on, so maybe their millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, maybe the Parish's general fund would not be secause the infrastructure and other things.  So maybe there would be an option to pick and so maybe there would be an option to pick and so choose which millages would be exempt; maybe for thought that you could pick and choose or somehow the locals could be involved in picking and choosing what is exempt and what somehow the locals could be involved in picking and choosing what is exempt and what somehow the locals could be involved in smarter people than me that know more about this than I do.  wull diad question? MR. LAVERGNE: No, I didn't. I'm sorry.  MR. MILLER: So the and I'll ask it a little differently because you pointed out a couple of other areas. So, obviously, the manufacturing piece of the facility is going to be exempt for ten years, and then there will be a huge add-on. But prior to that, there's many parts of that, that are not part of the manufacturing that are not exempt. And you did a new evaluation on them for like, i.e. the land costs. The land went from whatever it was with nothing there to now this major facility.  The Port, I don't know if there's any I don't know if there are tonnage fees or anything like that of them using the Port.  MR. POLOZOLA:	1			
5 would like to be involved in those 6 conversations. 7 And, again, for instance or for example 8 would be our library. Our library is not 9 going to suffer from an increase in traffic 10 and so forth and so on, so maybe their 11 millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, 12 maybe the Parish's general fund would not be 13 because the infrastructure and other things. 14 So maybe there would be an option to pick and 15 choose which millages would be exempt; maybe 16 your fire, your ambulance would not. Just the 17 thought that you could pick and choose or 18 somehow the locals could be involved in 19 picking and choosing what is exempt and what 20 is not. Again, just an idea. There are 21 smarter people than me that know more about 22 this than I do. 23 MR. LAVERGNE: 6 No, I didn't. I'm sorry. 7 MR. MILLER: 8 So the and I'll ask it a little 9 differently because you pointed out a couple 10 of other areas. So, obviously, the 11 manufacturing piece of the facility is going 12 to be exempt for ten years, and then there 13 will be a huge add-on. But prior to that, 14 there's many parts of that, that are not 15 part of the manufacturing that are not exempt. 16 And you did a new evaluation on them for like, 17 i.e. the land costs. The land went from 18 whatever it was with nothing there to now this 19 major facility. 20 The Port, I don't know if there's any 21 I don't know if there are tonnage fees or 22 anything like that of them using the Port. 23 MR. POLOZOLA: 24 What is the increased revenue to the Parish				
6 conversations. 7 And, again, for instance or for example 8 would be our library. Our library is not 9 going to suffer from an increase in traffic 10 and so forth and so on, so maybe their 11 millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, 12 maybe the Parish's general fund would not be 13 because the infrastructure and other things. 14 So maybe there would be an option to pick and 15 choose which millages would be exempt; maybe 16 your fire, your ambulance would not. Just the 17 thought that you could pick and choose or 18 somehow the locals could be involved in 19 picking and choosing what is exempt and what 20 is not. Again, just an idea. There are 21 MR. POLOZOLA:  6 No, I didn't. I'm sorry.  7 MR. MILLER: 8 So the and I'll ask it a little 9 differently because you pointed out a couple 10 of other areas. So, obviously, the 11 manufacturing piece of the facility is going 12 to be exempt for ten years, and then there 13 will be a huge add-on. But prior to that, 14 there's many parts of that, that are not 15 part of the manufacturing that are not exempt. 16 And you did a new evaluation on them for like, 17 i.e. the land costs. The land went from 18 whatever it was with nothing there to now this 19 major facility. 20 The Port, I don't know if there's any 21 smarter people than me that know more about 22 this than I do. 23 MR. POLOZOLA: 24 What is the increased revenue to the Parish		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		*
And, again, for instance or for example  would be our library. Our library is not  going to suffer from an increase in traffic  and so forth and so on, so maybe their  millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas,  maybe the Parish's general fund would not be  because the infrastructure and other things.  So maybe there would be an option to pick and  so maybe there would be an option to pick and  so maybe there would be exempt; maybe  for thought that you could pick and choose or  somehow the locals could be involved in  picking and choosing what is exempt and what  smarter people than me that know more about  MR. MILLER:  MR. MILLER:  So the and I'll ask it a little  differently because you pointed out a couple  of other areas. So, obviously, the  manufacturing piece of the facility is going  to be exempt for ten years, and then there  will be a huge add-on. But prior to that, there's many parts of that, that are not part of the manufacturing that are not exempt.  And you did a new evaluation on them for like, i.e. the land costs. The land went from whatever it was with nothing there to now this major facility.  The Port, I don't know if there's any  I don't know if there are tonnage fees or anything like that of them using the Port.  MR. POLOZOLA:  What is the increased revenue to the Parish				
would be our library. Our library is not going to suffer from an increase in traffic and so forth and so on, so maybe their millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, maybe the Parish's general fund would not be because the infrastructure and other things. So maybe there would be an option to pick and choose which millages would be exempt; maybe for thought that you could pick and choose or somehow the locals could be involved in picking and choosing what is exempt and what sometime that know more about maybe there are to may parts of the manufacturing that are not exempt. And you did a new evaluation on them for like, i.e. the land costs. The land went from whatever it was with nothing there to now this major facility. The Port, I don't know if there are tonnage fees or anything like that of them using the Port. What is the increased revenue to the Parish				•
going to suffer from an increase in traffic  and so forth and so on, so maybe their  millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, maybe the Parish's general fund would not be because the infrastructure and other things. So maybe there would be an option to pick and choose which millages would be exempt; maybe for thought that you could pick and choose or somehow the locals could be involved in picking and choosing what is exempt and what somehow the locals. There are smarter people than me that know more about maybe their  differently because you pointed out a couple of other areas. So, obviously, the manufacturing piece of the facility is going to be exempt for ten years, and then there will be a huge add-on. But prior to that, there's many parts of that, that are not part of the manufacturing that are not exempt. And you did a new evaluation on them for like, i.e. the land costs. The land went from whatever it was with nothing there to now this major facility.  The Port, I don't know if there's any I don't know if there are tonnage fees or anything like that of them using the Port.  MR. POLOZOLA:  What is the increased revenue to the Parish				
and so forth and so on, so maybe their millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, maybe the Parish's general fund would not be because the infrastructure and other things. So maybe there would be an option to pick and choose which millages would be exempt; maybe choose which millages would be exempt; maybe for the manufacturing piece of the facility is going to be exempt for ten years, and then there will be a huge add-on. But prior to that, there's many parts of that, that are not part of the manufacturing that are not exempt. And you did a new evaluation on them for like, i.e. the land costs. The land went from whatever it was with nothing there to now this major facility.  The Port, I don't know if there's any mathematical intervals.  It don't know if there are tonnage fees or manufacturing piece of the facility is going to be exempt for ten years, and then there will be a huge add-on. But prior to that, there's many parts of that, that are not part of the manufacturing that are not exempt. And you did a new evaluation on them for like, i.e. the land costs. The land went from whatever it was with nothing there to now this major facility.  The Port, I don't know if there's any I don't know if there are tonnage fees or anything like that of them using the Port.  MR. POLOZOLA:  What is the increased revenue to the Parish				
millages could be waived, be exempt; whereas, maybe the Parish's general fund would not be because the infrastructure and other things. So maybe there would be an option to pick and choose which millages would be exempt; maybe for the manufacturing piece of the facility is going to be exempt for ten years, and then there will be a huge add-on. But prior to that, there's many parts of that, that are not part of the manufacturing that are not exempt. And you did a new evaluation on them for like, i.e. the land costs. The land went from whatever it was with nothing there to now this major facility. The Port, I don't know if there are tonnage fees or smarter people than me that know more about this than I do.  MR. POLOZOLA: What is the increased revenue to the Parish				7 7 1
maybe the Parish's general fund would not be because the infrastructure and other things. So maybe there would be an option to pick and choose which millages would be exempt; maybe your fire, your ambulance would not. Just the thought that you could pick and choose or somehow the locals could be involved in picking and choosing what is exempt and what si not. Again, just an idea. There are smarter people than me that know more about this than I do.  maybe the Parish's general fund would not be to be exempt for ten years, and then there will be a huge add-on. But prior to that, there's many parts of that, that are not part of the manufacturing that are not exempt. And you did a new evaluation on them for like, i.e. the land costs. The land went from whatever it was with nothing there to now this major facility.  The Port, I don't know if there's any I don't know if there are tonnage fees or anything like that of them using the Port.  MR. POLOZOLA:  What is the increased revenue to the Parish		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-
because the infrastructure and other things.  So maybe there would be an option to pick and choose which millages would be exempt; maybe your fire, your ambulance would not. Just the thought that you could pick and choose or somehow the locals could be involved in picking and choosing what is exempt and what si not. Again, just an idea. There are smarter people than me that know more about this than I do.  MR. POLOZOLA:  will be a huge add-on. But prior to that, there's many parts of that, that are not part of the manufacturing that are not exempt. And you did a new evaluation on them for like, i.e. the land costs. The land went from whatever it was with nothing there to now this major facility.  The Port, I don't know if there's any I don't know if there are tonnage fees or anything like that of them using the Port. What is the increased revenue to the Parish				
So maybe there would be an option to pick and choose which millages would be exempt; maybe your fire, your ambulance would not. Just the thought that you could pick and choose or somehow the locals could be involved in picking and choosing what is exempt and what so may be smarter people than me that know more about this than I do.  So maybe there would be an option to pick and choose or part of the manufacturing that are not exempt. And you did a new evaluation on them for like, i.e. the land costs. The land went from whatever it was with nothing there to now this major facility.  The Port, I don't know if there's any I don't know if there are tonnage fees or anything like that of them using the Port.  MR. POLOZOLA:  What is the increased revenue to the Parish				
choose which millages would be exempt; maybe your fire, your ambulance would not. Just the thought that you could pick and choose or somehow the locals could be involved in picking and choosing what is exempt and what since. Again, just an idea. There are smarter people than me that know more about this than I do.  choose which millages would be exempt; maybe 16 And you did a new evaluation on them for like, i.e. the land costs. The land went from whatever it was with nothing there to now this major facility.  The Port, I don't know if there's any I don't know if there are tonnage fees or anything like that of them using the Port. What is the increased revenue to the Parish				•
your fire, your ambulance would not. Just the thought that you could pick and choose or somehow the locals could be involved in picking and choosing what is exempt and what is not. Again, just an idea. There are smarter people than me that know more about this than I do.  your fire, your ambulance would not. Just the land you did a new evaluation on them for like, i.e. the land costs. The land went from whatever it was with nothing there to now this major facility.  The Port, I don't know if there's any I don't know if there are tonnage fees or anything like that of them using the Port.  What is the increased revenue to the Parish				* *
thought that you could pick and choose or somehow the locals could be involved in picking and choosing what is exempt and what is not. Again, just an idea. There are smarter people than me that know more about this than I do.  MR. POLOZOLA:  17 i.e. the land costs. The land went from whatever it was with nothing there to now this major facility.  20 The Port, I don't know if there's any  21 I don't know if there are tonnage fees or anything like that of them using the Port.  22 What is the increased revenue to the Parish				
somehow the locals could be involved in picking and choosing what is exempt and what is not. Again, just an idea. There are smarter people than me that know more about this than I do.  MR. POLOZOLA:  18 whatever it was with nothing there to now this major facility.  20 The Port, I don't know if there's any  21 I don't know if there are tonnage fees or anything like that of them using the Port.  22 What is the increased revenue to the Parish				-
picking and choosing what is exempt and what is not. Again, just an idea. There are smarter people than me that know more about this than I do.  MR. POLOZOLA:  19 major facility. 20 The Port, I don't know if there's any 21 I don't know if there are tonnage fees or 22 anything like that of them using the Port. 23 What is the increased revenue to the Parish				
20 is not. Again, just an idea. There are 21 smarter people than me that know more about 22 this than I do. 23 MR. POLOZOLA: 20 The Port, I don't know if there's any 21 I don't know if there are tonnage fees or 22 anything like that of them using the Port. 23 What is the increased revenue to the Parish		somehow the locals could be involved in	18	whatever it was with nothing there to now this
21 smarter people than me that know more about 22 this than I do. 23 MR. POLOZOLA: 21 I don't know if there are tonnage fees or 22 anything like that of them using the Port. 23 What is the increased revenue to the Parish	19	picking and choosing what is exempt and what	19	major facility.
22 this than I do. 23 MR. POLOZOLA: 24 anything like that of them using the Port. 25 What is the increased revenue to the Parish	20	is not. Again, just an idea. There are	20	The Port, I don't know if there's any
23 MR. POLOZOLA: 23 What is the increased revenue to the Parish	21	smarter people than me that know more about	21	I don't know if there are tonnage fees or
	22	this than I do.	22	anything like that of them using the Port.
24 I have a question. 24 for the project while it's in the abatement	23	MR. POLOZOLA:	23	What is the increased revenue to the Parish
	24	I have a question.	24	for the project while it's in the abatement
25 MR. BARRETT: 25 state?	25		25	
Page 111 Page 113		Page 111		Page 113

While it's in the abatement state?  MR. BARRETT:  You can have my chair. Thank you,  Gentlemen, unless you have a question.  MR. MILLER:  Thank you, sir. Appreciate you.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Please state your name and position for  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MR. LAVERGNE:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  Thank you.  Thank you.  Thank you.  MR. LAVERGNE:  Thank you.  Thank you.  MR. LAVERGNE:  Thank you.  Thank you do have some small amounts of value  Thank you do have some small amounts of value  These are huge projects in your Parish. But, you know, there is a lot of activity and it's				
MR. BARRETT: You can have my chair. Thank you, Gentlemen, unless you have a question. MR. MILLER: Thank you, sir. Appreciate you. CHAIRMAN JONES: Please state your name and position for the record, please. MR. LAVERGNE: CHAIRMAN JONES: MR. LAVERGNE: Thank you, sir. Appreciate you. CHAIRMAN JONES: MR. LAVERGNE: Thank you, sir. Appreciate you. CHAIRMAN JONES: MR. LAVERGNE: Thank you, sir. Appreciate you. CHAIRMAN JONES: MR. LAVERGNE: Thank you, sir. Appreciate you. Consider this, and we're going to have another meeting on August 12th, and we're going to have another meeting on August 12th, and we're going to have another meeting on August 12th, and we're going to have another meeting on August 12th, and we're going to have another meeting on August 12th, and we're going to have another meeting on August 12th, and we're going to have another meeting on August 12th, and we're going to have another meeting on August 12th, and we're going to have another meeting on August 12th, and we're going to have another meeting on August 12th, and we're going to have another meeting on August 12th, and we're going to have another meeting on August 12th, and we're going to have another meeting on August 12th, and we're going to have another meeting on August 12th, and we're going to have another meeting on August 12th, and we're going to the comportance of opportunity to address issues like this. And, of course, we can bring it to the Governor's office, but I just kind of wonder. Ike coordinating and may be inquiring, I see the Representative is here, inquiring, I see the Representative		MR. LAVERGNE:	1	Shale, all this drilling and production
4 You can have my chair. Thank you, 5 Gentlemen, unless you have a question. 6 MR. MILLER: 7 Thank you, sir. Appreciate you. 8 CHAIRMAN JONES: 9 Please state your name and position for 10 the record, please. 11 MR. LAVERGNE: 12 Scott Lavergne, Cameron Parish Assessor. 13 CHAIRMAN JONES: 14 Thank you. 15 MR. LAVERGNE: 16 Thank you for other in the project isself is. 17 Yes, you do have some small amounts of value that come on while it's in the abatement staff will as well. 18 that come on while it's in the abatement stage; nothing compared to what the project isself is. 19 CHAIRMAN JONES: 10 Governor's office, but I just kind of wonder, like, coordinating and maybe inquiring, I see the Representative is here, inquiring with the other areas of the State that had these issues, not to the extent that you're having. 16 Thank you for what we lost of a struggle. I mean it — and so you have to struggle. I mean it — and so you have to But like I said, the stuff that you did, the little bit of land value that you increased, it is nothing significant. 10 Thank you. 11 Tremember, our Parish went from oilfield to nothing. And so we're waiting, playing the waiting game so that we can get the tax dollars, ad valorem taxes from them. It's slowly coming on, but not—it's just asmall one. 12 Those are huge projects in your Parish. But, you know, there is a lot of activity and it's been happening in those other Parishes. How are they dealing with it? 18 Thave reoping to think we're going to brivate have can bring it to the Governor's office, but I just kind of wonder, like, coordinating and maybe inquiring, I see the Representative is here, inquiring the is issue; not to the extent that your'e having. 18 These are huge projects in your Parish. But, you know, there is a lot of activity and it's been happening in those other Parishes. How are they dealing with it? 18 Those roy to the State is sues to to strain in a dealing with it? 19 These are huge projects in your Parish. But, you know there is a lot of activity and it's property			ı	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
5 Gentlemen, unless you have a question. 6 MR. MILLER: 7 Thank you, sir. Appreciate you. 8 CHAIRMAN JONES: 9 Please state your name and position for 10 the record, please. 11 MR. LAVERGNE: 12 Scot Lavergne. Cameron Parish Assessor. 13 CHAIRMAN JONES: 14 Thank you. 15 MR. LAVERGNE: 16 Thank you for chard with the tome on while it's in the abatement 18 that come on while it's in the abatement 19 stage; nothing compared to what the project 10 itself is. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 Well, no doubt. 33 MR. LAVERGNE: 24 And so it's just — it's just a — it's a 25 struggle. I mean it — and so you have to 26 weather of the member, our Parish went from oilfield to nothing. And so we're waiting, playing the waiting game so that we can get the tax 4 dollars, ad valorem taxes from them. It's 5 slowly coming on, but not — it's just making up for what we lost. 7 So we haven't seen a big increase in our tax base. You know, it's just a small one. 9 But like I said, the stuff that you did, the little bit of iland value that you increased, its nothing significant. 10 MR. LAVERGNE: 11 Thank you. 12 communities on the roads, the drainage districts, all of that. I kind of, as we consider this, and we're going to have another so to sportunity to address issues like this. 11 And, of course, we can bring it to the Governor's office, but I just kind of wonder, like, coordinating and maybe inquiring, I see the Representative is here, inquiring with the other areas of the State that had these oth				
6 MR MILLER: Thank you, sir. Appreciate you.  8 CHAIRMAN JONES: 9 Please state your name and position for the record, please. 10 the record, please. 11 MR. LAVERGNE: 12 Scott Lavergne, Cameron Parish Assessor. 13 CHAIRMAN JONES: 14 Thank you. 15 MR. LAVERGNE: 16 Thank you. 17 Yes, you do have some small amounts of value that come on while it's in the abatement stage; nothing compared to what the project itself is. 17 Yes, you do have some small amounts of value that come on while it's in the abatement stage; nothing compared to what the project itself is. 18 MR. LAVERGNE: 19 Well, no doubt. 20 Well, no doubt. 21 Well, no doubt. 22 Well, no doubt. 23 MR. LAVERGNE: 24 And so it's just – it's a struggle. I mean it – and so you have to remember, our Parish went from oilfield to nothing. And so we're waiting, playing the waiting game so that we can get the tax dollars, ad valorem taxes from them. It's slowly coming on, but not – it's just making up for what we lost. 25 So we haven't seen a big increase in our tax base. You know, it's just a small one. 26 But like I said, the stuff that you did, the little bit of inventory that you did, the little bit of inventory that you did, the little bit of inventory that you did, the little bit of land value that you increased, it is nothing significant. 15 MR. MILLER: 16 MR. POLOZOLA: 17 MR. POLOZOLA: 18 We appreciate you all bringing these issues to us. Thank you for doing that because it is a burden and puts pressure onto your Parish. And I don't know the answers, just of the way we're here and we're going to keep coming, and try to doring that because it is a burden and puts pressure onto your Parish. And I don't know the answers, just of the Report of the Report of the State in the Adam these opported to the State in the Adam these opported to the State in the Adam these opported to the Report of the State in the Adam these opported to the Report of the State in the Adam these opported to the Report of the State in the Adam these opported to the Report of the Report of the	4			_
Thank you, sir. Appreciate you.  CHAIRMAN JONES: Please state your name and position for the record, please.  MR. LAVERGNE: CHAIRMAN JONES: CHAIRMAN JONES: Thank you do have some small amounts of value that come on while it's in the abatement stage; nothing compared to what the project itself is. CHAIRMAN JONES: CHAIRMAN JONES: Thank yall for entertaining us today. Yes, you do have some small amounts of value that come on while it's in the abatement stage; nothing compared to what the project itself is. CHAIRMAN JONES: C	5	* *		
8 CHAIRMAN JONES: 9 Please state your name and position for 10 the record, please. 11 MR. LAVERGNE: 12 Scott Lavergne, Cameron Parish Assessor. 13 CHAIRMAN JONES: 14 Thank you. 15 MR. LAVERGNE: 16 Thank yall for entertaining us today. 17 Yes, you do have some small amounts of value that come on while it's in the abatement 18 stage; nothing compared to what the project 19 itself is. 20 Well, no doubt. 21 And so it's just - it's just a - it's a 25 struggle. I mean it and so you have to 26 nothing. And so we're waiting, playing the 27 was haven't seen a big increase in our 28 tax base. You know, it's just a small one. 29 But like I said, the stuff that you did, the 10 little bit of land value that you increased, 11 ittle bit of land value that you increased, 12 its nothing significant. 13 MR. POLOZOLA: 14 We appreciate you all bringing these 15 issues to us. Thank you for doing that 26 because it is a burden and puts pressure onto 27 your Parish. And I don't know the answers, 28 but I think we're going to think about 29 solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 MR. POLOZOLA: 22 MR. POLOZOLA: 23 MR. POLOZOLA: 34 MR. POLOZOLA: 35 Solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. 36 MR. POLOZOLA: 36 MR. POLOZOLA: 37 MR. POLOZOLA: 38 MR. POLOZOLA: 39 MR. LAVERGNE: 40 MR. POLOZOLA: 41 MR. LAVERGNE: 42 MR. POLOZOLA: 43 MR. POLOZOLA: 44 MR. POLOZOLA: 45 MR. POLOZOLA: 46 MR. POLOZOLA: 47 MR. LAVERGNE: 48 MR. POLOZOLA: 49 MR. POLOZOLA: 40 MR. LAVERGNE: 40 MR. POLOZOLA: 40 MR. POLOZOLA: 41 MR. LAVERGNE: 42 MR. POLOZOLA: 43 MR. POLOZOLA: 44 MR. POLOZOLA: 45 MR. POLOZOLA: 46 MR. POLOZOLA: 47 MR. LAVERGNE: 48 MR. POLOZOLA: 49 MR. LAVERGNE: 40 MR. POLOZOLA: 40 MR. POLOZOLA: 40 MR. POLOZOLA: 41 MR. LAVERGNE: 42 MR. POLOZOLA: 43 MR. POLOZOLA: 44 MR. POLOZOLA: 45 MR. POLOZOLA: 46 MR. POLOZOLA: 47 MR. LAVERGNE: 48 MR. POLOZOLA: 49 MR. POLOZOLA: 40 MR. POLOZOLA: 40 MR. POLOZOLA: 40 MR. LAVERGNE: 41 MR. LAVERGNE: 42 MR. POLOZOLA: 43 MR. POLOZOLA: 44 MR. POLOZOLA: 45 MR. POLOZOLA: 46 MR. POLOZOLA: 47 MR. POLOZOLA:	6		6	
9 Please state your name and position for 10 the record, please. 10 the record, please. 11 MR. LAVERGNE: 12 Scott Lavergne, Cameron Parish Assessor. 13 CHAIRMAN JONES: 13 Inank you. 14 the Representative is here, inquiring with the 15 MR. LAVERGNE: 15 Inank you do have some small amounts of value 17 Yes, you do have some small amounts of value 18 that come on while it's in the abatement 19 stage; nothing compared to what the project 19 itself is. 19 CHAIRMAN JONES: 11 MR. LAVERGNE: 12 CHAIRMAN JONES: 12 Well, no doubt. 18 struggle. I mean it — and so you have to 19 struggle. I mean it — and so you have to 25 slowly coming on, but not — it's just making 19 up for what we lost. 19 But like I said, the stuff that you did, the 10 little bit of inventory that you did, the 11 little bit of inventory that you did, the 11 little bit of inventory that you did, the 11 little bit of inventory that you did, the 12 little bit of Iand Value that you increased, 12 little bit of Iand Value that you increased, 13 little bit of Iand Value that you increased, 14 MR. POLOZOLA: 15 MR. LAVERGNE: 16 MR. POLOZOLA: 17 Was preciate you all bringing these 18 issues to us. Thank you for doing that 20 because it is a burden and puts pressure onto your Parish. And I don't know the answers, 22 but I think we're going to think about 23 solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. 24 talking is, you know, in the Haynesville 25 MR. POLOZIER: MR. POLOZOLA: 25 MR. LAVERGNE: 26 MR. POLOZOLA: 27 MR. LAVERGNE: 28 MR. POLOZOLA: 29 MR. POLOZOLA: 29 MR. POLOZOLA: 29 MR. POLOZOLA: 20 MR. POLOZOLA: 30 MR. LAVERGNE: 30 MR. LAVERGNE: 30 MR. LAVERGNE: 30 MR. LAVERGNE: 30 MR. POLOZOLA: 30 MR. LAVERGNE: 30 MR. POLOZOLA: 31 MR. LAVERGNE: 31 MR. LAVERGNE: 31 MR. LAVERGNE: 32 MR. POLOZOLA: 32 MR. POLOZOLA: 34 MR. POLOZOLA: 35 MR. POLOZOLA: 36 MR. POLOZOLA: 36 MR. POLOZOLA: 36 MR. POLOZOLA: 37 MR. LAVERGNE: 37 MR. LAVERGNE: 38 MR. LAVERGNE: 39 MR. POLOZOLA: 30 MR. POLOZOLA: 31 MR. POLOZOLA: 31 MR. POLOZOLA: 32 MR. POLOZOLA: 34 MR. POLOZOLA: 35 MR. POLOZOLA: 36 MR.	7	Thank you, sir. Appreciate you.		consider this, and we're going to have another
the record, please.  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  Scott Lavergne, Cameron Parish Assessor.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Thank you.  Thank you.  Thank yall for entertaining us today.  Yes, you do have some small amounts of value that come on while it is in the abatement stage; nothing compared to what the project itself is.  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  Thank you.  Thank yall for entertaining us today.  Yes, you do have some small amounts of value that come on while it is in the abatement stage; nothing compared to what the project itself is.  Well, no doubt.  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. POLOZOLA:  Those are huge projects in your Parish. But it?  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. POLOZOLA:  Those royalties are going to private property owners, you know, or the State is property owners, you know, it is property owners, you know, it is property owners, you know, it is property owners, you know, or the State is property	8	CHAIRMAN JONES:	8	meeting on August 12th, and we're going to
MR. LAVERGNE: Scott Lavergne, Cameron Parish Assessor.   12   CHARMAN JONES:   13   Ike Lavergne, Cameron Parish Assessor.   14   Thank you.   15   MR. LAVERGNE:   15   Issues, not to the extent that you're having.   16   Issues, not to the extent that you're having.   17   These are huge projects in your Parish. But, you know, there is a lot of activity and it's because it is just a it's a struggle. I mean it and so oyu have to   16   Issues, and to the structure of the page 114   18   MR. LAVERGNE:   19   Issues, and to the extent that you're having.   19   Issues, not to the extent that you're having.   16   Issues, not to the extent that you're having.   17   These are huge projects in your Parish. But, you know, there is a lot of activity and it's our enterprise in those other Parishes. How are they dealing with it?   18   MR. LAVERGNE:   18   MR. LAVERGNE:   18   MR. LAVERGNE:   18   MR. POLOZOLA:   18   MR. POLOZOLA:   19   MR. LAVERGNE:   19   MR. LAVERGNE:   19   MR. POLOZOLA:   19   MR. LAVERGNE:   19   M	9	Please state your name and position for	9	keep on going from there, so there's a lot of
12   Scott Lavergne, Cameron Parish Assessor.   13   CHAIRMAN JONES:   14   Thank you.   15   MR. LAVERGNE:   15   Other areas of the State that had these issues, not to the extent that you're having.   17   These are huge projects in your Parish. But, you know, there is a lot of activity and it's been happening in those other Parishes. How are they dealing with it?   MR. LAVERGNE:   20   MR. LAVERGNE:   21   MR. LAVERGNE:   22   MR. LAVERGNE:   23   MR. LAVERGNE:   24   And so it's just – it's just a — it's a struggle. I mean it — and so you have to   25   struggle. I mean it — and so you have to   26   MR. POLOZOLA:   Those royalties are going to private   27   property owners, you know, or the State is page 116   MR. LAVERGNE:   28   MR. POLOZOLA:   29   MR. POLOZOLA:   MR. LAVERGNE:   18   getting the royalty. What's happening in Red River, DeStot, Sabine and other Parishes that are dealing with Haynesville Shale proliferation? You know, Isid of wonder how they handled it? Is there something that we can learn from their experience, you know?   MR. LAVERGNE:   18   agree.   MR. POLOZOLA:   MR. LAVERGNE:   MR. LAVERGNE:   MR. LAVERGNE:   MR. LAVERGNE:   MR. POLOZOLA:   MR. LAVERGNE:   MR. LAVERGNE:   MR. LAVERGNE:   MR. POLOZOLA:   MR. LAVERGNE:   MR. LAVERGNE:   MR. LAVERGNE:   MR. LAVERGNE:   MR. LAVERGNE:   MR. POLOZOLA:   MR. POLOZOLA:   MR. POLOZOLA:   MR. POLOZOLA:   MR. POLOZOLA:   MR. LAVERGNE:   MR. POLOZOLA:   MR. LAVERGNE:   MR. POLOZOLA:   MR. LAVERGNE:   MR. POLOZOLA:   MR. LAVERGNE:   MR. POLOZOLA:   MR. PO	10	the record, please.	10	opportunity to address issues like this.
13 CHAIRMAN JONES: 14 Thank you. 15 MR. LAVERGNE: 16 Thank y'all for entertaining us today. 17 Yes, you do have some small amounts of value that come on while it's in the abatement stage; nothing compared to what the project itself is. 19 stage; nothing compared to what the project itself is. 20 Learn MR. LAVERGNE: 21 Well, no doubt. 22 Well, no doubt. 23 MR. LAVERGNE: 24 And so it's just — it's is a struggle. I mean it — and so you have to swating game so that we can get the tax dollars, ad valorem taxes from them. It's slowly coming on, but not — it's just making of up for what we lost. 25 So we haven't seen a big increase in our tax base. You know, it's just a small one. 26 But like I said, the stuff that you did, the little bit of land value that you increased, it is nothing significant. 31 MR. MILLER: 42 Okay. 43 MR. LAVERGNE: 44 Okay. 45 So we haven't seen a big increase in our tax base. You know, it's just a small one. 46 MR. POLOZOLA: 47 So we haven't seen a big increase in our tax base. You know, it's just a small one. 48 But like I said, the stuff that you did, the little bit of land value that you increased, it is nothing significant. 49 MR. POLOZOLA: 40 MR. POLOZOLA: 51 So we haven't seen a big increase in our tax base. You know, it's just a small one. 52 But like I said, the stuff that you did, the little bit of land value that you increased, it is there something that we can learn from their experience, you know? 52 MR. POLOZOLA: 53 MR. POLOZOLA: 54 MR. POLOZOLA: 55 MR. POLOZOLA: 55 MR. POLOZOLA: 56 MR. POLOZOLA: 57 MR. POLOZOLA: 58 MR. POLOZOLA: 59 MR. POLOZOLA: 50 We hand a doubt have can get the tax dealing with the observed where soined the project in your Parish. But, you know, it's just a small one. 54 MR. POLOZOLA: 55 MR. POLOZOLA: 56 MR. POLOZOLA: 57 MR. POLOZOLA: 58 MR. POLOZOLA: 59 MR. POLOZOLA: 50 We handled it? Is there something that we can learn from their experience, you know? 50 MR. LAVERGNE: 51 MR. POLOZOLA: 52 MR. POLOZOLA: 53 MR. POLOZOLA: 54 MR. POLOZOLA: 55 MR. POLOZOLA: 56 MR	11	MR. LAVERGNE:	11	And, of course, we can bring it to the
14 Thank you. 15 MR. LAVERGNE: 16 Thank y'all for entertaining us today. 17 Yes, you do have some small amounts of value that come on while it's in the abatement 19 stage; nothing compared to what the project itself is. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 Well, no doubt. 23 MR. LAVERGNE: 24 And so it's just it's just a it's a 25 struggle. I mean it and so you have to 26 nothing. And so we're waiting, playing the waiting game so that we can get the tax 4 dollars, ad valorem taxes from them. It's 5 slowly coming on, but not it's just making 6 up for what we lost. 25 So we haven't seen a big increase in our 8 tax base. You know, it's just a small one. 9 But like I said, the stuff that you did, the 10 little bit of inventory that you did, the 11 little bit of lind value that you increased, 12 its nothing significant. 13 MR. MILLER: 14 MR. MILLER: 15 MR. POLOZOLA: 16 MR. POLOZOLA: 17 MR. POLOZOLA: 18 We appreciate you all bringing these issues to us. Thank you for doing that 20 you Parish. And I don't know the answers, 22 but I think we're going to think about 30 solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. 23 solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. 24 taking is, you know, tiris just a small one. 19 MR. POLOZOLA: 18 We appreciate you all bringing these 19 issues to us. Thank you for doing that 20 one thing that came to mind as y'all were 25 talking is, you know, in the Haynesville 25 talking is, you know, in the Haynesville 16 the extent that thad these issues, not to the extent that you're having. 16 the cher at they dether areas of the State that had these issues, not to the extent that you're having. 17 these are huge projects in your Parish. But, you know, there is a lot of activity and it's been happening in those other arrais of the State that had these issues, not to the extent that you're having. 17 these are huge projects in your Parish. But, you know, there is a lot of activity and it's been happening in those other Parishes. How are they dealing with it?  16 MR. LAVERGNE: 17 MR. POLOZOLA: 18 MR. POLOZOLA	12	Scott Lavergne, Cameron Parish Assessor.	12	Governor's office, but I just kind of wonder,
15 MR. LAVERGNE: 16 Thank y'all for entertaining us today. 17 Yes, you do have some small amounts of value that come on while it's in the abatement 19 stage; nothing compared to what the project 19 stage; not to the extent that you're having. 17 These are huge projects in your Parish. But, you know, there is a lot of activity and it's been happening in those other Parishes. How are they dealing with it?  MR. LAVERGNE: 23 MR. LAVERGNE: 24 And so it's just a it's a 24 Those royalties are going to private property owners, you know, or the State is Page 114  1 remember, our Parish went from oilfield to nothing, and so we're waiting, playing the 3 waiting game so that we can get the tax 4 dollars, ad valorem taxes from them. It's 5 slowly coming on, but not it's just making 6 up for what we lost.  7 So we haven't seen a big increase in our tax base. You know, it's just a small one. 9 But like I said, the stuff that you did, the 10 little bit of land value that you increased, it is nothing significant.  18 MR. POLOZOLA: 19 MR. POLOZOLA: 20 MR. POLOZOLA: 21 GHRMAN JONES: 22 Mgl, no doubt. 23 MR. LAVERGNE: 34 And so it's just a it's a a dealing with it? 35 MR. POLOZOLA: 35 MR. POLOZOLA: 36 MR. POLOZOLA: 37 And so we're waiting, playing the 4 project owners, you know, or the State is property owners, you know, or the State is a dealing with Haynesville 19 getting the royalty. What's happening in Red River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes. How are dealing with it?  MR.	13	CHAIRMAN JONES:	13	like, coordinating and maybe inquiring, I see
Thank y'all for entertaining us today. Yes, you do have some small amounts of value that come on while it's in the abatement sage; nothing compared to what the project itself is. CHAIRMAN JONES: CHAIRMAN JONES: MR. LAVERGNE: And so it's just it's a struggle. I mean it and so you have to page 114  remember, our Parish went from oilfield to nothing. And so we're waiting, playing the waiting game so that we can get the tax dollars, ad valorem taxes from them. It's slowly coming on, but not it's just making up for what we lost. So we haven't seen a big increase in our tax base. You know, it's just a small one. But like I said, the stuff that you did, the little bit of land value that you increased, its nothing significant.  MR. MILLER: MR. POLOZOLA:  getting the royalty. What's happening in Red River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes. How are they dealing with it?  MR. LAVERGNE: Right. MR. POLOZOLA: Those are huge projects in your Parish. But, you know, there is a lot of activity and it's been happening in those other Parishes. How are they dealing with it?  MR. LAVERGNE: Right.  Getting the royalty. What's happening in Red River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes. How are they dealing with it?  MR. POLOZOLA:  Those rayalties are going to private property owners, you know, or the State is property owners, you know, or the State is are dealing with Haynesville Shale proliferation? You know, I kind of wonder how they've handled it? Is there something that are dealing with Haynesville Shale proliferation? You know, I kind of wonder how they've handled it? Is there something that are dealing with they've handled it? Is there something that are dealing with haynesville Shale proliferation? You know, I kind of wonder how they've handled it? Is there something the we can learn from their experience, you know?  MR. LAVERGNE:  I agree.  MR. POLOZOLA:	14	Thank you.	14	the Representative is here, inquiring with the
17 Yes, you do have some small amounts of value 18 that come on while it's in the abatement 19 stage; nothing compared to what the project 20 itself is. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 Well, no doubt. 23 MR. LAVERGNE: 24 And so it's just a it's a 25 struggle. I mean it and so you have to 26 nothing. And so we're waiting, playing the 3 waiting game so that we can get the tax 4 dollars, ad valorem taxes from them. It's 5 slowly coming on, but not it's just making 6 up for what we lost. 7 So we haven't seen a big increase in our 8 tax base. You know, it's just a small one. 9 But like I said, the stuff that you did, the 10 little bit of inventory that you did, the 11 little bit of and value that you increased, 12 its nothing significant. 13 MR. MILLER: 14 Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 Mr. Polozola? 17 MR. POLOZOLA: 18 We appreciate you all bringing these 19 issues to us. Thank you for doing that 20 because it is a burden and puts pressure onto 21 your Parish. But, you know, there is a lot of activity and it's you know, there is a lot of activity and it's you know, there is a lot of activity and it's you know, there is a lot of activity and it's you know, there is a lot of activity and it's you know, there is a lot of activity and it's you know, there is a lot of activity and it's ware they dealing with it?  MR. LAVERGNE:  Right.  MR. POLOZOLA:  10 little bit of inventory that you did, the 11 little bit of inventory that you did, the 12 little bit of and value that you increased, 13 MR. POLOZOLA: 14 MR. LAVERGNE: 15 So we haven't seen a big increase in our tax base. You know, it's just a small one. 16 MR. LAVERGNE: 17 MR. LAVERGNE: 18 MR. LAVERGNE: 19 MR. LAVERGNE: 19 MR. LAVERGNE: 10 Right. 10 What's happening in Red hive re dealing with it? 21 MR. LAVERGNE: 22 MR. POLOZOLA: 23 MR. POLOZOLA: 24 Right. 25 MR. POLOZOLA: 26 New can learn from their experience, you know? 27 MR. LAVERGNE: 28 Ng. POLOZOLA: 29 MR. POLOZOLA: 30 MR. LAVERGNE: 31 MR. LAVERGNE: 42 Right. 43 MR. LAVERGNE: 43 MR. LAVERGNE: 44 Right. 45 Right. 46 N	15	MR. LAVERGNE:	15	other areas of the State that had these
that come on while it's in the abatement stage; nothing compared to what the project tiself is.  tiself is.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Well, no doubt.  MR. LAVERGNE:  And so it's just it's just a it's a struggle. I mean it and so you have to struggle. I mean it and so you have to southing. And so we're waiting, playing the waiting game so that we can get the tax dollars, ad valorem taxes from them. It's slowly coming on, but not it's just making up for what we lost.  So we haven't seen a big increase in our tax base. You know, it's just a small one.  But like I said, the stuff that you did, the little bit of inventory that you did, the little bit of inventory that you did, the little bit of land value that you increased, it is nothing significant.  MR. MILLER:  MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. LAVERGNE:  And I don't know the answers, but I think we're going to think about stage; nothing to wise the waiting game so that we can get the tax dollars, ad valorem taxes from them. It's slowly coming on, but not it's just making tup for what we lost.  MR. LAVERGNE:  And LAVERGNE:  MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  And I don't have answers wight in this together, guys. That's why we're here and we're going to keep coming, and try to help in the process to streamline.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  And I do too, I think that streamlining is a good thing overall.  MS. PORTER:	16	Thank y'all for entertaining us today.	16	issues, not to the extent that you're having.
19   stage; nothing compared to what the project   19   tiself is.   20   tiself is.   21   CHAIRMAN JONES:   22   Well, no doubt.   22   Right.   23   MR. LAVERGNE:   24   And so it's just it's just a it's a   25   struggle. I mean it and so you have to   Page 114   25   Those royalties are going to private   26   property owners, you know, or the State is   Page 116   1   getting the royalty. What's happening in Red   River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that   are dealing with Haynesville Shale   River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that   are dealing with Haynesville Shale   River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that   are dealing with Haynesville Shale   River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that   are dealing with Haynesville Shale   River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that   are dealing with Haynesville Shale   River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes. How   are they dealing with Haynesville   Right.   MR. POLOZOLA:   Those royalties are going to private   property owners, you know, or the State is   Page 114   Property owners, you know, or the State is   property owners, you know, or the State is   are dealing with Haynesville   MR. LAVERGNE:   Those royalties are going to private   property owners, you know, or the State is   Page 114   Property owners, you know, or the State is   property owners, you know, or the State is   property owners, you know, or the State is   Page 114   Property owners, you know, or the State is   Page 114   Property owners, you know, or the State is   property owners, you know, or the State is   Page 114   Property owners, you know, or the State is   Page 114   Property owners, you know, or the State is   Page 114   Property owners, you know, or the State is   Page 114   Property owners, you know, or the State is   Page 114   Property owners, you know, or the State is   Page 114   Property owners, you know, or he	17	Yes, you do have some small amounts of value	17	These are huge projects in your Parish. But,
19   stage; nothing compared to what the project   19   tiself is.   20   tiself is.   21   CHAIRMAN JONES:   22   Well, no doubt.   22   Right.   23   MR. LAVERGNE:   24   And so it's just it's just a it's a   25   struggle. I mean it and so you have to   Page 114   25   Those royalties are going to private   26   property owners, you know, or the State is   Page 116   1   getting the royalty. What's happening in Red   River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that   are dealing with Haynesville Shale   River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that   are dealing with Haynesville Shale   River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that   are dealing with Haynesville Shale   River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that   are dealing with Haynesville Shale   River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that   are dealing with Haynesville Shale   River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes. How   are they dealing with Haynesville   Right.   MR. POLOZOLA:   Those royalties are going to private   property owners, you know, or the State is   Page 114   Property owners, you know, or the State is   property owners, you know, or the State is   are dealing with Haynesville   MR. LAVERGNE:   Those royalties are going to private   property owners, you know, or the State is   Page 114   Property owners, you know, or the State is   property owners, you know, or the State is   property owners, you know, or the State is   Page 114   Property owners, you know, or the State is   Page 114   Property owners, you know, or the State is   property owners, you know, or the State is   Page 114   Property owners, you know, or the State is   Page 114   Property owners, you know, or the State is   Page 114   Property owners, you know, or the State is   Page 114   Property owners, you know, or the State is   Page 114   Property owners, you know, or the State is   Page 114   Property owners, you know, or he	18	that come on while it's in the abatement	18	you know, there is a lot of activity and it's
20 itself is. 21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 Well, no doubt. 23 MR. LAVERGNE: 24 And so it's just it's just a it's a 25 struggle. I mean it and so you have to 26 remember, our Parish went from oilfield to 27 nothing. And so we're waiting, playing the 3 waiting game so that we can get the tax 4 dollars, ad valorem taxes from them. It's 5 slowly coming on, but not it's just making 6 up for what we lost. 7 So we haven't seen a big increase in our 8 tax base. You know, it's just a small one. 9 But like I said, the stuff that you did, the 10 little bit of inventory that you did, the 11 little bit of inventory that you did, the 11 little bit of land value that you increased, 12 its nothing significant. 13 MR. MILLER: 14 Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 Mr. POLOZOLA: 17 MR. POLOZOLA: 18 We appreciate you all bringing these 19 issues to us. Thank you for doing that 20 because it is a burden and puts pressure onto 21 your Parish. And I don't know the answers, 22 but I think we're going to think about 23 solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. 24 One thing that came to mind as y'all were 25 talking is, you know, in the Haynesville 26 are they dealing with it?  MR. LAVERGNE: 27 Right. 28 Right. 29 MR. POLOZOLA: 24 Those royalties are going to private 29 property owners, you know, or the State is 24 Those royalties are going to private 25 property owners, you know, or the State is 26 Right. 27 MR. LAVERGNE: 28 Right. 29 MR. POLOZOLA: 29 getting the royalty. What's happening in Red River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that are dealing with Haynesville Shale 21 getting the royalty. What's happening in Red River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that are dealing with Haynesville Shale 29 getting the royalty. What's happening in Red River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that are dealing with Haynesville Shale 20 getting the royalty. What's happening in Red River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that are dealing with Haynesville Shale 21 getting the royalty. What's happening in Red River, DeSoto, Sabine are dealing	19	stage; nothing compared to what the project	19	been happening in those other Parishes. How
21 CHAIRMAN JONES: 22 Well, no doubt. 23 MR. LAVERGNE: 24 And so it's just it's just a it's a 25 struggle. I mean it and so you have to 26 remember, our Parish went from oilfield to 2 nothing. And so we're waiting, playing the 3 waiting game so that we can get the tax 4 dollars, ad valorem taxes from them. It's 5 slowly coming on, but not it's just making 6 up for what we lost. 7 So we haven't seen a big increase in our 18 tax base. You know, it's just a small one. 9 But like I said, the stuff that you did, the 10 little bit of inventory that you did, the 11 little bit of land value that you increased, 12 its nothing significant. 13 MR. MILLER: 14 Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 Mr. POLOZOLA: 17 MR. POLOZOLA: 18 We appreciate you all bringing these issues to us. Thank you for doing that 20 because it is a burden and puts pressure onto your Parish. And I don't know the answers, but I think we're going to think about your Parish. And I don't know the answers, but I think we're going to think about solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. 21 One thing that came to mind as y'all were talking is, you know, in the Haynesville 22 Right. 23 MR. POLOZOLA: 24 Those royalties are going to private property owners, you know, or the State is MR. PoLoZOLA: 25 Those royalties are going to private property owners, you know, or the State is Page 114 26 MR. POLOZOLA: 26 MR. POLOZOLA: 27 MR. LAVERGNE: 28 MR. POLOZOLA: 29 MR. POLOZOLA: 30 MR. LAVERGNE: 31 MR. POLOZOLA: 31 MR. POLOZOLA: 32 MR. POLOZOLA: 33 MR. POLOZOLA: 34 Those royalties are going to private property owners, you know, or the State is Page 114 34 Those royalty. What's happening in Red River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that are dealing with Haynesville shale proliferation? You know, I kind of wonder how they've handled it? Is there something that we can learn from their experience, you know? 34 MR. LAVERGNE: 35 MR. POLOZOLA: 36 MR. POLOZOLA: 37 MR. LAVERGNE: 38 MR. POLOZOLA: 39 MR. POLOZOLA: 30 MR. POLOZOLA: 30 MR. POLOZOLA: 30 MR. POLOZOLA: 31 MR.	20		20	are they dealing with it?
MR. LAVERGNE:  And so it's just it's just a it's a  struggle. I mean it and so you have to  Page 114  remember, our Parish went from oilfield to nothing. And so we're waiting, playing the waiting game so that we can get the tax dollars, ad valorem taxes from them. It's slowly coming on, but not it's just making up for what we lost.  So we haven't seen a big increase in our tax base. You know, it's just a small one. But like I said, the stuff that you did, the little bit of inventory that you did, the little bit of land value that you increased, its nothing significant.  MR. MILLER:  Okay.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MR. POLOZOLA:  Those royalties are going to private property owners, you know, or the State is are dealing with Haynesville Shale proliferation? You know, I kind of wonder how they've handled it? Is there something that we can learn from their experience, you know?  MR. LAVERGNE:  Right. Right, of course.  MR. LAVERGNE:  Right. Right, of course.  MR. LAVERGNE:  We're all in this together, guys. That's why we're here and we're going to keep coming, and try to help in the	21	CHAIRMAN JONES:	21	
And so it's just it's just a it's a struggle. I mean it and so you have to struggle. I mean it and so you have to page 114  1 remember, our Parish went from oilfield to nothing. And so we're waiting, playing the waiting game so that we can get the tax dollars, ad valorem taxes from them. It's slowly coming on, but not it's just making up for what we lost.  7 So we haven't seen a big increase in our tax base. You know, it's just a small one. 9 But like I said, the stuff that you did, the little bit of inventory that you did, the little bit of land value that you increased, its nothing significant.  MR. MILLER:  Okay.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MR. POLOZOLA:  Those royalties are going to private property owners, you know, or the State is a page 116  1 getting the royalty. What's happening in Red River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that are dealing with Haynesville Shale proliferation? You know, I kind of wonder how they've handled it? Is there something that we can learn from their experience, you know?  MR. LAVERGNE:  1 agree.  9 MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. AVERGNE:  1 agight. Right, of course.  MR. LAVERGNE:  1 MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. LAVERGNE:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  We're here and we're going to keep coming, and try to help in the process to streamline.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  We'll  We're all in this together, guys. That's why we're here and we're going to keep coming, and try to help in the process to streamline.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  We'll  We're all in this together, guys. That's why we're here and we're going to keep coming, and try to help in the process to s	22	Well, no doubt.	22	Right.
25 struggle. I mean it and so you have to Page 114  1 remember, our Parish went from oilfield to 2 nothing. And so we're waiting, playing the 3 waiting game so that we can get the tax 4 dollars, ad valorem taxes from them. It's 5 slowly coming on, but not it's just making 6 up for what we lost. 7 So we haven't seen a big increase in our 8 tax base. You know, it's just a small one. 9 But like I said, the stuff that you did, the 10 little bit of inventory that you did, the 11 little bit of land value that you increased, 12 its nothing significant. 13 MR. MILLER: 14 Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 Mr. Polozola? 17 MR. POLOZOLA: 18 We appreciate you all bringing these 19 issues to us. Thank you for doing that 20 because it is a burden and puts pressure onto 21 your Parish. And I don't know the answers, 22 but I think we're going to think about 23 solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. 25 done thing that came to mind as y'all were 25 talking is, you know, in the Haynesville  26 petting the royalty. What's happening in Red River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that are dealing with Haynesville Micropalot, What's happening in Red River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that are dealing with Haynesville Shale proliferation? You know, I kind of wonder how they've handled it? Is there something that are dealing with Haynesville Micropalot, What's happening in Red River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that are dealing with Haynesville Shale proliferation? You know, I kind of wonder how they've handled it? Is there something the we can learn from their experience, you know?  MR. LAVERGNE:  8 I agree.  MR. POLOZOLA:  10 So just a thought, I don't have answers I'm RL. AVERGNE:  11 If the tot of inventory that you did, the 12 MR. LAVERGNE: 13 Right. Right, of course.  MR. LAVERGNE: 14 We're all in this together, guys. That's why we're here and we're going to keep coming, and try to help in the process to streamline.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Well -  MR. LAVERGNE:  And I do too, I think that streamlining is a good thing	23		23	
25 struggle. I mean it and so you have to Page 114  1 remember, our Parish went from oilfield to 2 nothing. And so we're waiting, playing the 3 waiting game so that we can get the tax 4 dollars, ad valorem taxes from them. It's 5 slowly coming on, but not it's just making 6 up for what we lost. 7 So we haven't seen a big increase in our 8 tax base. You know, it's just a small one. 9 But like I said, the stuff that you did, the 10 little bit of inventory that you did, the 11 little bit of inventory that you increased, 12 its nothing significant. 13 MR. MILLER: 14 Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 Mr. Polozola? 17 MR. POLOZOLA: 18 We appreciate you all bringing these 19 issues to us. Thank you for doing that 20 because it is a burden and puts pressure onto 21 your Parish. And I don't know the answers, 22 but I think we're going to think about 23 solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. 25 done thing that came to mind as y'all were 25 talking is, you know, in the Haynesville  2 protiferation? You know, or the State is Page 116  2 petting the royalty. What's happening in Red River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that are dealing with Haynesville Shale 2 proliferation? You know, I kind of wonder how they've handled it? Is there something that are dealing with Haynesville Shale 2 proliferation? You know, I kind of wonder how they've handled it? Is there something that are dealing with Haynesville Shale 2 proliferation? You know, I kind of wonder how they've handled it? Is there something that are dealing with Haynesville Shale 2 proliferation? You know, I kind of wonder how they've handled it? Is there something that are dealing with Haynesville Shale 2 proliferation? You know, I kind of wonder how they've handled it? Is there something that wee can learn from their experience, you know?  MR. LAVERGNE:  18 MR. LAVERGNE: 19 MR. LAVERGNE: 19 MR. LAVERGNE: 10 MR. LAVERGNE: 11 MR. LAVERGNE: 11 MR. LAVERGNE: 12 MR. LAVERGNE: 13 Right. Right, of course. 14 We're all in this together, guys. That's 18 Why we're here an	24	And so it's just it's just a it's a	24	Those royalties are going to private
remember, our Parish went from oilfield to nothing. And so we're waiting, playing the waiting game so that we can get the tax dollars, ad valorem taxes from them. It's slowly coming on, but not it's just making up for what we lost.  So we haven't seen a big increase in our tax base. You know, it's just a small one. But like I said, the stuff that you did, the little bit of inventory that you did, the little bit of land value that you increased, its nothing significant.  MR. MILLER:  Okay.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. POLOZOLA:  We appreciate you all bringing these issues to us. Thank you for doing that because it is a burden and puts pressure onto your Parish. And I don't know the answers, but I think we're going to think about solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. One thing that came to mind as y'all were talking is, you know, in the Haynesville  lighting the royalty. What's happening in Red River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that are dealing with Haynesville  MR. PoleSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that are dealing with Haynesville  MR. PoleSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that are dealing with Haynesville  MR. PoleSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that are dealing with Haynesville  MR. PoleSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that are dealing with Haynesville  MR. PoleSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that are dealing with Haynesville  MR. PoleSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that are dealing with Haynesville and cher Parishes that are dealing with Haynesville Approved here and other Parishes that are dealing with Haynesville Approved here and edealing with Haynesville Approved here and other Parishes that are dealing with Haynesville Approved here had betwee he handled it? Is there something that we can learn from their experience, you know?  MR. LAVERGNE:  Right. Right, of course.  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  We're all in this together, guys. That's why we're here and we're going to keep coming, and try to help in the process to streamline.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MR.	25		25	
nothing. And so we're waiting, playing the waiting game so that we can get the tax dollars, ad valorem taxes from them. It's slowly coming on, but not it's just making up for what we lost. So we haven't seen a big increase in our tax base. You know, it's just a small one. But like I said, the stuff that you did, the little bit of land value that you increased, its nothing significant.  MR. MILLER: MR. MILLER: MR. MILLER: MR. POLOZOLA:  CHAIRMAN JONES: MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. POLOZOLA:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. LAVERGNE:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LAVERGNE:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MR. LAVERGNE:  And I do too, I think that streamlining is a good thing overall.  MR. LAVERGNE:  And I do too, I think that streamlining is a good thing overall.		Page 114		Page 116
waiting game so that we can get the tax dollars, ad valorem taxes from them. It's slowly coming on, but not it's just making up for what we lost. So we haven't seen a big increase in our tax base. You know, it's just a small one. But like I said, the stuff that you did, the little bit of inventory that you did, the little bit of land value that you increased, its nothing significant.  MR. MILLER:  Okay.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. POLOZOLA:  We appreciate you all bringing these issues to us. Thank you for doing that because it is a burden and puts pressure onto your Parish. And I don't know the answers, solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. One thing that came to mind as y'all were talking is, you know, it he taynesville  a are dealing with Haynesville Shale proliferation? You know, I kind of wonder how they've handled it? Is there something that we can learn from their experience, you know?  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. LAVERGNE:  Right. Right, of course.  MR. LAVERGNE:  We're all in this together, guys. That's why we're here and we're going to keep coming, and try to help in the process to streamline.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Well  MR. LAVERGNE:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  We're all in this together, guys. That's why we're here and we're going to keep coming, and try to help in the process to streamline.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  We'll  MR. LAVERGNE:  And I do too, I think that streamlining is a good thing overall.  MS. PORTER:	1	remember, our Parish went from oilfield to	1	getting the royalty. What's happening in Red
dollars, ad valorem taxes from them. It's slowly coming on, but not it's just making up for what we lost.  So we haven't seen a big increase in our tax base. You know, it's just a small one.  But like I said, the stuff that you did, the little bit of inventory that you did, the little bit of land value that you increased, its nothing significant.  MR. MILLER:  Okay.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MR. POLOZOLA:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MR. POLOZOLA:  CHAIRMAN JONES:  We're all in this together, guys. That's why we're here and we're going to keep coming, and try to help in the process to streamline.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  We'l  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. LA	2	nothing. And so we're waiting, playing the	2	River, DeSoto, Sabine and other Parishes that
5 slowly coming on, but not it's just making 6 up for what we lost. 7 So we haven't seen a big increase in our 8 tax base. You know, it's just a small one. 9 But like I said, the stuff that you did, the 10 little bit of inventory that you did, the 11 little bit of land value that you increased, 12 its nothing significant. 13 MR. MILLER: 14 Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 Mr. Polozola? 17 MR. POLOZOLA: 18 We appreciate you all bringing these 19 issues to us. Thank you for doing that 20 because it is a burden and puts pressure onto 21 your Parish. And I don't know the answers, 22 but I think we're going to think about 23 solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. 24 One thing that came to mind as y'all were 25 talking is, you know, in the Haynesville  17 Itely ve handled it? Is there something that we can learm from their experience, you know?  7 MR. LAVERGNE: 18 Up GN. POLOZOLA: 19 MR. POLOZOLA: 10 So just a thought, I don't have answers right now. 11 Itely bit of land value that you increased, 11 Ingree. 12 MR. LAVERGNE: 13 Right. Right, of course. 14 MR. LAVERGNE: 15 We're all in this together, guys. That's 18 why we're here and we're going to keep coming, 19 and ty to help in the process to streamline. 19 CHAIRMAN JONES: 20 MR. LAVERGNE: 21 Well 22 MR. LAVERGNE: 23 And I do too, I think that streamlining 24 is a good thing overall. 25 MS. PORTER:	3	waiting game so that we can get the tax	3	are dealing with Haynesville Shale
6 up for what we lost. 7 So we haven't seen a big increase in our 8 tax base. You know, it's just a small one. 9 But like I said, the stuff that you did, the 10 little bit of inventory that you did, the 11 little bit of land value that you increased, 12 its nothing significant. 13 MR. MILLER: 14 Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 Mr. Polozola? 17 MR. POLOZOLA: 18 We appreciate you all bringing these 19 issues to us. Thank you for doing that 20 because it is a burden and puts pressure onto 21 your Parish. And I don't know the answers, 22 but I think we're going to think about 23 solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. 24 One thing that came to mind as y'all were 25 talking is, you know, in the Haynesville  6 we can learn from their experience, you know? 7 MR. LAVERGNE: 8 I agree. 9 MR. POLOZOLA: 10 So just a thought, I don't have answers 11 right now. 12 MR. LAVERGNE: 13 Right. Right, of course. 14 MR. POLOZOLA: 15 Yeah. 16 MR. LAVERGNE: 17 We're all in this together, guys. That's 18 why we're here and we're going to keep coming, and try to help in the process to streamline. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 Well 22 MR. LAVERGNE: 23 And I do too, I think that streamlining is a good thing overall. 24 is a good thing overall. 25 MS. PORTER:	4	dollars, ad valorem taxes from them. It's	4	proliferation? You know, I kind of wonder how
So we haven't seen a big increase in our tax base. You know, it's just a small one.  But like I said, the stuff that you did, the little bit of inventory that you did, the little bit of land value that you increased, its nothing significant.  MR. MILLER:  Okay.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. LAVERGNE:  MR. POLOZOLA:  MR. POLOZOLA:  We're all in this together, guys. That's why we're here and we're going to keep coming, and try to help in the process to streamline.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  We appreciate you all bringing these issues to us. Thank you for doing that because it is a burden and puts pressure onto your Parish. And I don't know the answers, but I think we're going to think about solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well.  One thing that came to mind as y'all were talking is, you know, in the Haynesville  MR. LAVERGNE:  And I do too, I think that streamlining is a good thing overall.  MS. PORTER:	5	slowly coming on, but not it's just making	5	they've handled it? Is there something that
8 tax base. You know, it's just a small one. 9 But like I said, the stuff that you did, the 10 little bit of inventory that you did, the 11 little bit of land value that you increased, 12 its nothing significant. 13 MR. MILLER: 14 Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 Mr. Polozola? 17 MR. POLOZOLA: 18 We appreciate you all bringing these 19 issues to us. Thank you for doing that 20 because it is a burden and puts pressure onto 21 your Parish. And I don't know the answers, 22 but I think we're going to think about 23 solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. 24 One thing that came to mind as y'all were 25 talking is, you know, in the Haynesville  8 I agree. 9 MR. POLOZOLA: 10 So just a thought, I don't have answers 11 right now. 12 MR. LAVERGNE: 13 Right. Right, of course. 14 MR. POLOZOLA: 15 Yeah. 16 MR. LAVERGNE: 17 We're all in this together, guys. That's why we're here and we're going to keep coming, and try to help in the process to streamline. 19 CHAIRMAN JONES: 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 Well 22 MR. LAVERGNE: 23 And I do too, I think that streamlining is a good thing overall. 24 MS. PORTER:	6	up for what we lost.	6	we can learn from their experience, you know?
9 But like I said, the stuff that you did, the 10 little bit of inventory that you did, the 11 little bit of land value that you increased, 12 its nothing significant. 13 MR. MILLER: 14 Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 Mr. POLOZOLA: 17 MR. POLOZOLA: 18 We appreciate you all bringing these 19 issues to us. Thank you for doing that 20 because it is a burden and puts pressure onto 21 your Parish. And I don't know the answers, 22 but I think we're going to think about 23 solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. 24 One thing that came to mind as y'all were 25 talking is, you know, in the Haynesville  9 MR. POLOZOLA: 10 So just a thought, I don't have answers 11 right now. 12 MR. LAVERGNE: 13 Right. Right, of course. 14 MR. POLOZOLA: 15 Yeah. 16 MR. LAVERGNE: 17 We're all in this together, guys. That's 18 why we're here and we're going to keep coming, 19 and try to help in the process to streamline. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 Well 22 MR. LAVERGNE: 23 And I do too, I think that streamlining 24 One thing that came to mind as y'all were 25 talking is, you know, in the Haynesville 26 MR. POLOZOLA: 27 MR. POLOZOLA: 28 MR. LAVERGNE: 29 MR. LAVERGNE: 20 MR. LAVERGNE: 21 MR. LAVERGNE: 22 MR. LAVERGNE: 23 And I do too, I think that streamlining 24 is a good thing overall. 25 MS. PORTER:	7	So we haven't seen a big increase in our	7	MR. LAVERGNE:
9 But like I said, the stuff that you did, the 10 little bit of inventory that you did, the 11 little bit of land value that you increased, 12 its nothing significant. 13 MR. MILLER: 14 Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 Mr. Polozola? 17 MR. POLOZOLA: 18 We appreciate you all bringing these 19 issues to us. Thank you for doing that 20 because it is a burden and puts pressure onto 21 your Parish. And I don't know the answers, 22 but I think we're going to think about 23 solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. 24 One thing that came to mind as y'all were 25 talking is, you know, in the Haynesville  9 MR. POLOZOLA: 10 So just a thought, I don't have answers 11 right now. 12 MR. LAVERGNE: 13 Right. Right, of course. 14 MR. POLOZOLA: 15 Yeah. 16 MR. LAVERGNE: 17 We're all in this together, guys. That's 18 why we're here and we're going to keep coming, 19 and try to help in the process to streamline. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 Well 22 MR. LAVERGNE: 23 And I do too, I think that streamlining 24 is a good thing overall. 25 MS. PORTER:	8	tax base. You know, it's just a small one.	8	I agree.
11 little bit of land value that you increased, 12 its nothing significant. 13 MR. MILLER: 14 Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 Mr. Polozola? 17 MR. POLOZOLA: 18 We appreciate you all bringing these 19 issues to us. Thank you for doing that 20 because it is a burden and puts pressure onto 21 your Parish. And I don't know the answers, 22 but I think we're going to think about 23 solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. 24 One thing that came to mind as y'all were 25 talking is, you know, in the Haynesville  17 right now. 18 MR. LAVERGNE: 18 MR. LAVERGNE: 19 We're all in this together, guys. That's 18 why we're here and we're going to keep coming, 19 and try to help in the process to streamline. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 Well 22 MR. LAVERGNE: 23 And I do too, I think that streamlining 24 is a good thing overall. 25 MS. PORTER:	9	-	9	
12 its nothing significant. 13 MR. MILLER: 14 Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 Mr. Polozola? 17 MR. POLOZOLA: 18 We appreciate you all bringing these 19 issues to us. Thank you for doing that 20 because it is a burden and puts pressure onto 21 your Parish. And I don't know the answers, 22 but I think we're going to think about 23 solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. 24 One thing that came to mind as y'all were 25 talking is, you know, in the Haynesville  18 MR. LAVERGNE: 19 MR. LAVERGNE: 19 We're all in this together, guys. That's 19 why we're here and we're going to keep coming, 19 and try to help in the process to streamline. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 Well 22 MR. LAVERGNE: 23 And I do too, I think that streamlining 24 is a good thing overall. 25 MS. PORTER:	10	little bit of inventory that you did, the	10	So just a thought, I don't have answers
12 its nothing significant. 13 MR. MILLER: 14 Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 Mr. Polozola? 17 MR. POLOZOLA: 18 We appreciate you all bringing these 19 issues to us. Thank you for doing that 20 because it is a burden and puts pressure onto 21 your Parish. And I don't know the answers, 22 but I think we're going to think about 23 solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. 24 One thing that came to mind as y'all were 25 talking is, you know, in the Haynesville  18 MR. LAVERGNE: 19 MR. LAVERGNE: 19 We're all in this together, guys. That's 19 why we're here and we're going to keep coming, 19 and try to help in the process to streamline. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 Well 22 MR. LAVERGNE: 23 And I do too, I think that streamlining 24 is a good thing overall. 25 MS. PORTER:	11	little bit of land value that you increased,	11	right now.
13 MR. MILLER: 14 Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 Mr. Polozola? 17 MR. POLOZOLA: 18 We appreciate you all bringing these 19 issues to us. Thank you for doing that 20 because it is a burden and puts pressure onto 21 your Parish. And I don't know the answers, 22 but I think we're going to think about 23 solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. 24 One thing that came to mind as y'all were 25 talking is, you know, in the Haynesville  18 MR. POLOZOLA: 19 We're all in this together, guys. That's 18 why we're here and we're going to keep coming, 19 and try to help in the process to streamline. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 Well 22 MR. LAVERGNE: 23 And I do too, I think that streamlining 24 is a good thing overall. 25 MS. PORTER:	12	•	12	MR. LAVERGNE:
14 Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 Mr. Polozola? 17 MR. POLOZOLA: 18 We appreciate you all bringing these 19 issues to us. Thank you for doing that 20 because it is a burden and puts pressure onto 21 your Parish. And I don't know the answers, 22 but I think we're going to think about 23 solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. 24 One thing that came to mind as y'all were 25 talking is, you know, in the Haynesville  14 MR. POLOZOLA: 15 Yeah. 16 MR. LAVERGNE: 17 We're all in this together, guys. That's 18 why we're here and we're going to keep coming, 19 and try to help in the process to streamline. 20 CHAIRMAN JONES: 21 Well 22 MR. LAVERGNE: 23 And I do too, I think that streamlining 24 is a good thing overall. 25 MS. PORTER:	1		13	
15 CHAIRMAN JONES: 16 Mr. Polozola? 17 MR. POLOZOLA: 18 We appreciate you all bringing these 19 issues to us. Thank you for doing that 20 because it is a burden and puts pressure onto 21 your Parish. And I don't know the answers, 22 but I think we're going to think about 23 solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. 24 One thing that came to mind as y'all were 25 talking is, you know, in the Haynesville  16 MR. LAVERGNE: 17 We're all in this together, guys. That's why we're here and we're going to keep coming, and try to help in the process to streamline. CHAIRMAN JONES: Well 22 MR. LAVERGNE: 23 And I do too, I think that streamlining is a good thing overall. 24 MS. PORTER:	14	Okay.	14	
Mr. Polozola?  MR. Polozola:  We're all in this together, guys. That's  We're all in this together, guys. That's  We're all in this together, guys. That's  why we're here and we're going to keep coming, and try to help in the process to streamline.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  your Parish. And I don't know the answers, but I think we're going to think about solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. One thing that came to mind as y'all were talking is, you know, in the Haynesville  MR. LAVERGNE:  We're all in this together, guys. That's  why we're here and we're going to keep coming, and try to help in the process to streamline.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  Well  MR. LAVERGNE:  And I do too, I think that streamlining is a good thing overall.  MS. PORTER:	1	•		Yeah.
MR. POLOZOLA:  We're all in this together, guys. That's  We're all in this together, guys. That's  why we're here and we're going to keep coming,  and try to help in the process to streamline.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  your Parish. And I don't know the answers,  but I think we're going to think about  solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well.  One thing that came to mind as y'all were  talking is, you know, in the Haynesville  17 We're all in this together, guys. That's  why we're here and we're going to keep coming,  and try to help in the process to streamline.  CHAIRMAN JONES:  MR. LAVERGNE:  And I do too, I think that streamlining  is a good thing overall.  MS. PORTER:	1		16	MR. LAVERGNE:
We appreciate you all bringing these issues to us. Thank you for doing that because it is a burden and puts pressure onto your Parish. And I don't know the answers, but I think we're going to think about solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. One thing that came to mind as y'all were tissues to us. Thank you for doing that talking is, you know, in the Haynesville 18 why we're here and we're going to keep coming, and try to help in the process to streamline. CHAIRMAN JONES:  Well  MR. LAVERGNE:  And I do too, I think that streamlining is a good thing overall.  MS. PORTER:	1			We're all in this together, guys. That's
issues to us. Thank you for doing that because it is a burden and puts pressure onto your Parish. And I don't know the answers, but I think we're going to think about solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. One thing that came to mind as y'all were talking is, you know, in the Haynesville  19 and try to help in the process to streamline. CHAIRMAN JONES: Well  MR. LAVERGNE: And I do too, I think that streamlining is a good thing overall. MS. PORTER:	1			
because it is a burden and puts pressure onto your Parish. And I don't know the answers, but I think we're going to think about solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. One thing that came to mind as y'all were talking is, you know, in the Haynesville  20 CHAIRMAN JONES: Well MR. LAVERGNE: And I do too, I think that streamlining is a good thing overall. MS. PORTER:	1			•
your Parish. And I don't know the answers, but I think we're going to think about solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. One thing that came to mind as y'all were talking is, you know, in the Haynesville  your Parish. And I don't know the answers, make the staff will as well.  And I do too, I think that streamlining is a good thing overall.  MS. PORTER:	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
but I think we're going to think about solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. One thing that came to mind as y'all were talking is, you know, in the Haynesville  MR. LAVERGNE: And I do too, I think that streamlining is a good thing overall. MS. PORTER:				
23 solutions. I'm sure the staff will as well. 24 One thing that came to mind as y'all were 25 talking is, you know, in the Haynesville 20 And I do too, I think that streamlining 21 is a good thing overall. 22 MS. PORTER:	1			
One thing that came to mind as y'all were talking is, you know, in the Haynesville 25 talking is, you know, in the Haynesville 25 MS. PORTER:				
25 talking is, you know, in the Haynesville 25 MS. PORTER:	1			_
		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		9 9

1	Thank you.	1 August 12th is your opportunity.
2	CHAIRMAN JONES:	2 Anything else for the good of the order
3	Thank you so much.	3 from other Committee Members? Anything at
4	MR. LAVERGNE:	4 all?
5	All right.	5 Entertain I'm sorry? Time on
6	CHAIRMAN JONES:	6 August 12th is morning or evening or is
7	Thank y'all for making the trip.	7 morning or afternoon better?
8	MR. BARRETT:	8 MR. MILLER:
9	Yes, sir.	9 Morning is better.
10	MR. LAVERGNE:	10 CHAIRMAN JONES:
11	Yes, sir.	Morning is better for Robbie. Would 9
12	CHAIRMAN JONES:	o'clock on the 12th work? Okay. Anything
13	All right. So we've got a meeting set	13 else?
14	for August the 12th. Again, I'm going to	14 I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
15	reiterate for the third time in this meeting,	15 MR. MILLER:
16	what I'm going to ask is any other	16 Motion.
17	stakeholders, whoever may be interested in	17 CHAIRMAN JONES:
18	this process, if you have specific input on	We have a motion from Mr. Miller. Second
19 20	the Industry proposal, what LED has proposed, an idea you have of yourself, any ideas	<ul><li>from Mr. Polozola. All in favor say, aye.</li><li>ALL:</li></ul>
20 21	related to what's been raised with the Cameron	
22	Parish problem, I'm going to call it Cameron	21 Aye. 22 CHAIRMAN JONES:
23	Parish problem, do you have any suggestions on	23 Any opposition?
24	that, please submit them to LED. And the best	24 There is none. The motion carries.
25	way to do that, Robin, is how?	25 Meeting is adjourned.
25	Page 118	Page 120
1	MS. PORTER:	1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	It's ITEPcomments@LA.gov.	2 I, KELLY S. PERRIN, a Certified Court
3	CHAIRMAN JONES:	3 Reporter, Certificate #23035, in good standing with
4	ITEPcomments@LA.gov.	4 the State of Louisiana, as the officer before whom
5	MS. PORTER:	5 this meeting was taken, do hereby certify that the
6	Yes.	6 foregoing 120 pages;
7	CHAIRMAN JONES:	7 That this testimony was reported by me in
8	I-T-E-P-C-O-M-M-E-N-T-S@LA.gov. Got it.	8 stenographic machine shorthand by Computer-Aided
9	Great. And so I'm going to ask you to do that	9 Transcription, transcribed by me or under my
10	within the next week, because what will then	10 personal direction and supervision, and is a true
11	happen is we'll get that information to LED	11 and correct transcript to the best of my ability
12	staff, they can review it. And then I'm going	12 and understanding;
13	to ask that if you're willing and prepared and	13 That the transcript has been prepared in
14	would like to, I would ask for you to be	14 compliance with transcript format guidelines
15	prepared to come at the next meeting,	15 required by statute or by rules of the Board, that
16	August 12th, and if you want to make public	16 I have acted in compliance with the prohibition on
17	comments, I want to hear from you. Because	17 contractual relationships, as defined by Louisiana
18	the last thing I want anybody to say is,	18 Code of Civil Procedure Article 1434 and in rules
19	nobody heard me. I'm going to take away that	19 and advisory opinions of the Board; that I am not
20	excuse. You know, you can blame me for a lot	20 of counsel nor related to any person participating
21	of things I do wrong, but I'm going to give	21 in this cause and am in no way interested in the
100		
22	you an opportunity to be heard. And so the	22 outcome of this event.
23	you an opportunity to be heard. And so the next meeting is an opportunity for any other	23
23 24	you an opportunity to be heard. And so the next meeting is an opportunity for any other stakeholders who wish to speak on the ITEP	23 24
23	you an opportunity to be heard. And so the next meeting is an opportunity for any other	23

1 This certification	on is valid only for a	
2 transcript accompan	nied by my handwritten or digital	
2 signature and the im	nage of my State-authorized seal	
	hage of my State-authorized sear	
4 on this page.		
5 Signed:		
6		
	S. PERRIN,CCR	
8	.,	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	Page 122	

## [& - actually]

&	<b>23035</b> 121:3	6	absolute 44:16
<b>&amp;</b> 1:3	<b>26th</b> 101:23,25	<b>6</b> 14:18	absolutely
	3		52:23 54:18
1	<b>3</b> 14:20	7	82:16 104:1,1
1 2:3	<b>3,000</b> 110:1	<b>70809</b> 1:20	106:18 107:4
<b>1,000</b> 110:4,4	<b>3-4</b> 2:4	<b>750</b> 70:3	accepting
<b>1.85</b> 70:3	31st 17:7,21	8	53:17
<b>10</b> 1:6 91:20	18:6 19:9	<b>80</b> 10:21 16:4	accessibility
109:25	76:16 77:13,20	17:13 18:9,16	31:3
<b>10,000</b> 69:20	77:23	82:19 91:7,8	accessible
<b>100</b> 72:12 85:3		<b>800</b> 91:6	27:21 29:2
85:7	4	<b>80s</b> 33:11	accompanied
<b>10th</b> 4:6	<b>4,000</b> 110:8	9	122:2
<b>120</b> 121:6	<b>40</b> 109:9	-	accomplish
122 2:6	<b>45</b> 14:16,24	9 12:6 120:11	42:2
<b>12th</b> 100:23,25	15:4 16:6	90 43:16	accomplished
101:1 102:14	36:18 37:8,16	900 1:8	105:20
102:18 104:17	38:4,7 57:12	93 10:24 16:5	accomplishes
116:8 118:14	106:2,16 107:1	17:14 18:10,16	108:5
119:16 120:1,6	107:12,14	<b>9522</b> 1:19	accountant
120:12	5	a	71:14
<b>1434</b> 121:18	<b>5</b> 2:5 17:4,5	abated 64:5	<b>acre</b> 69:20
<b>15</b> 11:8 12:6	21:14,20 22:2	abatement	acres 69:18
14:19 40:17	22:3	54:15 64:8,16	act 43:11
<b>150</b> 72:17	<b>5,000</b> 82:10	64:25 90:15	acted 121:16
2	110:5	98:1 113:24	action 15:24
<b>20</b> 82:5,9,20	<b>50</b> 85:18	114:2,18	activity 116:2
86:1 91:23	<b>500</b> 63:3 66:18	<b>abc</b> 79:20	116:18
92:5 95:22	69:16,18 84:18	ability 44:15	actual 64:18
101:21 108:20	84:18,25 110:8	65:9 121:11	68:6 87:4
<b>2016</b> 94:3,7	<b>500/500</b> 84:21	<b>able</b> 27:24,25	actually 10:7
<b>2024</b> 1:6 10:21	<b>529</b> 18:20	28:1 41:9	12:14 20:10,13
<b>21</b> 9:19		57:10,13 91:24	22:4,6,25
<b>217</b> 1:19		91:25 92:14	62:19 74:7
		108:18	85:3 96:19

## [actually - application]

110 17	1 4 46 0	1 00 7	•
112:17	<b>adopt</b> 46:3	agreed 98:7	answered
ad 1:5 9:22	advance 11:11	ahead 23:7	69:11
109:11,13	12:10,21,22	31:16	answers 115:21
112:6 115:4	20:18,25 23:16	aided 121:8	117:10
<b>add</b> 54:8 59:18	24:9,12 27:13	<b>align</b> 10:20	anticipated
62:12 72:16	27:14,15 31:14	aligns 18:3	71:18
73:8,11 110:16	31:15 36:10	19:13	anybody 31:5
113:13	40:3 46:8	<b>allow</b> 59:13,23	89:15 99:4
adding 22:13	49:10,12	103:24	119:18
72:23 86:20,22	advances 26:21	<b>allows</b> 59:25	anyway 9:18
87:1	advisory	60:1	44:23
addition 12:12	121:19	<b>alluded</b> 108:15	<b>apart</b> 48:21
74:19	<b>afc</b> 11:12,17	amalgam 50:11	apologize 62:19
additional	79:14	ambulance	86:19
10:23 11:16	<b>afcs</b> 16:22	111:16	<b>app</b> 18:22
17:15 18:9	affidavit 16:24	ameliorate 38:6	appearances
address 56:21	76:25	<b>amount</b> 20:11	2:4 3:1
116:10	affirmation	63:8,20 64:2,4	appeased 17:24
<b>adds</b> 89:8	69:14	81:20 112:19	applicant 63:7
<b>adjourn</b> 103:18	affirms 62:2	116:4	71:23 72:4
120:14	<b>afraid</b> 48:20	amounts 11:9	98:2
adjourned	afternoon 4:3	114:17	applicants
120:25	8:2 9:9 43:22	<b>anne</b> 3:10 8:2	12:12
administering	120:7	39:15 93:10	application
40:15	agencies 13:11	<b>annual</b> 11:18	8:21 11:12,23
administration	agenda 5:24	11:19 16:12,17	12:9,10,22,23
8:14,18 94:10	13:3 24:14	16:23 18:4	13:4,8 14:1,13
administrativ	28:12 106:1	19:13 21:9	14:20 15:2
31:19 42:10	<b>ago</b> 84:23	68:7 76:9	18:7,14 20:9
43:5	<b>agree</b> 66:12	77:22	20:16,20,23
administrator	88:24 91:15	annually 78:20	21:3,5 23:14
23:4 34:12	95:5 96:9 98:5	<b>answer</b> 30:19	24:13 25:11,14
113:2	98:5 108:20	30:23 62:22	27:2,20 28:1
administrators	117:8	99:4	28:11,13 29:17
13:16 41:6,8			31:15 35:23,25
,			,

## [application - ballpark]

	I	I	
36:1 37:9 38:4	14:2,13,15,20	114:12	authority 9:16
46:12 58:18	15:2 16:1	assessors 28:10	9:18,20 18:5
59:21 61:5,6	18:18,23 26:12	32:17 33:23,24	19:15 34:9
62:24 63:5,6	27:3 96:15	44:7,8	authorized
71:16,18,21	approve 5:13	assets 17:22,23	122:3
78:21,24 79:7	6:16 96:17	75:16 77:17	automatically
79:22 105:21	approved 5:23	<b>assign</b> 13:16	15:25 32:10
applications	11:2 18:22	15:21	availability
10:25 11:22	approximately	assigned 87:5	100:21 102:22
12:13,14,25	109:9	90:10	available 29:2
13:10,25 16:19	<b>apprs</b> 79:23	assist 65:21	36:12
16:21 76:25	<b>apps</b> 11:16,19	associated	avenue 1:19
77:16,19	<b>ardoin</b> 3:5 4:18	84:17	aye 5:18,20
applied 19:1	4:19 86:15,16	assuming 89:1	120:19,21
23:17 71:4	86:23 87:7,13	attached 22:4	b
<b>apply</b> 61:23	87:19 101:4,11	attachments	<b>b</b> 1:9 16:20
applying 59:9	101:12 102:9	12:18 19:12	109:9
<b>appr</b> 16:13	102:13	attest 62:5,6	back 23:10
17:7 18:10	<b>area</b> 99:10	66:14	24:6 30:6
47:4 76:15	areas 113:10	attestation	33:11,19 34:16
77:1 79:7,10	116:15	17:10 62:1,12	35:19 43:20
appreciate 14:8	article 9:19	66:10	55:1,10 74:4
55:2 82:15	121:18	attestations	76:9 82:20
84:4 92:21	<b>asked</b> 6:2,6	12:21 58:21	83:16 92:13
104:15 105:17	26:4 87:16	attests 62:2	98:17,17,21
108:11 111:4	<b>asking</b> 6:16,16	attorney 3:19	99:12,14
114:7 115:18	19:19 46:2	104:5,14	104:17
appreciative	56:3	audience 19:18	backyard 24:7
82:20	<b>asks</b> 48:1	110:21	24:17
approach 8:21	assessed 109:10	<b>august</b> 100:23	<b>bad</b> 33:18 35:8
41:3,14	assessment	100:25 101:1	70:8,15 97:9
appropriate	64:20	102:18 116:8	102:8
21:15	assessor 3:20	118:14 119:16	
approval 9:21	15:15 17:6	120:1,6	<b>ballpark</b> 64:12 68:4
9:25 10:18	112:25 113:3		00.4

## [bank - cameron]

hamle 05.1	hanafit 62.7	90.7 19 00.6	bunden 21.7
bank 95:1	<b>benefit</b> 63:7	89:7,18 90:6	<b>burden</b> 31:7
banks 95:11,11	71:22	97:10 98:10	107:24 108:16
barrett 3:19	best 9:25 85:12	101:21 103:23	109:5,18
104:5,8,13,14	100:8 118:24	105:12 107:21	110:17,23,25
105:7 106:11	121:11	108:7 109:12	111:4 115:20
106:17,22	<b>better</b> 7:11	121:15,19	burdensome
107:3,7 108:4	18:3 19:13	<b>board's</b> 106:1	41:4 98:2
111:25 112:21	53:14 62:4,10	<b>body</b> 32:19	100:11
114:3 118:8	89:16 93:23	<b>books</b> 109:10	bureaucratic
<b>base</b> 115:8	105:17 120:7,9	<b>boom</b> 107:13	10:11 41:3
<b>based</b> 10:9 63:6	120:11	<b>bother</b> 55:21	business 14:15
64:16 71:7,21	<b>beyond</b> 70:25	bouncing 74:3	96:5,5,6,11
<b>basically</b> 18:8	93:10 110:20	<b>box</b> 12:8,24	businesses
20:21 21:21	<b>biden</b> 82:24	16:12 59:17	54:13 55:12
31:7 44:9	<b>big</b> 33:9 59:1	brainstorming	<b>buying</b> 112:14
54:25 79:17	65:1 115:7	31:22	byproduct
87:8	bigger 59:4	brenda 3:16	74:25 75:4,5
<b>basis</b> 32:4 76:6	109:6 110:25	<b>briefly</b> 110:22	c
<b>baton</b> 1:10,20	<b>bill</b> 71:20 72:5	<b>bring</b> 83:16	<b>c</b> 4:1 119:8
102:25	<b>billion</b> 70:4	97:16 98:17	121:1,1
<b>bc&amp;i</b> 13:2 16:1	109:9,25	100:10 110:14	<b>c&amp;i</b> 9:21
18:14 30:16	<b>bit</b> 9:16 29:1	116:11	<b>c.c.r.</b> 1:13
<b>beginning</b> 9:14	40:4 59:4 72:9	bringing 80:2	calendar 1:21
9:15 12:16	84:8 115:10,11	115:18	17:8
16:15 40:5	<b>blame</b> 119:20	<b>broad</b> 59:23	calendars
41:11 44:11,14	<b>board</b> 1:3 3:2	<b>brookline</b> 1:19	100:25
begins 7:17	4:4 9:21,24	<b>build</b> 62:25	call 4:6,7 38:17
14:16	13:12,24,25	69:16 72:12	44:13 91:19
<b>believe</b> 5:8 7:10	14:2 15:10,11	106:25	109:24 118:22
84:17 89:4,22	15:11 18:13,18	<b>building</b> 69:16	calls 44:8
91:4	18:21,22 24:14	75:19 112:14	
<b>belt</b> 94:24	26:12 27:3,22	<b>built</b> 73:15	<b>cameron</b> 3:19 3:20 81:7
beneficial	28:11 37:6,17	<b>bullets</b> 94:24	
107:18	53:25,25 58:15	<b>bunch</b> 74:3	82:23 98:19
	79:19,20 89:6		100:3 104:6,15 104:23,25

## [cameron - code]

		1	
107:8 109:7	22:19,24 23:5	77:12 78:8,15	charges 82:4
112:24 114:12	23:11 24:18,25	78:23 79:5,16	charles 3:6
118:21,22	25:4,9,17,24	80:3,9,18,25	charter 43:14
campaign 96:4	26:9,13,25	84:5 86:13	cheatham 3:15
<b>cap</b> 68:11	28:24 29:7,15	87:15,21 88:1	<b>check</b> 29:10
capital 11:3,3	29:25 30:17,22	88:10,19,23	checked 98:18
64:3 74:19	31:1,24 32:20	89:13,20 90:3	checking 87:8
capitol 1:7	32:25 33:5	90:16,20 91:3	<b>cheng</b> 3:13
caption 2:3	34:19 36:14,21	91:16 92:16	22:20
capture 110:21	37:4,15,20,24	94:6,17,22	<b>choice</b> 109:15
care 104:22	38:15,21,25	95:6,10,15,20	choose 15:21
112:18	39:12,17 41:20	96:2,14,21	38:16 50:13
carries 120:24	42:8 43:19	97:1 98:4,8,14	111:15,17
case 74:6 76:5	44:1 45:2,8,13	100:16 101:6	choosing 15:22
76:6 89:6 90:5	45:17 47:7,24	101:10,15,22	111:19
92:2	48:17 49:3,11	102:1,17,23	<b>chose</b> 17:7
cause 121:21	49:18,22 50:9	103:5,9,13,14	chosen 43:9
caused 33:15	50:18,23 51:2	103:25 104:10	<b>city</b> 109:2
ccr 3:23 122:7	51:17 52:1,7	112:2 114:8,13	<b>civil</b> 121:18
certain 53:1	52:14,18 53:7	114:21 115:15	clarification
certainly 22:10	53:11,21 54:17	117:20 118:2,6	32:23
34:15	55:14 56:1,10	118:12 119:3,7	clarify 26:3
certificate 2:6	56:19 57:5	120:10,17,22	clause 62:1
11:15 121:3	58:2,8 62:13	change 11:13	<b>clean</b> 53:18
certification	62:18 63:12,17	11:13 53:24	54:3
122:1	63:24 64:9,15	59:13 68:22	<b>clear</b> 53:22
certified 121:2	64:19,23 65:6	changed 70:9	54:1
certify 121:5	65:15,19,25	72:17	clearly 62:10
<b>cetera</b> 49:7,8,8	66:4,11,15,21	changes 6:3	<b>clock</b> 37:16
<b>cfo</b> 54:25,25	66:25 67:7,12	9:12 19:1 59:2	38:5
<b>chair</b> 114:4	67:18,22 68:2	59:3 61:8 86:7	closure 11:15
chairman 3:3	68:19 69:4,25	changing 16:3	cobble 11:21
4:2,11 5:5,6,12	70:7,12,20	53:23 54:1	<b>code</b> 13:16 87:3
5:21 6:11 9:3	71:10 72:6	59:6	87:5,8 88:7,17
19:16 20:1	76:2,7,23 77:6		89:3,22 90:10

## [code - consolidate]

00.11.101.10	56.2.00.0		70.10
90:11 121:18	56:3 98:9	companies 17:4	condition 79:10
codes 88:2	103:20,23	92:15	conditions 9:24
89:19	119:17	company 1:18	<b>conduct</b> 14:17
coffers 82:18	commerce 1:3	11:24 62:2	confines 58:10
83:23 100:1	4:4 53:25	79:20	conflicts 102:5
<b>cold</b> 39:15 55:9	committee 1:4	compare 68:9	confusion 48:4
collected 20:13	1:9 5:7,15 6:12	compared	78:2
collecting 18:2	6:16 7:20,23	114:19	<b>conn</b> 9:7
combination	9:5 14:3,11,14	competitiven	conservation
12:9 16:13,16	14:21 15:7,21	8:12	60:15
combined 15:4	16:8 18:23	complete 28:2	consider 7:7
combining 18:2	20:4 29:23	28:10	30:16 35:17
46:14,16	33:21 34:2	completed	69:8 91:17
<b>come</b> 6:7,8	42:3,20,22	40:19	94:12,13 95:23
23:10 34:7	43:10,12 44:17	completion	97:11 107:14
40:23 68:23	51:12 53:2,12	16:6,25	116:7
79:19 89:6	54:9 55:18,22	compliance	consideration
90:5 100:9	57:15 58:12	11:15 92:13	10:25 13:24,25
104:3 109:2	80:22 86:18	121:14,16	14:2,3,11
114:18 119:15	97:9 100:24	component	16:11 18:13,15
<b>comes</b> 103:10	101:3 104:25	90:12,14	22:11 37:6,17
<b>coming</b> 29:11	120:3	computer 29:9	47:6 48:12
31:9 33:23	committee's	121:8	53:2 107:1
63:11 64:7	50:12	concept 38:8	108:9
82:2 110:1	committees	66:7 79:11	considerations
115:5 117:18	104:21	conception	108:12
commend	communicate	19:4	considered
104:18	51:19	concepts 45:20	11:2 90:7
comment 34:22	communities	56:7	considering
comments 5:15	116:5	conceptually	102:4 105:24
5:16 6:21 7:4,5	community	45:5,7,11	consistent
7:14 8:9,25	57:18 63:10,11	concern 24:8	15:23 105:2
14:9 35:16	98:21 109:1	44:18	consists 15:7
41:16 42:19	110:24	concerned	consolidate
45:4 55:15		11:24 55:23	41:9

## [consolidated - definitive]

consolidated	coordinating	crystal 54:1	40:21 47:5
20:12	15:21 116:13	cumbersome	77:11
constitute	copied 32:17	10:11 19:4,7	deadlines 11:10
85:13 86:10	copied 32.17 copy 5:8 19:20	current 11:7	11:25 12:3
constitution	53:18 54:3	15:23 18:20	18:5 19:8,15
9:19 88:12	correct 23:17	77:17	40:20 41:12
constitutional	27:7 28:6,14	currently 11:10	47:3 55:4
88:3	61:25 64:22	16:18,22 26:21	77:24
construction	65:24 67:11	32:16 59:11	<b>deal</b> 57:2 90:19
12:16 17:11,19	78:14 88:9	61:25 75:21	97:25
18:12 23:20	112:7,10	77:16 107:11	dealing 81:9
79:8	121:11	cut 62:14	82:10 83:1
contemplating	<b>cost</b> 16:25 63:2	d	116:20 117:3
84:15	66:18 69:19,21		deborah 3:11
continue 10:16	costs 59:12,15	<b>d</b> 2:1 4:1 <b>da</b> 81:8 103:22	decades 84:23
16:20	68:16,18 70:24	data 7:18	december
contract 10:22	71:17 77:1	date 14:15 17:4	77:23
11:15 16:2,4,7	81:21 110:17	17:6,18 27:18	decide 89:7
16:10 18:15,18	113:17	41:12	92:3,4
19:5 75:14	counsel 8:5	dates 41:13	decided 84:22
109:21	9:10 121:20	day 14:16,19	decision 16:1,8
contracts 9:22	<b>couple</b> 6:1 83:3	14:20,24 15:4	34:1 35:13
75:21	103:23 113:9	16:6 29:10	44:23 60:18
contractual	course 9:17	32:5 36:18	deems 9:25
121:17	17:13 52:13,17	37:16 38:4	<b>defer</b> 20:3
contribute 99:3	116:11 117:13	40:25 57:12	define 86:9
control 99:11	<b>court</b> 1:18 81:8	67:23 71:21	108:22
conversation	121:2	81:12 100:21	defined 55:3
6:11 39:21	covering 81:11	106:2,16 107:1	121:17
conversations	creates 19:2	days 14:15 37:8	definitely 33:8
107:16 111:6	109:18	38:7 56:4	definition
convince 95:22	creating 21:21	107:12,14	84:11,15 88:3
cooperating	criteria 13:21	deadline 13:1,4	88:11 89:4,23
61:19	19:3	13:9 17:2 18:6	<b>definitive</b> 13:14
		19:9 21:15	13:19 21:4

## [definitive - edo]

45:1	developers	discussions	<b>due</b> 17:3
<b>degree</b> 89:10	93:7	97:19	duplicate 46:19
108:19	development	<b>disposal</b> 109:21	46:23
deliberate 8:8	8:3 15:16,17	distinctions	duplication
department	15:20	50:20 51:4	46:21
35:24 61:18	<b>dialogue</b> 31:23	distinguishable	duplicative
81:23	differ 45:25	45:25	46:11
<b>depends</b> 92:17	51:8,10	distractions	duties 15:22
92:18	difference 72:5	54:5	
	differences	<b>district</b> 3:19	e
deputy 8:2 derivative	49:5	15:20 104:5,14	<b>e</b> 1:21 2:1 4:1,1
74:14	<b>different</b> 16:15	districts 116:6	119:8,8 121:1
<b>describe</b> 20:21	22:14 31:4		121:1,1,1
27:6		diversify 93:17 diversity 91:11	earlier 52:3
	47:3 73:18,19 73:23,24 74:1	document	55:15 100:20
<b>description</b> 20:17 21:2,4	'	31:16 45:9	101:20 108:10
59:18 65:14	74:8,16	54:2	earliest 100:22
72:10	differently 113:9		<b>early</b> 6:14
		<b>doing</b> 8:11,15 40:24 44:19	41:21
descriptive 20:19 21:1	<b>difficult</b> 31:19		earned 80:5
	51:4	98:20 110:20	<b>ease</b> 37:5
design 10:2,3	<b>digital</b> 122:2 <b>dime</b> 57:11,13	115:19	eases 107:24
<b>designee</b> 15:12 29:24	<b>direction</b> 41:24	<b>dollar</b> 59:14	easier 8:24 22:8
	42:4 121:10	63:8 68:10,10 112:19	22:14
<b>desoto</b> 116:2	<b>directive</b> 49:7	<b>dollars</b> 115:4	easily 19:6
determination	director 113:1	<b>double</b> 68:13	easy 36:23 55:7
13:18 37:1	disagree 94:18	<b>doubles</b> 68:11	<b>eat</b> 40:6
determine	disagreed 94:18	doubt 114:22	eating 40:8
64:24 85:11	47:10		economic 8:3
	<b>discard</b> 32:12	drainage 116:5 draining 82:17	8:12 15:16,17
<b>develop</b> 28:2 65:21	disconnect 10:5		15:18,19 93:7
	discuss 9:11	dramatically 86:7	93:21
developed 24:21	discussion		economy 91:11
	33:20 55:16	drilling 116:1 driven 47:1	93:17
developer 93:22	94:19	71:9	<b>edo</b> 15:22
93.22	74.17	/1.9	

## [educate - expenditure]

educate 31:20	entertaining	estimating 70:9	excessive 11:9
efficiencies	114:16	70:15	exchanged 6:5
107:1	entire 53:25	et 49:7,8,8	exclude 88:16
efficiency 61:8	entirely 20:21	euphemistica	<b>excuse</b> 119:20
<b>effort</b> 56:11	entity 89:2 97:5	91:19	executive 8:4
either 70:8	entourage	evaluation	9:10 10:21
108:18	104:7	113:16	11:20 14:5,18
elected 15:11	environmental	evening 120:6	47:14,21 48:5
electronics	11:3 49:7	event 121:22	58:4,10
33:13	environment	eventually 40:8	<b>exempt</b> 98:24
eligibility 13:20	47:15	everybody 17:2	111:11,15,19
eligible 19:3	envision 59:2,5	33:24 36:17	113:12,15
47:17 71:3	<b>eo</b> 49:6 97:23	41:17 44:4	exempted
90:14 98:2	epicenter 82:25	53:23 57:10	99:12,14
112:10	equipment	66:7 103:19	exemption 1:5
<b>email</b> 14:14	73:19,20 74:8	everybody's	10:22,24 16:5
33:17	74:15 75:2	103:20	17:13,14 18:9
<b>emails</b> 100:20	110:11	evolution	64:2 71:5
106:4	especially 32:6	119:25	77:24 92:5
employment	84:9 110:23	ex 15:14	96:12 98:22
83:18	establishment	<b>exact</b> 22:13	exemptions
encountering	11:6	exactly 32:24	9:23 11:1 19:1
77:15	estimate 62:23	37:14 42:3	63:20
encourage 10:3	62:24 63:2	59:24 61:13	<b>exist</b> 11:10
54:20	64:3,12,20,24	70:19 80:15	existing 18:2
encourages	66:1,1,6,18	85:22 86:5	19:5
10:13	70:2,2,16,17	95:5	exists 15:18
encumbered	estimated	example	expansion
47:4	59:12 63:8	109:20 111:7	83:18
<b>ended</b> 70:3	70:24	excellent 64:10	<b>expect</b> 57:10,13
engaged 7:11	estimates 12:20	103:6 104:17	expects 44:19
ensure 48:9	58:20 59:3	<b>except</b> 105:23	44:20
enter 9:22	65:8 67:23	exceptionally	expenditure
entertain 120:5	68:1	112:13	64:3
120:14			

# [expensive - forms]

expensive 59:4	favaloro 3:12	79:21,21,23	<b>flag</b> 68:12
experience	<b>favor</b> 5:18	80:1	<b>flavor</b> 108:24
117:6	120:19	<b>filing</b> 18:4	flexibility 38:6
explain 76:15	<b>fee</b> 63:6 71:16	19:14 46:11	fluidity 59:7
explained 21:5	71:18,21	78:5,22,25	<b>focus</b> 58:20
93:9	feedback 10:9	79:10	72:9
extension 11:13	14:6 24:6 35:7	<b>filled</b> 55:4	focused 13:23
<b>extent</b> 45:23,25	43:1,2,23	<b>fills</b> 20:14	focuses 12:20
105:8 110:18	44:12,25 48:13	<b>final</b> 9:13 16:25	focusing 36:15
111:1 116:16	54:22 84:4	44:24 76:25	<b>folks</b> 33:1 44:5
extra 81:22,23	96:25 97:16,19	financed	follow 55:8
81:23 91:22	<b>feel</b> 8:20 48:22	109:13	98:12
92:5 95:22	54:23 56:14	financial 91:24	followed 12:24
110:4	97:21,23	108:16,16	84:20
extracted 24:15	<b>fees</b> 63:5	109:18	<b>following</b> 10:19
f	113:21	financing 95:7	11:1 102:6,6
<b>f</b> 121:1	<b>fewer</b> 12:17	<b>fine</b> 7:3 56:13	<b>force</b> 12:4
facilities 82:25	58:19	73:18 101:13	<b>forced</b> 42:22
91:7,8	fields 28:9	101:13,14,14	foregoing
<b>facility</b> 63:1	figure 7:20	102:14 105:1,3	121:6
91:6,9,21 95:2	36:17 39:4	<b>fire</b> 81:23	foresee 53:13
113:11,19	42:11,12 49:5	111:16	53:15
facing 100:3	50:10 58:9	<b>first</b> 12:8 13:5	<b>forgot</b> 90:22
fact 11:21	100:8 111:2	13:6 20:3 33:6	<b>form</b> 16:16
47:14 62:6,7	figured 89:16	40:7 63:5	17:9 19:11
fail 35:19	<b>file</b> 12:12 13:3	69:10 84:15	20:9,9 22:3,13
fair 30:18,18	16:21 55:7	112:3	22:16 27:19
fairly 57:7	77:19,21 80:6	<b>fit</b> 89:4,23	28:2 43:4 55:7
fantastic 20:2	<b>filed</b> 8:23 11:23	<b>fits</b> 57:21	72:11,21 80:6
far 48:21 81:21	16:16,18 17:18	<b>five</b> 10:22,23	80:10,11,13
84:20	17:21,24 18:11	16:4 17:13,15	<b>format</b> 121:14
<b>fashion</b> 107:21	25:12 27:15	18:9,16 34:23	<b>formed</b> 10:7
fastlane 25:20	28:4 68:7	79:18 82:18	<b>forms</b> 11:9,10
25:21 26:22	76:16,18 78:1	91:22	11:22 12:18
32:18	78:20 79:7,14		16:15 17:5

## [forms - government]

			I
18:2 19:10,12	further 82:24	29:10,22 30:6	62:25 63:1,2
20:12 40:19	g	31:5,16 33:11	63:10 64:4
41:8 47:2,5	<b>g</b> 3:10 4:1	35:16 42:5	66:16,18 67:3
55:3 58:19	game 115:3	47:20 53:5	67:13,14 69:6
76:17	general 49:5	56:5 62:20,25	69:8,16 72:10
formulating	111:12	64:11 77:17	72:12 73:2,12
61:5	generally 109:4	81:1 92:1	74:7,13 75:18
<b>forth</b> 45:3	generally 109.4 generic 42:17	93:10,15 95:21	81:22 82:22
97:16 111:10	82:23	97:17,25 98:3	85:12 86:10,14
fortunate 109:8		102:10 110:7	91:12,19,21
forward 6:10	gentlemen 114:5	110:10,15	92:6 97:6,24
6:23 7:22 8:25		goes 8:16 18:12	97:25 99:1
13:11 41:16	<b>gerry</b> 4:10 30:5 33:8 44:25	23:15 34:1	100:4,11
57:19 111:3	54:8 62:17	36:2 55:15	102:21 108:23
<b>found</b> 75:5	96:11	64:4 110:13	108:24,25
founded 33:9	gerry's 35:16	<b>going</b> 6:9,15,21	109:1 111:3,9
<b>four</b> 108:7	getting 31:2	7:2,13,21,24	113:3,11
<b>frame</b> 35:15	32:11 34:13,24	8:20,23 9:16	115:22 116:7,8
<b>framers</b> 10:2,6	35:17 40:3	10:20 12:2,15	116:9,24
<b>frank</b> 3:12	43:23 82:5,9	13:5,15 17:20	117:18 118:14
frankly 88:24	117:1	17:24 20:3,17	118:16,22
93:2	<b>gidget</b> 72:15,19	20:20 27:7,18	119:9,12,19,21
<b>fresh</b> 8:18	72:25	27:21,22 29:10	<b>good</b> 4:3,3 6:4
<b>front</b> 11:19	give 27:1 37:7	29:10,22,23	6:4 8:2 9:9
12:13,14 16:18	38:5 44:3	32:12 35:24	23:9 24:21
20:24 47:2	54:22 56:7,7	36:25 37:12	44:25 61:6
60:14 77:16	56:15 66:7	38:7 39:1	71:11,14 88:25
103:22 106:20	105:8 109:20	40:18 41:25	90:23 108:21
107:15	119:21	43:18 44:22	117:24 120:2
<b>full</b> 11:14	gives 55:3	47:20 49:24	121:3
<b>fun</b> 7:17	64:12	50:3,10 51:4,7	<b>gosh</b> 69:18
<b>fund</b> 84:21	glad 87:16	51:9 53:24	<b>governing</b> 34:9
111:12	global 82:1	54:3 55:17,18	government
<b>funds</b> 81:20	go 12:7 15:6	56:2,20,24	10:17,25 69:8
	23:6 25:20,21	58:15 59:22	92:21 95:2

## [government - importance]

108:18 governor 9:22 15:3 44:20,22 61:13 97:10 98:20 105:13 governor's 9:24 16:10	guidelines 60:12 121:14 guides 61:22 62:9 guy 109:19 guys 35:8 39:20 40:24 46:8	hear 6:18,25 7:8,10 49:24 50:2 54:11,12 54:15 58:5 105:10 113:3 119:17 heard 6:18	honestly 27:9 hot 81:5 household 109:21,23 hud 3:14 huge 110:23 113:13 116:17
81:18 82:3 83:16 96:3 116:12 grace 59:7 grant 92:5 great 7:24 17:2 20:2 40:14 41:13 93:19 95:17 96:9,25 97:16 102:16 105:18 112:22 119:9	51:6 56:20 80:21 117:17 h hand 61:9 handle 59:1 72:19,20,20 handled 59:5 70:25 117:5 handling 59:2 hands 94:25 handwritten	42:21,24 43:8 44:21 46:25 56:21 91:5 96:4 97:18 119:19,22 hearing 5:16,18 5:23 7:16 9:6 41:17 44:5,25 101:1 hearings 55:22 heartburn 40:4 held 1:6	huh 29:4 48:16 51:21,25 hundred 85:5,9 96:12 hybrid 12:21 12:23 20:16 23:14 58:18 i i.e. 113:17 idea 6:24 69:15 88:16,25 95:2
greater 12:20 58:20 greatly 55:2 97:22 grief 33:16 group 56:23 91:5 100:19 guess 3:16 20:20 33:20 36:15 48:18 61:3,21 72:23 guessing 107:22 guidance 43:15 guide 60:18	hang 74:13 happen 66:6 70:13 72:4 90:9 119:11 happened 94:7 happening 53:13,15 116:19 117:1 happens 104:23 116:2 hash 87:23 haynesville 115:25 117:3 head 80:19 heads 74:3 106:21	help 56:25 57:16,17,17 81:21 82:4,21 83:11,19 86:24 87:6 98:20 99:20 100:1 106:14 117:19 helps 62:9 108:11 hey 68:12 highest 15:15 historically 109:15 hold 7:8 83:3 home 43:14	97:8,9 105:18 108:17,21 111:20 118:20 ideas 56:7 97:16 118:20 ignore 46:4 ignored 46:7 image 122:3 imagine 12:1 immediately 25:13 35:14,15 37:8 106:8 112:15 impact 97:5 impacted 97:22 importance 27:14

# [important - johnson]

important 24:5	indication 43:3	initial 10:22	<b>issue</b> 32:9
28:20 48:11	44:4	16:4 70:2	36:16 95:7
68:20 80:10	individually	79:24 106:3	<b>issues</b> 7:9 30:1
91:23 103:19	11:11	initially 39:15	59:21 115:19
103:20 104:24	indulgence	<b>input</b> 44:15	116:10,16
105:4,11	104:15	92:22 105:4,9	items 47:16
109:17 112:23	industrial 1:5	108:13 118:18	itep 6:3,9,22,22
impression	industries	inquiring	7:3 8:10,13,18
65:7	93:15,18	116:13,14	9:12,20 10:18
improvement	industry 1:3	<b>inside</b> 75:18	11:7 14:3,10
40:15	4:4 6:2,18 7:18	instance 109:20	15:7,20 16:6,8
improvements	8:24 41:4	110:7 111:7	16:10 18:18,20
41:15 53:4	45:19 46:1,4	instances	18:22 23:4
inaudible 58:16	47:10 48:21	110:13	29:23 40:24
60:22	50:5 51:8,11	<b>intend</b> 45:23	42:4,20 43:10
<b>inbox</b> 32:6,11	54:12 65:21	intended 61:20	44:17 84:17
incentive 54:15	78:11 90:6	interest 9:25	95:21 119:24
69:9	105:15 118:19	interested	itepcomments
include 15:14	industry's	36:13 56:15	119:2,4
47:17	54:24	118:17 121:21	<b>iteps</b> 12:1
included 24:12	inept 48:20	interests 6:20	104:23
89:19	information	interim 38:3	j
incorporated	6:5,6 18:3	internal 60:13	jerald 3:3
45:4	20:10,11,13	60:17 61:2	<b>job</b> 20:14 36:24
incorrect 27:7	21:22 24:11,15	internet 33:15	50:12 90:5
65:12	25:12 27:16,19	inventory	92:13
increase 111:9	27:24 28:3,6	115:10	jobs 83:18
112:16,17	28:21 36:3,11	investment	84:16,18 85:5
115:7	37:7 38:18	10:4,13 11:18	91:6,7,8,22
increased	54:11 112:5	invited 23:1	92:9,9
11:17 112:19	119:11	involved 86:20	<b>johnson</b> 3:13
113:23 115:11	infrastructure	111:5,18	3:17 19:24
incur 81:22	81:25 109:5	involves 11:8	21:23 22:22,23
indicated 17:1	111:13	issuance 19:5	22:25 23:2,3
47:1			23:18,23 25:22

## [johnson - know]

26:6,11,15,20 30:13 32:15,22 33:3 46:9,15 46:22 47:13	45:17 47:7,24 48:17 49:3,11 49:18,22 50:9 50:18 23 51:2	101:10,15,22 102:1,17,23 103:5,9,14,25	116:6,12 117:4 <b>knock</b> 34:9,11 <b>know</b> 6:19 9:17
46:22 47:13	50:18,23 51:2	104:10 112:2	14:25 17:3,4
51:20 59:10	51:17 52:1,7	114:8,13,21	17:20,20 18:7
60:4 63:19	52:14,18 53:7	115:15 117:20	19:19 20:25
65:11,17,23	53:11,21 54:17	118:2,6,12	24:6,10,13
67:25 68:5,17	55:14 56:1,10	119:3,7 120:10	26:16 30:19,23
68:25 69:13,23	56:19 57:5	120:17,22	32:18 33:14,22
71:1,24 75:3,8	58:2,8 62:13	jr 3:6	36:3,22 37:11
75:13,20,25	62:18 63:12,17	july 1:6 4:5	40:1,5,22
76:21 77:2,14	63:24 64:9,15	jurisdiction	41:10 42:11
78:17 79:1,12	64:19,23 65:6	57:7 105:21	43:7,20 44:10
79:25 80:7,14	65:15,19,25	107:23	44:11,13,23
85:2,6 86:25	66:4,11,15,21	jurisdictions	45:19,21 47:4
87:9 88:15,21	66:25 67:7,12	104:22 106:6	48:9 51:9 52:2
89:17,24 92:7	67:18,22 68:2	jury 15:9 34:8	54:4,8 55:5,19
92:12 112:7,9 jones 3:3 4:2,10 4:11 5:6,12,21 9:3 19:16 20:1 22:19,24 23:5 23:11 24:18,25	68:19 69:4,25 70:7,12,20 71:10 72:6 76:2,7,23 77:6 77:12 78:8,15 78:23 79:5,16	34:9,10 44:8 107:9,10,16 109:2,12 <b>k</b> <b>keep</b> 17:24 35:4	55:21 58:17 59:3,7,14,15,19 59:24 60:13 61:4,6,9,21 62:4,5,8,10,10 62:22 64:3,6
25:4,9,17,24 26:9,13,25 28:24 29:7,15 29:25 30:17,22 31:1,24 32:20 32:25 33:5,20	80:3,9,18,25 84:5 86:13 87:15,21 88:1 88:10,19,23 89:13,20 90:3 90:16,20 91:3	40:7 106:2 116:9 117:18 <b>kelly</b> 1:13 3:23 121:2 122:7 <b>key</b> 7:9 <b>kids</b> 110:14,14	62.22 64.3,6 67:3,4 68:10 68:11,23 69:6 69:20 70:14 74:6 77:19,21 78:3 81:3 82:25 83:15
32.23 33.3,20	90:10,20 91:3	kim 98:19	82.23 83.13
34:19 36:14,21	91:16 92:16	kind 7:18 21:25	84:11,12 85:12
37:4,15,20,24	94:6,17,22	33:22 36:10	86:19 89:14
38:15,21,25	95:6,10,15,20	40:6,9 43:17	93:4,8 96:22
39:12,17 41:20	96:2,14,21	44:4 52:4 56:6	97:3,18 101:11
42:8 43:19	97:1 98:4,8,14	59:20 60:7,12	101:19 102:22
44:1 45:2,8,13	100:16 101:6	68:8,12 109:19	104:22 105:9

## [know - lot]

105:13,24	lawyers 9:17	<b>library</b> 111:8,8	105:4,21 106:5
107:22 108:15	ldr 12:24 13:9	<b>lie</b> 50:20 51:4	108:18,24,25
108:25 109:25	<b>lead</b> 41:11	<b>lifted</b> 83:4,6	109:14 110:17
111:21 113:20	<b>leads</b> 109:7	likelihood	locals 15:1,23
113:21 115:8	<b>learn</b> 117:6	91:12	23:15,25 24:16
115:21,25	<b>leave</b> 12:7	<b>likes</b> 95:1	25:13 26:2
116:18,25	<b>led</b> 6:6,7,19,23	<b>limit</b> 7:4	27:2,16,21,25
117:4,6 119:20	7:6,18 8:9 9:11	limited 81:20	29:18 31:7,17
<b>knows</b> 17:3	12:24 13:24	82:11	64:6,25 92:1,3
kristen 22:23	14:12,23 18:13	limiting 65:9	92:4 95:22
27:5 62:1	19:21 23:4	<b>line</b> 61:9	96:19 97:6
kristin 3:13	31:8 44:2	<b>listen</b> 55:22	105:8 108:6
<b>kyle</b> 3:7 4:14	45:24 47:10	105:13	111:18
26:4 42:23	48:21 50:6	listening 19:18	located 15:13
l	51:8,10 55:11	54:21 105:14	location 11:13
la.gov. 119:2,4	56:5 57:16	<b>little</b> 9:16 29:1	<b>long</b> 40:12
119:8	68:23 71:20	40:4 59:4,22	59:12 71:2
labelle 103:2	84:20 100:22	65:2 72:9 84:8	72:3
lacks 10:11	118:19,24	93:4 108:8	look 7:21 8:18
ladies 42:18	119:11	113:8 115:10	13:13 31:5
land 112:7,10	<b>led's</b> 8:19 29:11	115:11	40:25 41:16
112:15 113:17	37:11 100:21	<b>lived</b> 40:12	54:2 56:12
113:17 115:11	legal 8:13	lma 44:8	76:5 81:19
language 84:16	legitimate	lng 82:25 85:24	94:18 100:24
84:18	63:13	109:8 112:13	102:21
lat 17:4,5 21:14	leonard 78:10	<b>lngs</b> 84:9 100:9	looking 7:13
21:19 22:2,3	78:13	<b>local</b> 10:17,24	8:25 13:22
late 108:8	lessen 111:3	14:3,10,10,21	21:24 55:17
lavergne 3:20	leticia 3:5	15:7,17,20	85:11 86:3,9
113:1,5 114:1	letti 3:5	16:8 18:22	looks 27:17
114:11,12,15	lettie 4:18	32:19 33:10,12	<b>lost</b> 115:6
114:23 116:21	<b>letting</b> 44:13	42:20 56:23	<b>lot</b> 6:5 20:10
117:7,12,16,22	<b>level</b> 79:19	92:21 95:2	22:14 32:7
118:4,10	110:18	96:15,17	41:10 48:4,13
110.7,10		104:21,24	60:14,15 77:23

## [lot - millages]

84:25 93:8	<b>major</b> 113:19	72:24 88:4,6	100:19 101:21
104:19 116:9	<b>make</b> 6:8 7:22	88:11,17 89:3	102:18 103:18
116:18 119:20	10:14 13:3,18	89:5 90:2,4,13	116:8 118:13
<b>lots</b> 8:10	22:15 24:14	91:9,21 93:19	118:15 119:15
<b>loud</b> 83:5	31:13 33:25	113:11,15	119:23 120:25
loudly 20:6	36:17,25 42:9	march 17:7,21	121:5
louisiana 1:2,7	44:22 50:11,24	18:6 19:9	meetings 6:1
1:10,18,20,21	61:6 63:1	76:16 77:13,20	107:13,17,17
8:3 69:7 81:6	72:17 73:2	married 31:10	mega 10:24
81:10 93:18	89:6 90:5	<b>match</b> 84:9	16:5 17:14
121:4,17	91:24 92:2	matter 94:2	18:10,17 48:4
<b>love</b> 41:24 57:9	103:17,23	max 71:19,20	82:1 84:10,16
83:17,18 91:25	105:16 119:16	<b>maxed</b> 71:16	84:21 85:12,13
95:23	makes 13:14,19	<b>mayor</b> 15:12	85:17,25 86:10
low 112:14	39:4 51:1	mcas 11:2	90:23 98:25
<b>lowe</b> 3:5	<b>makeup</b> 104:25	47:15 49:7	109:6,24,24
lwc 12:25 13:9	105:1	mean 25:23	<b>meld</b> 51:18
13:13 86:20	making 44:18	40:17 41:22	<b>member</b> 51:12
87:5	60:18 67:13,14	47:18 52:21	58:16
m	115:5 118:7	61:7 85:17	member's 42:3
<b>m</b> 119:8,8	<b>man</b> 107:12	89:18 97:14	members 3:2,8
ma'am 83:25	manner 58:4	101:19 102:4,7	5:7 6:12 14:14
99:16	manufacture	114:25	15:14,16 54:9
machine 121:8	72:25	means 12:14	101:3 107:11
machinery 73:5	manufacturer	13:2 55:21	120:3
73:9	59:8 61:3 90:7	mechanically	memorandum
<b>made</b> 16:1	90:12 100:10	57:24	60:17
41:15 44:7	manufacturers	<b>meet</b> 107:12	<b>memory</b> 78:10
105:21 109:16	54:13 87:2	<b>meeting</b> 1:4 4:5	mental 89:1
mail 1:21	93:16 97:22	4:6 6:1,4,17,25	mentioned 21:9
main 44:18	manufacturing	7:13,15 14:17	21:14
63:15	10:3,13 13:15	14:23 38:1	message 97:3
maintenance	13:18 32:8	45:19 49:25	met 40:20
11:2	57:6 62:6,7	53:16 56:22	millages 111:11
	63:1 72:16,18	78:11 98:18	111:15

## [miller - notified]

:llon 2.6 4.22	iagod 26.5	120.24	93:22
miller 3:6 4:22	missed 26:5	120:24	
4:23 5:14 20:6	94:7	move 13:11	needle 89:15
20:8 21:7,13	missing 77:23	103:17	needs 10:19
21:18 22:1,7	77:24	moved 5:11	17:17,21,23
22:12,20 23:8	mistaken 46:8	<b>moving</b> 6:23	34:6
33:6,7 34:5	84:19	7:21 31:7	never 98:1
71:12 72:7,8	mitigate 69:19	61:19	new 12:11
73:1,7,14,21	model 23:15	multiple 20:12	13:13 58:15,17
74:2,10,21	89:1 91:24	40:19 41:12	59:6 72:21
75:6,17 77:10	<b>module</b> 58:18	75:21	74:14,16,22,24
84:6,7,24	<b>mom</b> 91:19	multiplier	76:8 86:17
85:14,19,23	monday 102:6	93:19	113:16 119:25
86:6,11 92:19	<b>money</b> 69:5	municipalities	<b>nice</b> 61:4,4
92:20 93:3,12	100:1 112:19	107:10	nonvoting
93:25 94:9,15	<b>month</b> 102:2	municipality	15:14
96:18 112:3,4	107:12	15:13 35:6	north 1:8
112:11 113:7	<b>monti</b> 98:19	n	<b>note</b> 14:25 84:8
114:6 115:13	morning 43:22	n 2:1 4:1 119:8	<b>notes</b> 98:18
120:8,15,18	101:1 120:6,7	naic 13:16	104:19 105:19
million 63:3	120:9,11	naics 87:2,4	<b>notice</b> 14:16,19
66:18 69:16	moss 3:4 5:1,2	88:2,7,17 89:3	14:20 23:16
70:3 72:12,17	5:10,13 34:20	89:19,22 90:10	26:7 31:3
84:18 85:7,9	34:21 35:11	90:11	33:21 35:2
85:18 91:20	36:6 42:15,16	name 11:14	40:3 63:9
<b>min</b> 71:19	80:23 81:1,2	22:21 44:3	105:18,22
<b>mind</b> 25:11	81:17 82:8,14	104:11 114:9	106:3,6,20,21
26:3 115:24	83:9,14,24	neck 103:21	107:14,20
minimize 89:10	86:2 98:11,15	need 20:24	notification
minimum	98:16 99:9,15	32:23 34:11	12:10 24:1
40:17	99:19,24 100:7	44:21 46:25	27:14 30:9
<b>minute</b> 40:10	100:14 101:8	50:19 51:7,9	31:14,15 33:10
<b>minutes</b> 5:9,23	103:12,15,16	<b>'</b>	33:12 35:22
<b>mirror</b> 21:25	104:4,9	55:4,5,6 65:20	notified 106:7
miscellaneous	<b>motion</b> 5:9,13	69:6,13 70:14	106:7 107:25
74:19	120:14,16,18	73:11 74:6	108:1,6,10
		83:10 87:23	

# [number - parish]

number 6:13	<b>offset</b> 81:21	108:3 115:14	111:14
6:19 19:11	82:4 100:1	120:12	options 105:25
44:12 63:3	<b>oh</b> 27:16 40:8	old 52:4	order 4:6 10:21
0	52:12 69:18	once 7:17 14:20	11:20 14:5,18
<b>o</b> 4:1 119:8	85:9 90:22	49:24 57:8	18:12 47:15,21
121:1	99:14 106:18	107:12 109:22	48:5 58:4,11
o'clock 120:12	oilfield 115:1	ones 53:15	79:8 120:2
objections	<b>okay</b> 12:8	69:11 97:24	organization
13:11	13:23 15:6	<b>online</b> 19:19	15:17,18 35:13
objectivity	16:2 21:5 22:6	<b>open</b> 31:23	43:11
13:20 19:3	24:3,19 25:18	42:17 53:14	originally
obligation	26:14 27:1	<b>opens</b> 60:7	59:19 71:18
107:24	28:18,25 29:10	operation	<b>ought</b> 34:8 67:8
obligations	33:1,4 35:25	90:13	outcome 12:17
105:23 108:16	38:12,20,24	operational	13:19 18:1
<b>observing</b> 49:8	39:9,13 42:13	77:18 78:4	121:22
	43:9 49:15	operations	outreach 44:2
<b>obviously</b> 24:20 39:20	50:4,8,22	90:14	<b>outset</b> 41:24
	51:13,16 52:2	opinion 107:4	overall 77:25
40:4 48:24	52:15,19 53:10	opinions	117:24
49:6 53:1	58:1,12 64:10	121:19	own 36:25
54:10 89:8	66:22 68:20	opportunity	owners 116:25
104:23 109:16	69:12 70:21	92:1 110:22	owning 95:2
113:10	72:7 73:11	116:10 119:22	
occur .74:7	79:6,6,20	119:23 120:1	<b>p</b>
occurring	80:19,20,20	opposed 42:21	<b>p</b> 4:1 119:8
23:25	83:13 84:2	opposition 5:22	121:1
office 34:12,25	85:10 86:17	120:23	page 2:2 48:25
60:14 81:19	90:17,21,21,22	opt 36:5	90:21 122:4
82:3 83:16	90:23 94:7,16	opting 15:25	pages 121:6
109:13 116:12	95:11 96:17	opting 13:23	painful 88:4
officer 121:4	97:14 99:18,18	14:22 15:24	panoply 51:11
officially 26:7	100:13,18	17:15 18:8,21	parish 3:19,20
officials 29:8	102:12,16	18:24 33:18	15:8 29:12
officio 15:14	102:12,10	95:17,21	34:1,6,7,24
	103.4 107.0	75.11,21	35:6 36:24
		I.	

# [parish - point]

	I	I	
81:7,20 82:10	parts 11:4	86:1 91:23	<b>phone</b> 44:7
82:23 85:17	77:25 113:14	92:5 95:23	<b>pick</b> 50:12
98:19,21,24	passed 25:5	96:12 108:20	111:14,17
100:3 104:6,15	<b>past</b> 34:23	perception	<b>picking</b> 111:19
104:25 106:15	<b>path</b> 19:4	48:24,24	<b>pickup</b> 109:22
107:9,11 109:7	<b>paths</b> 57:19	perfect 103:8	109:23
109:11,15	patronizing	<b>period</b> 14:16,19	pickups 110:4
110:1,5 112:24	57:1	14:24 15:5	<b>piece</b> 13:14
113:2,23	<b>pause</b> 82:24	16:6 17:11	73:18,20 74:8
114:12 115:1	83:4,5	38:3 40:12	74:15 75:1
115:21 116:2,3	<b>paying</b> 110:3	107:2	113:11
116:3,17	payment 11:16	perjury 66:17	<b>pilot</b> 92:23,23
118:22,23	payments	permitting	93:10,20 95:3
parish's 15:15	99:20	68:24 69:17	95:17
111:12	<b>pcr</b> 11:12 79:14	perrin 1:13	<b>place</b> 42:22
parishes 32:7	pcrs 16:22	3:23 121:2	57:18 72:2
57:2,20,21	penalized	122:7	76:17 102:24
116:3,19 117:2	40:21	<b>person</b> 121:20	106:2
<b>part</b> 20:19,22	penalty 66:17	personal 44:7	<b>placed</b> 17:10,12
27:20,23 34:10	people 6:13	121:10	17:17 28:12
35:12,13 43:9	33:13 55:21	personally 91:4	places 22:14
48:5,10 49:12	59:17 61:23	personnel	planned 59:20
59:19 61:7	62:21 77:20,23	110:11	<b>plant</b> 112:13
62:8 66:9 74:8	81:6 82:10,16	perspective	<b>plants</b> 109:9
92:11,22 108:9	83:11 109:1	8:19 42:4	playing 68:4
108:13 113:15	110:1,5 111:1	54:24 96:4	115:2
partial 11:14	111:21	peter 3:17	<b>please</b> 4:8 9:6
participate	perceive 76:11	<b>phase</b> 11:23	20:7 104:12
100:23	perceived	16:19,19,20	114:9,10
participating	10:10	77:19	118:24
55:13 121:20	percent 10:21	phased 11:22	<b>point</b> 6:13 10:8
particular	10:24 16:4,5	76:25	25:5 28:20
40:11	17:13,14 18:9	phases 16:21	30:15 31:11
parties 15:3	18:16 43:16	philosophy	33:8 41:22
16:7 18:19	82:5,9,19,20	9:17 10:1	53:1 64:10

## [point - prior]

		Т.	1
65:20 68:20	21:11,16 22:5	portion 16:2	8:20 28:11
69:13,14 81:5	22:9 24:4,23	20:17 24:11	53:6
92:8 94:12,23	25:2,7,15,19	<b>posed</b> 81:11	presentation
105:19 108:6	26:18,23 27:10	<b>position</b> 104:11	6:4,8 8:17 9:1
pointed 113:9	28:5,15,19	114:9	13:12 24:22
<b>police</b> 15:9 31:8	29:5 30:4,10	positions 51:12	45:20 54:10
34:7,9,10 44:8	30:20,24 32:13	positive 10:4	56:6
81:22 107:9,10	34:14 36:19	possibility	presented 41:2
107:16 109:2	38:11,23 39:8	21:19 91:18	56:4 78:10
109:12	39:14,19,24	95:24 101:2	presently 65:8
<b>policy</b> 60:17	41:5,18 42:25	possible 42:10	presents 13:24
polozola 3:7	43:24 44:6	88:6 94:25	18:13
4:14,15 23:12	45:6,10,15	possibly 82:3	president 15:8
23:13,21 24:2	46:6,20,24	83:11 98:24	15:8,9 34:1,7
58:13,14,24	47:22 48:6,15	99:2	34:10 44:9
60:10,21 61:1	49:1,9,14,20	<b>post</b> 36:9	pressure
61:12,17 62:20	50:7,14,21,25	posted 13:1	115:20 116:4
70:22 86:18	51:15,22 52:5	potential 53:3	presume 84:10
106:9,13,19,24	52:11,16,22	64:7 96:15	presumptuous
107:5 108:2	57:3 60:6	102:4	56:25
111:23 115:16	61:24 62:16	potentially	<b>pretty</b> 21:15
115:17 116:23	66:8,13,19,23	32:6 64:7	35:17 41:21
117:9,14	67:5,10,16,20	practice 15:23	58:15 80:10
120:19	69:2 71:8	<b>pre</b> 11:20	105:1
polozola's	76:19 77:4,8	preconstructi	previous 5:25
65:20	78:6 80:12,16	19:2	78:19 84:18
<b>pop</b> 91:20	81:13,15 82:6	preference 7:4	94:10 97:23
popular 94:2	83:7,12 84:3	preparation	previously
population	86:21 87:11,24	7:5	55:10
110:25	89:11 90:1	prepare 56:6	<b>price</b> 109:22
<b>port</b> 98:19	96:10 99:3,7	prepared 6:7	primarily
113:1,20,22	100:12,20	52:3 119:13,15	107:8
<b>porter</b> 3:9 7:25	102:20 103:3	121:13	<b>prior</b> 12:15
9:6,8,10 19:17	105:5 117:25	present 3:2,8	17:8 23:19,24
19:22 20:5,15	119:1,5	4:12,16,20 6:2	27:22 112:14

# [prior - provide]

113:13	106:15 108:10	18:3,4,11 19:4	109:4,24
private 55:1	117:19 118:18	19:14 20:9,16	116:17
116:24	processes 19:6	20:18,22,23,25	proliferation
proactively	41:10,11	21:4,9 23:14	117:4
30:11,12	produce 74:18	23:17,25 27:17	promulgated
probably 6:23	91:22	27:20 47:1	9:13
30:6 32:3	producing	55:6 58:18	<b>proper</b> 59:23
33:18 56:3	74:17	59:1,3,13,15,17	properly 21:6
73:8 84:11	product 74:14	59:20,21 62:3	property 16:13
103:21 104:22	74:17	62:8,25 63:10	16:24 17:10,12
105:15 108:23	production	65:1,2,2,10,13	17:16 18:4
problem 59:16	116:1	65:14,22 67:8	19:14 21:9
70:16 89:7	program 1:5	67:9 68:7,13	68:8 76:10
118:22,23	6:3 9:20 10:2,7	69:15,22 70:1	109:16 112:12
procedure	10:10 23:4	70:9,24 71:2,4	116:25
121:18	55:13,23 85:10	71:7,9,17	proposal 9:11
procedures	87:1	72:10 73:12,24	12:5 35:20
60:11 61:3	programmatic	74:16 75:9,12	45:3 47:10
proceed 53:3	32:2	75:14 76:9,24	48:22 118:19
proceedings	programmed	77:22,25 78:21	<b>propose</b> 77:5,9
2:5	29:21	79:8 82:1	96:19
<b>process</b> 6:14,22	programming	84:21 85:13,17	proposed 6:2
7:11 8:22,24	106:5,8	85:25 86:10	6:22 18:25
9:14 10:15,19	progress 25:10	91:18 93:23	45:24 50:5
11:7,8 12:6,6	31:12	98:25 108:22	78:11 118:19
12:20 15:6	prohibition	108:23 109:6	proposing 26:1
26:2 35:25	121:16	109:25 113:24	53:24
40:16,18 41:14	project 11:12	114:19	protect 83:19
41:22 42:12	11:18 12:8,11	projects 10:24	prototype
55:3,7 58:20	12:22,25 13:4	17:14 18:10	72:13
59:6,8 61:2,19	13:8,10,22,22	32:8 48:4 57:6	<b>prove</b> 90:5
62:9 68:21	13:24 14:1,13	68:23 82:15	provide 10:16
72:24 74:9	15:13 16:5,12	83:2 84:10	17:17 31:16
76:8 77:17	16:17,24,25	90:23,25 91:20	43:2,15 44:15
89:9 105:16	17:19,21,25	99:1 108:17	56:13 90:11

# [provided - red]

provided 5:8	putting 55:10	r	recall 14:17
6:5 8:9 16:8	62:23 82:16	<b>r</b> 4:1 121:1,1,1	16:14 45:11
65:13	94:24	121:1	receipt 25:14
provides 9:20	q	raised 87:17	29:17,18 31:17
14:19 18:20	<b>qj</b> 12:2	118:21	37:10,10,11
providing	qualifies 85:25	raising 69:5	receive 14:24
17:18	question 23:12	ran 68:18	15:1 36:5 43:3
<b>provisions</b> 7:6	26:1,4 29:16	ranking 15:15	received 14:6
10:20 56:12	<u>'</u>	rate 98:23	32:5,17 35:23
public 3:18	30:14,18,18,23 34:20 39:2	rather 37:6	42:19 43:17
5:17 14:22			48:14 63:7
27:23,25 28:3	42:17 43:8	69:11 91:6,7	71:22
28:6,9,13,20	45:14,18,21,22	read 88:5	receives 17:12
29:8 31:3,3	47:9 58:17,25	readily 36:11	receiving 8:25
36:3,12 54:11	61:21 72:23	reads 54:4	13:10 83:22
119:16	81:4,11,12	ready 28:11	recognize 51:6
publicly 14:7	87:16,17 88:25	53:6	recognizing
pulling 92:9,13	99:3 104:21	real 22:20	66:5
purpose 31:14	106:10 111:24	realigning	recommend
62:23 63:9	112:22 113:4	22:15	108:19
68:3 93:9,21	114:5	reality 33:25	recommendat
97:15	questioning	realize 69:18	105:12
purposes 63:15	48:19	72:14	recommendat
pushback	questions 5:14	really 8:17,19	7:7 57:22
42:19	9:4 20:3,4 43:4	41:1 43:3,6	105:14 111:2
pushing 35:4,5	43:8,16 45:1	54:22,23 55:16	recommended
put 28:3 42:22	58:12 62:22	59:17 64:11	6:3
44:23 45:3	69:10 80:22	65:3 67:24	record 53:22
72:10 81:14	98:9 104:18	70:8 82:19	81:14 83:17
	<b>quick</b> 22:20	84:9 91:23	
92:6 104:19	quickly 25:6	111:4 112:18	114:10
105:22 106:4	35:18	reason 27:1	recouping
110:10	quite 93:2	29:16,19 31:18	82:19
puts 63:9	100:11	33:9 36:15	red 68:12 116:3
115:20	quorum 5:5	39:2 50:2	117:1
		57:11 71:15	

# [redline - right]

redline 52:4	remind 7:12	request 11:13	reviewed 13:5
53:18 56:13	remove 78:2	11:16	reviewing 13:7
redlining 53:5	renew 10:23	requesting	reworks 19:5
reduce 12:5	17:15 18:8,12	109:3	rewrite 7:3
reduced 99:25	79:9,11,15	requests 11:20	rid 40:3 46:16
reduces 19:11	80:5	required 11:3,5	right 5:24 7:24
reducing 18:1	renewal 11:16	11:23 12:18	18:25 19:21
reduction	18:7,14,15,16	17:5 18:11	23:6,7,9,9,22
98:22	18:22 79:6,22	19:12 47:16	25:8,23 26:1
referring 64:5	80:4,4	62:5 77:19	26:24 27:8,18
refinement	replace 77:1	79:7 121:15	29:14,14 32:21
10:19	replacement	requirements	34:4,18 35:4
regional 15:19	11:4	8:22	35:10,22 36:7
regular 99:1	<b>report</b> 11:19	requires 19:1	36:16 37:3,19
regularly 57:7	16:13,17,24,25	requiring 78:1	37:23 38:10
rehabilitation	18:4 19:14	rescue 103:10	40:12 42:14,18
11:5	32:9 36:2 68:8	resolution 16:9	46:10 47:23
reiterate 14:5	76:10,24 77:22	43:11	49:17,21 50:15
118:15	79:22	resources	50:17 52:2,6
rejecting 53:17	reported 1:13	82:11	52:19,25 53:20
related 118:21	3:23 87:3	respond 14:22	55:25 56:18
121:20	121:7	35:1,1,19	58:7 59:16
relationships	reporter 121:3	57:11,13 58:3	60:9,25 61:11
121:17	reporter's 2:6	restoration	61:16 64:14
relatively 36:23	reporters 1:18	11:5	65:5,7 66:3,9
remain 10:20	reporting 8:22	resulting 12:19	66:12 67:17,21
68:13	21:8	return 10:4	68:6 69:1,24
remaining 6:25	reports 11:18	revenue 110:9	70:19 71:23,25
7:16,19 49:25	21:9	110:9 113:23	75:7 76:8,14
101:2	represent 35:5	revenues 10:17	79:13 80:5,20
remains 71:2	representative	review 6:7	82:7,13,23
remember	15:19 116:14	10:18 12:19,24	83:1,8 85:11
11:25 12:3	representing	12:25 13:9	86:5,5 87:2,10
19:8 115:1	100:2	58:19 119:12	87:25 88:14,22
			89:25 90:17

## [right - shale]

91:2 93:13	<b>rules</b> 1:4,5 4:5	110:15	seems 10:5
94:18 95:9,14	9:12 48:10	<b>scope</b> 59:13	31:12
95:19 96:1,7	50:12 52:4	60:7 65:13,22	seen 28:4 72:4
98:9 99:6,8,23	53:2,5,17 54:9	66:20,22,22	115:7
100:4,6 103:22	56:12,15 60:13	70:14 71:2	sees 6:9
107:8 116:22	81:3 119:25	72:18 110:20	<b>segues</b> 102:19
117:11,13,13	121:15,18	<b>scott</b> 3:20	<b>self</b> 87:3
118:5,13	<b>run</b> 59:15	112:25 114:12	senate 1:9
<b>river</b> 116:3	107:11	scripture 67:2	<b>send</b> 15:2 33:24
117:2	running 37:9	seal 122:3	36:4
<b>road</b> 110:11	37:17 38:5	<b>seat</b> 54:25	sending 30:12
<b>roads</b> 116:5	<b>rvs</b> 110:1	55:10	<b>sends</b> 97:3
robbie 4:22	S	second 5:14	sense 7:22
29:9 35:2	s 1:13 3:23 4:1	74:13 120:18	31:13 39:5
43:13 120:11	119:8 121:1,2	secretary 8:3	50:24 51:1
<b>robby</b> 3:6	122:7	section 9:19	109:8
<b>robin</b> 3:9 8:4	sabine 116:2	14:18	<b>sent</b> 7:6 14:21
8:16 9:2,10	117:2	sector 55:2	16:7 18:19
52:2 118:25	safety 110:12	see 4:4 8:17	29:18 30:15
<b>roll</b> 4:7	sales 98:23	24:10,16 25:21	32:10 98:17
<b>rolled</b> 16:23	99:21 109:14	27:16,24,25	separate 27:19
19:10	sat 34:23	28:1 29:11	78:24
<b>rolls</b> 112:16	savoie 112:25	31:6,8 32:14	<b>serve</b> 21:20
<b>room</b> 1:9 54:21	saw 40:17	35:2,3 36:10	31:13 68:3
102:24 103:2	saw 40.17 saying 25:14	42:1,4 51:11	service 17:10
103:19 111:2	30:5,11 46:10	54:3 57:6,7,9	17:12,17,22,23
<b>rouge</b> 1:10,20	50:4 55:11	61:14,18 70:13	77:17
102:25	59:25 73:23	74:3 83:10	<b>set</b> 7:18 50:11
roughly 33:17	79:9 83:5	91:17,25	67:2 107:19
royalties	89:21 96:12	100:25 105:19	118:13
116:24	says 58:4 67:2	116:13	<b>seven</b> 86:1
royalty 117:1	says 30.4 07.2 scenario 70:23	seeing 24:7	107:11
<b>rule</b> 9:13 18:20	scenes 8:11	<b>seem</b> 31:10	<b>shale</b> 116:1
43:14 49:5	school 15:9,10	110:4	117:3
84:20	15:11 109:12		
	13.11 107.12		

## [shared - start]

1 1 4 22	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	11 400 44	101 77
<b>shared</b> 61:23	<b>simple</b> 106:3,8	<b>solely</b> 109:11	specifically
110:17	simultaneously	109:13	54:13,14 89:18
sheriff 15:12	13:7	solutions 36:23	spectrum 90:24
81:8 110:7,10	sincerely 8:5	115:23	<b>speed</b> 106:15
112:24,25	<b>single</b> 32:18	somebody	<b>spend</b> 72:12
sheriff's 34:25	78:1	108:25	<b>spirit</b> 45:23
109:12	sir 42:17 76:22	someone's 32:6	61:20
sheriffs 44:10	77:3 100:17	sorry 22:23,25	<b>spoke</b> 42:23
<b>shoot</b> 106:4	104:7,14 112:1	23:1 24:19	81:13 101:20
shortcut 88:20	114:7 118:9,11	30:14 39:18	<b>square</b> 35:20
shortened	<b>sites</b> 75:24 76:1	62:14 63:25	<b>staff</b> 3:8 6:6
12:19 58:19	sitting 29:9	77:7 87:20	13:5 15:16
shorthand	34:12 54:24	113:6 120:5	34:8 104:18
121:8	79:18 100:2	<b>sort</b> 60:13	105:15 107:21
<b>shortly</b> 37:10	103:22	<b>sound</b> 74:25	107:25 115:23
<b>shut</b> 91:13	situation 35:8	sounded 75:1	119:12
<b>side</b> 21:8	six 81:6 91:22	<b>sounds</b> 21:15	staff's 13:7
<b>sighs</b> 101:11	<b>size</b> 57:21 65:1	73:19 75:4	stage 44:14
<b>sign</b> 15:3 106:6	65:1	84:25 102:5	114:19
107:20	<b>sizes</b> 92:14	southwest	<b>stages</b> 44:12
signature 122:3	<b>slept</b> 78:9	81:10	stakeholder
signatures 16:7	<b>slide</b> 9:15	speak 33:1	55:20,21
18:19	<b>slowly</b> 115:5	104:16 119:24	stakeholders
signed 122:5	<b>small</b> 81:20	speakers 3:18	6:20 7:1,16,19
significant	90:25 91:18	speaking 6:10	10:10 14:7,10
10:17 110:6	96:5,5,5,11	special 11:20	49:25 51:10
115:12	97:4,21 108:17	107:13	55:19 97:20
similar 21:14	108:22,22	specific 7:6	118:17 119:24
78:12	109:4 114:17	12:11 28:8	standing 121:3
similarly 51:8	115:8	36:16 41:11,12	standpoint
simmons 3:11	smaller 91:9	50:2 55:4	32:2,3
4:7,9,13,17,21	97:5 110:24,24	56:12 62:3	<b>start</b> 27:18
4:25 5:4 103:1	<b>smart</b> 111:1	78:20 81:3	32:11 37:7,16
103:10	smarter 89:15	93:21,23 97:19	38:1,1 50:4,19
	93:8 111:21	118:18	57:16 69:15

## [start - taxes]

82:19 83:22	streamlines	suggest 32:3	systemic 39:3
105:24 107:15	105:3	suggested 46:1	t
<b>started</b> 8:4 40:1	streamlining	46:4	<i>t</i> 110.0 0 121.1
55:16 74:11	10:15 48:25	suggesting	t 119:8,8 121:1
<b>starts</b> 14:19	49:13 117:23	68:22	121:1,1 table 7:17 92:6
37:8 38:5 55:6	street 1:8	suggestion	table 7.17 92.0 take 14:4 15:24
startup 12:11	struggle 114:25	107:23	22:10 34:16
<b>state</b> 1:2,7 8:12	struggled 34:24	suggestions	45:22 47:6
10:1 22:21	struggling	50:3 53:14	52:25 54:14
44:19 69:7	28:25	57:23 108:1	76:16 100:24
81:19 82:3	<b>strung</b> 61:9	118:23	101:16 103:18
89:18 93:17	<b>stuart</b> 3:4 5:1	<b>suite</b> 1:19	110:22 119:19
98:23 99:2,10	<b>stuck</b> 103:21	summary 18:25	taken 56:11
100:3 104:11	<b>stuff</b> 51:6 58:16	superintendent	121:5
110:18 113:25	115:9	15:10 43:6	talk 9:16,18
114:2,9 116:15	subcommittee	113:1	10:15 14:4
116:25 121:4	4:5	supervision	40:1 44:2
122:3	subjectively	121:10	<b>talked</b> 16:14
stating 24:8	13:17	supplied 19:20	30:21 43:21
status 11:18	subjectivity	supposed	44:9 47:2
16:17 17:19	13:21 89:8,10	104:16	talking 25:25
76:24 77:22	submission	<b>sure</b> 10:14	35:3 38:1
<b>statute</b> 121:15	17:6 108:14	24:15 27:6	43:14 58:19
stenographic	<b>submit</b> 118:24	31:8 44:18	63:22 66:20
121:8	submitted	76:10 84:11	96:6 115:25
step 11:8 12:6,6	12:15 13:1	86:17 102:24	tax 1:5 9:23
40:17 108:7	14:1 17:5,9	106:12,23	11:1 98:23
streamline	submitting	108:15 112:13	99:21 109:14
10:16 41:1	18:21	115:23	112:15 115:3,8
49:6 104:20	subsequent	swear 66:16,17	taxed 109:11
117:19	8:22	switch 72:9	109:11
streamlined	sudden 35:8	system 32:3	<b>taxes</b> 17:3
8:21 40:16	69:21 110:3	36:11 51:19	109:14,16
41:3 42:10	suffer 111:9	107:19	115:4
59:6			

## [taxing - toward]

taxing 18:5	theoretically	93:1,6 94:13	82:17 83:22
19:15	89:5	96:22 97:2,3	91:13 98:25
teachers 81:23		97:14,18 99:4	118:15 120:5
	<b>thing</b> 17:1 48:3 54:7 57:12	100:22 104:25	
teaching			timely 58:3
101:14	84:14 97:17,21	105:10 106:14	79:9,21,23
team 8:10,12	115:24 117:24	106:25 108:10	timer 34:10
8:13 40:24	119:18	108:17,20	timing 18:3
48:13 55:1	things 6:15	115:22,22	19:13
tedra 3:15	31:4 32:11,16	117:23	today 6:8,18
tell 34:6 68:4	46:3 56:20	thinking 24:24	29:12 40:15
76:12,13 94:1	66:5 70:9	57:17 97:7	45:4,24 63:18
tells 64:25	84:22 87:22	third 1:8	71:23 72:20
temporary	110:16 111:13	118:15	81:7 90:9,19
110:2	119:21	<b>thought</b> 10:2,6	104:16 105:16
ten 33:17 56:4	<b>think</b> 8:16	24:20 56:24	108:14 114:16
57:8 82:18	10:12 21:24	72:14 73:22	today's 85:1
91:7,8 93:10	23:9 27:5 29:9	108:8 111:17	together 33:22
96:12 113:12	30:5,6 32:23	117:10	44:24 51:18
tendency 32:11	33:8,9,15	thoughtful 8:8	104:19 117:17
term 79:24	34:22 35:16,22	thoughts	<b>told</b> 6:13 52:3
85:12	36:22 37:5	108:12 111:3	55:1 89:9
terms 9:23	40:5,8,14,16	<b>thread</b> 89:14	107:25
testimony	41:1,9,13 42:1	three 14:14	<b>tom</b> 3:19
121:7	42:2,14 43:1	44:21 109:8	104:14
thank 5:7 8:5,7	46:7,25 48:3	tickets 110:8	<b>tommy</b> 104:5
8:14 9:4,9	48:13 49:4,24	<b>tied</b> 11:17	<b>tonnage</b> 113:21
19:17 23:6	54:16,23 55:18	65:12 68:9	took 40:9
42:17 44:13	56:21 57:14,19	time 12:19 14:4	<b>tool</b> 68:8 93:6
84:2 86:12	57:22 59:16,21	23:17 28:4,12	toolbox 93:7
87:14 94:16	61:7,13,18	35:15,18 38:3	<b>topic</b> 81:6
99:18 103:10	62:20 63:15	38:4 40:7,13	<b>total</b> 19:11
104:7,9 112:5	68:8 74:1,6,15	45:12 46:12	<b>totally</b> 91:15
114:4,7,14,16	76:12 78:2,18	53:5 56:11	94:5 95:5 96:9
115:19 118:1,3	80:1 82:22	57:2 69:17	<b>toward</b> 102:2
118:7	84:19 88:16,24	72:3 73:16	

## [track - vet]

4 1 40 14	4 4 105 10	1 4 1	• 214
track 42:14	trust 105:12	understand	usie 3:14
76:14	<b>truth</b> 7:9 94:1	27:13 29:1	<b>using</b> 73:5
<b>traded</b> 100:20	95:1 97:4	46:2 47:25,25	113:22
<b>traffic</b> 110:8,12	<b>try</b> 19:8 104:17	48:21 51:3,7	usually 34:10
111:9	104:20 105:16	53:12,23 59:11	69:10 108:21
training 52:20	117:19	59:14 67:1	v
transcribed	<b>trying</b> 7:20	76:11,12 77:21	vacation
121:9	13:17 21:24	82:5 86:24	101:17
transcript	24:19 25:11	94:5	valid 122:1
121:11,13,14	26:3 27:6	understanding	valurem 1:5
122:2	31:13 36:17	50:19 78:18	
transcription	40:23 42:2	121:12	9:23 109:11,13 112:6 115:4
121:9	48:18,20,22	understood	
transfer 11:14	81:21 100:8	19:6 76:13,15	<b>value</b> 10:5
11:14	tuesday 102:6	unfair 47:8	65:13 68:10,11
transmit 14:12	turn 7:25 9:1	unfortunately	109:10 112:12
transmits	67:13 113:3	57:8	112:16,17
14:12	<b>two</b> 13:6,8	uniformity	114:17 115:11
transmitted	22:13 31:4	10:12	<b>values</b> 59:14
14:16 16:9	40:10 44:17	unrealistic	variations 60:3
25:12	63:15 109:25	57:14	<b>various</b> 10:9
trash 72:15	110:2	unusual 69:22	11:9 16:15
tremendous	<b>type</b> 63:10	72:3	36:4
116:4	98:20,22	unwritten	venture 82:1
tremendously	types 107:17	60:16	verification
70:24	typically 25:20	<b>upfront</b> 20:22	88:18
<b>tried</b> 43:14	u	upgrades 11:4	verify 87:4
trigger 28:8		47:16	92:14
105:22	ugly 92:23 93:1	usable 77:18	veritext 1:18
trip 67:3 118:7	94:14	78:4	veritext.com
troubling 57:12	<b>uh</b> 29:4 48:16	use 54:14 63:18	1:21
true 85:20	51:21,25	68:9 75:1,5	versus 30:12
121:10	under 22:10	84:21 93:2,22	31:3 71:19
truly 93:16	47:6 66:16,17	used 24:7 63:3	72:25 80:11,13
July 75.10	77:16 88:12	63:8 65:8	vet 35:24 36:1
	121:9	03.0 03.0	

# [view - widget]

view 27:2	83:21 84:1,13	want 6:25 7:3,7	76:14 87:3
viewable 26:17	85:4,8,16,21	7:8 10:14,16	89:14,16
<b>vii</b> 9:19	86:4,8 88:8,13	13:2 24:9 33:1	118:25 121:21
<b>villa</b> 3:10 7:25	90:8,18 91:1	35:12 38:3	ways 36:4
8:1,2 9:4,5	91:14 92:10,25	43:4,6,7 45:11	<b>we've</b> 6:17
20:5 22:17	93:5,14 94:4	45:18 50:2	34:24 54:9
27:4,12 28:7	94:11,20 95:4	51:11 53:3,12	57:16 58:9
28:17,22 29:3	95:8,13,18,25	53:22,23,24	59:20 72:4,5
29:13,20 30:2	96:8,16,24	54:3,11,12	107:25 118:13
30:8 31:21	97:13 98:6	55:18 56:2,13	website 13:2
32:1 34:3,17	99:5,13,17,22	56:25 57:1,15	19:21 29:11
35:9,21 36:8	100:5 101:18	58:5 61:14	31:6,8 36:9
37:2,13,18,22	101:24 102:3	62:11 64:11	wedding 23:1
38:9,13,19	102:11,15	69:6,10 70:13	wednesday 1:6
39:6,10,22	103:7 104:2	70:15 76:9,10	4:5
40:2 41:7 42:6	visible 24:13	89:9,19 92:4,8	weeds 51:5
46:13,18 47:19	28:9,10	92:24 93:16	week 6:24 13:8
48:2,8 49:16	visiting 43:20	96:22 97:2,7,8	56:4 101:13
50:16 51:24	<b>voice</b> 105:11	101:16,19	102:7 109:22
52:24 53:9,19	volume 32:7	105:8,9,25	119:10
54:6,19 55:24	<b>vote</b> 53:16 97:9	106:7 107:22	<b>weekly</b> 32:4,9
56:8,17 57:25	97:10,11	112:6 119:16	33:17 36:2
58:6,22 60:2,8	W	119:17,18	weeks 13:6
60:19,24 61:10	wadgets 67:14	<b>wanted</b> 8:5,14	welcome 14:8
61:15 63:4,14	wages 83:19	14:4 42:4	41:16
63:21 64:1,13	waguespack	47:17 48:9	welcomed
64:17,21 65:4	56:22	wanting 30:15	55:12 69:7
66:2 68:15	wait 14:23 37:6	<b>wants</b> 61:18	welcomes
70:5,10,18	112:3	<b>warm</b> 39:25	10:12
71:6,13 72:1	waiting 115:2,3	<b>wary</b> 31:2	went 112:15
72:22 73:4,10	waive 95:22	<b>waste</b> 109:21	113:17 115:1
73:17,25 74:5	108:20	watching 8:7	wetlands 69:19
74:12,23 75:11	<b>waived</b> 111:11	way 22:2,3,8	wholesale 7:2
75:15,23 76:4	walk 76:9	31:9 33:11	<b>widget</b> 72:18
79:3 82:12	10.7	35:18 37:5	72:25

## [widgets - young]

	I	1
widgets 63:2	<b>worry</b> 101:16	72:2 73:22
67:14 72:12	<b>worth</b> 66:6	76:3 79:13
<b>willing</b> 119:13	wrapping	86:9 89:12
<b>window</b> 36:18	80:19	90:9 94:5,12
106:16	write 92:17,18	94:21 98:7
wisdom 7:9	written 60:13	105:6 108:19
wish 37:25 81:5	60:16	117:15
119:24	<b>wrong</b> 62:1	<b>year</b> 10:22 16:4
<b>wishful</b> 52:9,12	93:20 97:7	17:8,11,12
<b>wonder</b> 116:12	112:7 119:21	18:16 55:6
117:4	X	76:18 77:13
<b>word</b> 92:23	<b>x</b> 2:1 68:11	78:2,7,19
93:1 94:14		110:2,8
words 52:20	<b>y</b>	<b>years</b> 10:23
work 7:19 8:10	y'all 24:20,21	17:13,16,16
22:15 25:10	35:5,12 42:18	18:9,12 33:17
30:1 31:12	42:18 45:3	34:23 57:8
34:12,16 36:18	52:3 60:11	79:8,18 82:18
42:12,13 43:5	91:4 101:20	84:23 93:11
43:18 44:17	114:16 115:24	96:13 110:3
47:11 57:20,20	118:7	113:12
60:14 82:16	<b>yeah</b> 22:18	<b>young</b> 33:13
91:24 120:12	23:19 26:19	
workable 51:19	27:5 28:23	
worked 70:1	30:3,11,21,25	
working 52:8	31:25 34:22	
53:4 72:13	38:14 39:7,7	
76:11	39:11 41:13	
works 42:13	42:7 45:14	
55:23 56:23	46:23 48:7	
93:22	52:12 54:7	
<b>world</b> 6:9,9	56:9 57:4	
31:4 49:19	58:23 60:5,20	
85:1	63:22,23 67:8	
	70:6,11 71:9	